

Procedural Review Voting Sheet

2014 Cycle 1

REGION: **Japan**

COMMITTEE: **Japan Gases & Facilities Committee**

EVENT: **Japan Standards Spring Meetings 2014**

DATE OF MEETING: **2014/4/4**

PLACE OF MEETING: **SEMI Japan Office, Tokyo**

COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: **Hiromichi Enami/ Hitachi High-Technologies, Isao Suzuki/ MKS Japan**

SEMI STAFF: **Naoko Tejima**

A&R Voter: Name/Company

Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

Document 5686	Document Title Reapproval of SEMI F80-0309, Test Method for Determination of Gas Change/Purge Efficiency of Gas Delivery System
--------------------------------	--

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: **As-cast tally after close of voting period**

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	<input type="text" value="39"/>	÷	<input type="text" value="65"/>	=	<input type="text" value="60.0%"/>	>=60%
Lilac & Others	<input type="text" value="20"/>					
<hr/>						
Total Vote	<input type="text" value="59"/>					
Reject	<input type="text" value="1"/>					
Accept	<input type="text" value="27"/>					

A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reason:

III. Rejects

Reject 1 (Jurgen Lobert, Entegris, Inc.)

Negative 1 of Reject 1

Negative	Referenced Section			
	Reason	I believe this is an utterly useless document. It states that this is a 'guide to a test method'. What is that? Is it a guide or a test method? Section 2.2. suggests it is a method, but there is no section containing any useful content. A notice states that this is a safety guideline, but no safety considerations are given. No content other than introduction, limitations and terminology. There are no references, no method steps and no guidance. Suggest to obsolete it or completely rework it to have actual, useful content.		
Withdrawal		No withdrawal made	GO TO "Related" section	
		Withdrawal document received by staff on **, ****	GO TO "Final" → (A)	
Related	Motion and Reason	"Related" is mutually agreed upon.		
		*This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)		
		X	Negative is related (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
			Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
		Reason		
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company) / Name (Company)		
	Discussion	None		
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	XX-XX		
			[Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO "Persuasive"
			[Negative is not related] < 2/3	
		2/3=< [Negative is not related]	GO TO "Final" → (B)	
Persuasive	Motion and Reason		Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
		X	Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
		Reason	The background statement says that "This letter ballot contains only the Purpose, Scope, Limitation and Terminology sections of the Standard being proposed for reapproval. Full copy of this Standard is available upon request." Therefore, the method steps and guidance does not include. NOTICE will be replaced the current version when SEMI staff edits it for the publication.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Yoshifumi Machii (Fujikin) / Noritsugu Ishida (Swagelok)		
	Discussion	None		
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	11-0		
		[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO "Final" → (E)	
	[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3			

			2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO “Final” → (C)
		X	90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO “Not Significant Finding Option”
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used “if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action”. (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.3.2)			
			It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “not significant”	GO TO → (D)
			It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “significant”	GO TO → (C)
	Motion	X	The negative is “not significant”.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Yoshifumi Machii (Fujikin) / Mihira Hiroshi (ACE)		
	Vote	X	11-0 Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO → (D)
		XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority	GO TO → (C)	
Final	Negative is:			
		(A)	withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
		(B)	not related (counted under i in disposition)	
		(C)	related and not persuasive (significant)	
	X	(D)	not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
		(E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS
	Comment generated. See comment #x			
A&R		Not approved		
	Reason:			

Disposition of Reject 1

1	Original number of Negatives	(g)	
	# of Negatives withdrawn	(h)	
	# of Negatives found not related	(i)	
1	# of Negatives found not significant	(j)	
Final	X	g-(h+i+j)=0	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject is Not Valid and is not included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check
		g-(h+i+j)>0	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject is included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check
		Reject without a Negative	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Valid

Note: If all of the negative material included with a reject vote is withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the reject vote is not valid. (Regulations ¶ 9.4.3.3)

None

None

Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)				
Approval Rate	=	27	/	27	=	100.0%	>=90%	

A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

Motion:		X	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
			This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
			Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process.
Motion by/2nd by		Yoshifumi Machii (Fujikin) / Noritsugu Ishida (Swagelok)	
Discussion		None	
Vote		11-0 Motion passed	
A&R			Not approved
		Reason:	

VIII. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

X	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.		
	X	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	
		Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	
		Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee	
	MOTION	Ask ISC for special permission to publish	
		Quit activity	
		Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/ Name (Company)	
	Discussion	XXXX	
	Vote	XX-XX	
	Final Action		Motion Passed
		Motion Failed	
A&R		Not approved	
	Reason:		

* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

IX. Action for this document

Motion	X	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
		This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
		This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.	
		This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.	
Motion by/2nd by		Yoshifumi Machii (Fujikin) / Noritsugu Ishida (Swagelok)	
Discussion		None	
Vote		11-0 Motion passed	
Final Action	X	Motion passed	
		Motion failed	
A&R		Approved	
		Not approved	
	Reason:		