Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2014 Cycle 5

1 REGION: Japan

2 COMMITTEE: Automation Technology

3 EVENT: Japan Standards Fall 2014 Meetings

4 DATE OF MEETING: 2014/09/25

5 PLACE OF MEETING: SEMI Japan, Tokyo

6 COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Makoto Ishikawa /Nisshinbo Mechatronics, Ken Sambu

/Mitsubishi Electric, Terry Asakawa /Tokyo Electron Limited

7 SEMI STAFF: Chie Yanagisawa

A&R Voter: Name/Company

Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

Document	Document Title: LINE ITEM REVISIONS TO SEMI
5697A	PV35-0414, SPECIFICATION FOR HORIZONTAL
	COMMUNICATION BETWEEN EQUIPMENT FOR
	PHOTOVOLTAIC FABRICATION SYSTEM

II-1 Line item 1

Line Item 1	Line Item Title: Byte order on communication line is not specified precisely but recommended as endian, and it causes mismatch between different equipment suppliers. This Standard shall specify valuable data and define how to align data, but shall not define byte order. Byte order shall be defined by PV35.1.
	Accordingly, delete section7.3.5.

1. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after the close of the voting period

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations \P 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	46	÷	75	=	61.3%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	28					
Total Vote	74					
Reject	0					
Accept	24					

A&R

Not approved

Reason:

2. RejectsThere was no reject vote received for Line Item 1 of the document 5697A.

3. Comments

Comment 1

	Referenced Section			*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary
Col		Fr	om	Eberhard Teichmann / PEER Group GmbH
Comment	Comment			PV35, line item 1: specifying data alignment, but not byte order in this standard seems inconsistent. I'm also missing a line item for adding byte order to PV35.1.
	[Discu	ıssion	Addition to PV35.1 was already done. This line item simply deletes the part, which is not required and is in conflict with the definition in PV35.1, in PV35.
	X	The	e commit	tee agreed to do one of the following actions.
	^	*No	motion	is required in this step.
		Χ	No furth	er action was taken by the committee.
			Refer to	the task force for more consideration.
			New Bus	siness
			Other	
	Editorial Change			
Act			Case 1:	No vote in this section :
Action proposed			To be in	cluded and voted on in <u>4. Summary of Editorial Changes</u> .
rop			Case 2:	Voted in this section :
osed			Original "TO" fie	section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and elds.
			FROM:	Section xxx
		1	To: Sec	ction xxx
			Justific	ration (If necessary)
			FROM:	Section xxx
		2	To: Sec	ction xxx
			eation (If necessary)	
N	/loti	ion b	y/2nd	Name (Company)/Name (Company)

Vote		XX-XX Motion passed (or failed)
A&R	No	ot approved
Adit	Reaso	on:

4. Summary of Editorial Changes

There is no editorial change for Line Item 1 of the document 5697A.

5. Approval Conditions Check

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, or not persuasive. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of the valid accept and reject votes must be accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.3)

Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the balloted item fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	30	/	30	=	100.0%	>=90%

6. Preliminary action for this line item

	•	Tremmary action for this line item										
	X	This line item passed committee review as balloted.										
Motion		This line item passed committee review with editorial changes										
Ĭ		Thi	This line item failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.									
		Thi	s lin	e ite	m failed committee review and work will be discontinued.							
M	lotion	by/ 2	nd by	, I	Makoto Ishikawa (Nisshinbo Mechatronics) / Naoko Murata (Tokyo Electron Limited)							
	Disc	cussi	on	1	None							
	7	Vote		4	5-0							
	Time.	l Act		2	X Motion passed							
	rma	I ACI	1011		Motion failed							
			A	Approved								
	A&1	R		No	t approved							
			Re	asoı	ı:							

III. Safety Check

This section applies to the entire document.

See Section 14 of the Reg	gulations for further information

	X	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.									
Motion:			This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.								
M			Safety Chec (Regulations	klist is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process. $\$$ 14.3)							
	Moti	ion k	y/ 2nd by	Makoto Ishikawa (Nisshinbo Mechatronics) / Naoko Murata (Tokyo Electron Limited)							
]	Discu	ıssion	None							
	Vote			5-0 Motion passed							
	A&I	R	Not ap	proved							
			Reason.								

IV. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

Х		The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.									
	Х		otentially r s are know	GO TO SECTION V							
		are k	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee. GO TO SECTION								
		сору	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee								
	M		Ask ISC	for spe	or special permission to publish						
	MOTION		Quit activ								
	ž		Wait for I	_OA fo	OA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.						
	Mo	tion by	//2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)							
	D	iscus	sion	XXXX	(
		Vot	е	XX-X	X						
		inal A	otion	Motion Passed							
		IIIaI A	CHOH		Motion Failed						
	° D		Not appro	oved		_					
A	&R	Reas	Reason:								

^{*} Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

V. Action for this document

= 0	X		Line item(s) [1] passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R f procedural review.									
Motion (Check al applicable items)			Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.									
(Choapplant)		Line rewor	m(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed committee review and will be returned	I to the task force for								
		Line i	ine item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed committee review and work will be discontinued.									
Motion by	y/ 2n	d by	Makoto Ishikawa (Nisshinbo Mechatronics) / Naoko Murata (Tokyo Electron Limited)									
Discu	ssior	1	None									
Vo	te		-0									
E* 1	4 • -	_	Motion passed									
Final A	Actio	n	Motion failed									
		Appı	Approved									
A&R		Not a	Not approved									
	Reason:											