

# Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2014 Cycle 5

- 1 REGION: Japan  
 2 COMMITTEE: Automation Technology  
 3 EVENT: Japan Standards Fall 2014 Meetings  
 4 DATE OF MEETING: 2014/09/25  
 5 PLACE OF MEETING: SEMI Japan, Tokyo  
 6 COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Makoto Ishikawa /Nisshinbo Mechatronics, Ken Sambu /Mitsubishi Electric, Terry Asakawa /Tokyo Electron Limited  
 7 SEMI STAFF: Chie Yanagisawa

A&R Voter: Name/Company  
 Date: 200X/MM/DD

## I. Document Number & Title

<b>Document</b> <b>5698A</b>	<b>Document Title: LINE ITEM REVISION TO SEMI PV35.1-0114, MEDIA INTERFACE SPECIFICATIONS FOR A HORIZONTAL COMMUNICATION BETWEEN EQUIPMENT</b>
---------------------------------	--

## II-1 Line item 1

<b>Line Item 1</b>	<b>Line Item Title: The definition how to connect and maintain sessions of TCP are not specified. Revise the following items accordingly.</b>
--------------------	---

### 1. Tally (Staff to fill in)

**Voting Tally: As-cast tally after the close of the voting period**

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	46	÷	75	=	61.3%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	28					
-----						
Total Vote	74					
Reject	0					
Accept	24					

<b>A&amp;R</b>	<b>Not approved</b>
	<b>Reason:</b>

## 2. Rejects

There was no reject vote received for Line Item 1 of the document 5697A.

## 3. Comments

### Comment 1

Comment	Referenced Section	<b>*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary</b>	
	From	<b>Eberhard Teichmann / PEER Group GmbH</b>	
	Comment	PV35.1, Line item 1.3: "lower IP address" is still a nonsensical statement. There is no standardized ordering of IP addresses. I recommend striking this item completely or replacing it with something like: "Within the two TCP ports which form one HC Line connection, one shall be configured as client, the other as server. It is up to the user to decide which one to assign which role."	
	Discussion	TF members think that "lower IP address" means "small in number of address" and is sufficient.	
Action proposed	x	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions.	
		<b>*No motion is required in this step.</b>	
		x	No further action was taken by the committee.
			Refer to the task force for more consideration.
			New Business
	Editorial Change		Other
	1	Case 1: No vote in this section :	
		<b>To be included and voted on in <u>4. Summary of Editorial Changes.</u></b>	
		Case 2: Voted in this section :	
		<b>Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.</b>	
		FROM: Section <b>xxx</b>	
To: Section <b>xxx</b>			
Justification (If necessary)			
2	FROM: Section <b>xxx</b>		
	To: Section <b>xxx</b>		
	Justification (If necessary)		
Motion by/2nd		Name (Company)/Name (Company)	



### III. Safety Check

This section applies to the entire document.

See Section 14 of the Regulations for further information

Motion:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Checklist is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process. (Regulations ¶ 14.3)
Motion by/ 2nd by		Makoto Ishikawa (Nisshinbo Mechatronics) / Naoko Murata (Tokyo Electron Limited)
Discussion		None
Vote		5-0 Motion passed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

### IV. Intellectual Property Check

**Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information**

X	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	GO TO SECTION V
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	GO TO SECTION V
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee	
	MOTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ask ISC for special permission to publish
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Quit activity
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.
	Motion by/2 <sup>nd</sup> by		Name (Company)/Name (Company)
	Discussion		XXXX
	Vote		XX-XX
	Final Action		<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>			Motion Failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved	
	Reason:		

\* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

## V. Action for this document

<b>Motion</b> (Check all applicable items)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [1] passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed committee review and work will be discontinued.
<b>Motion by/ 2nd by</b>		Makoto Ishikawa (Nisshinbo Mechatronics) / Naoko Murata (Tokyo Electron Limited)
<b>Discussion</b>		None
<b>Vote</b>		5-0
<b>Final Action</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed
<b>A&amp;R</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	