Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: Japan

Global Technical Committee: Silicon Wafer

TC Chapter Cochairs: Naoyuki Kawai/self, Tetsuya Nakai/SUMCO

Standards Staff: Junko Collins

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	03/11/2016	03/11/2016
Location	SEMI Japan Office Tokyo, Japan	SEMI Japan Office Tokyo, Japan
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exception for allowable reason to change.

I. Document Number and Title

Document Number	Document Title
5975	REAPPROVAL OF SEMI M45-1110, SPECIFICATION
	FOR 300mm WAFER SHIPPING SYSTEM

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	56	÷	89	=	62.9%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	22]				
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0]	Total	Vote	rs with Rejects	0
Voting Interest Accept(s)	40]				

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects: No reject

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.4.3.3)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.4.4.2)

IV. Other Technical Issues: No issue

Note: TC Chapter may choose to address a technical issue that is not part of a Negative received on a Letter Ballot (i.e., a Comment or a reason not addressed by a Vote response) by handling it as a Negative and finding it related and technically persuasive. The TC Chapter may then fail the Document or address such technical issue by using the procedure defined in *Regulations* § 9.6.4.3 to make a technical change to the Document. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.4.5)

V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments
Commenter 1 (Supika Mashiro/Tokyo Electron) - Comment 1

			- (define, rekje Electron, comment i				
G	5	*TF	/TC Chapte	r to	fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.				
	Comment	add	This Standard should be either withdrawn or revised to eliminate requirements that states additional constructural /design requirement of FOSB. Such requirements should belong to SEMI M31.						
l		Th	e TC Chapto	er aç	greed to do one of the following actions.				
		Discussion at the TC Chapter meeting: No action presently, in future, at the time of the major revision of the M31, M45 will be also considered.							
	2	*No	motion is	requ	uired in this step.				
	Δction		Already ad	ldres	sed by Commenter #, Comment #				
		Χ	No further	actic	on was taken by the TC Chapter.				
			Refer to th	e TF	for more consideration.				
			New Busin	ess					
			Editorial C	hang	ge				
			Options		Case 1: No vote in this section:				
			for editorial		To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. <i>Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V</i> .				
			change (check		Case 2: Voted in this section:				
			one)		Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.				
Ī,	П		FROM: Section/Paragraph xxx						
	2 1 2	1	TO: Section/Paragraph xxx						
2	Editorial Changes		Justification (If necessary)						
	hanr		FROM: Se	ectio	on/Paragraph xxx				
300	201	2	TO: Secti	on/P	Paragraph xxx				
			Justification (If necessary)						
	Motion			Т	To approve above editorial change(s)				
	M	otior	by/2 nd by	N	lame (Company)/Name (Company)				
	Di	scus	ssion	X	XXX				
	Vo	ote		Х	X Y-XX N; Motion passed/failed.				

This table is needed for each Comment.

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V: No changes

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	40	1	40	=	100.0%	≥90%

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.7.2 for further information.



Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.



Need a Ratification Ballot:

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

VIII. Safety Check

Note: See *Regulations* § 15 for further information.

	X	This is not a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Documer is still technically sound and complete. (Regulations ¶ 8.7.1)								
Motior	This is a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. (Regulations ¶ 8.7.2)									
Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)										
ı	Motion by/2 nd by		oy/2 nd by	Nagashima (Miraial)/Kumai (Company)						
	Discussion									
	Vote			7 Y-0 N; Motion passed						

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.8.1)									
Χ		otentially n righted iter		GO TO SECTION X.					
	copy copy	righted iter right releas	material patented technology or reproduction of litems is known, but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or elease letter for such items has been obtained or the TC Chapter.						
	use (of such ma	teriaİs i	al patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is known and ials is technically justified by the TC Chapter, but an LOA or copyright ome of the item(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the TC Chapter.					
N		Ask ISC f	sk ISC for special permission to publish.						
Motion		Quit activ	ity.	y.					
'n		Wait for L	d items.						
Motion by/2 nd by Nan				ne (Company)/Name (Company)					
Discussion				XXXX					
Vote			XX Y-	X Y-XX N					
	inal ^	ction		Motion passed					
Final Action				Motion failed					

^{*} Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this Letter Ballot.

X. Action for This Document

	X		ment passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R cedural review.							
≤			s Document passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R SC for procedural review.							
Motion		editorial ch	his Document passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without ditorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A atification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.							
		This Document failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.								
		This Docu	ument failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.							
ı	Motion by/ 2 nd by		Nagashima (Miraial)/Kumai (Company)							
	Disc	ussion								
	۷	ote	7 Y-0 N							
	Final Action		X Motion passed							
			Motion failed							

Standards staff to record the result of the A&R procedural review here:

Approved for publication
Approved pending acceptance of the Ratification Ballot
Not approved
Reason: