

Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2014 Cycle 7

REGION: **Japan**

COMMITTEE: **Traceability Committee**

1 EVENT: **SEMICON Japan 2014**

2 DATE OF MEETING: **2014/12/05**

3 PLACE OF MEETING: **Tokyo Big Sight, Tokyo**

COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: **Yoichi Iga/JSA, Hirokazu Tsunobuchi/Keyence**

SEMI STAFF: **Chie Yanagisawa**

A&R Voter: Name/Company

Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

Document 5752	Document Title: Revision to SEMI T7-0303 (Reapproved 0709) "Specification for Back Surface Marking of Double-Side Polished Wafers with a Two-Dimensional Matrix Code Symbol"
--------------------------	---

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: **As-cast tally after close of voting period**

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution	=	Return Rate	
Yellow	29	÷	48	=	60.4%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	22					

Total Vote	51					
Reject	1					
Accept	21					

A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

III. Rejects

Reject 1 (John Vally / SunEdison Semiconductor Limited)

Negative 1 of Reject 1

Negative	Referenced Section	¶5.3.1.1 and Table 1																					
	Reason	<p>Both 5.3.1.1 and Table 1 should be changed to use SEMI M20 coordinates. All wafer metrology and subsequent process interferences which might occur due to this T7 mark will use M20 coordinates. For example, wafer dimensional metrology tools report the wafer thickness disruption in M20 coordinates.</p> <p>Existing: 5.3.1.1 The 8 row × 32 column rectangular 2-D matrix code symbol shall be placed so that the reference point is located the distance as given in Table 1 from the center of the wafer, along a radius $5.0 \pm 0.1^\circ$ counterclockwise from the orientation fiducial axis.</p> <p>Proposed 5.3.1.1 The 2-D matrix code symbol, 8 rows × 32 columns (see Fig. 2), shall be placed (see Fig. 3) so that the reference point is located at the radial distance from wafer center specified as given in Table 1. When viewed from above with the back side facing upward the mark lies at $5.0 \pm 0.1^\circ$ counterclockwise from the orientation fiducial axis. The SEMI M20 coordinates specified in Table 1 do not change when the wafer is flipped and easily relate to specification of metrology exclusion areas.</p> <p>Proposed Table 1:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="5">Table 1 Code Symbol Reference Point Location (SEMI M20 coordinate space)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Nominal Wafer Diameter (mm)</th> <th>Radius (mm)</th> <th>Theta (deg.)</th> <th>~ x(mm)</th> <th>~ y(mm)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>300</td> <td>148.95 ± 0.15</td> <td>265 ± 0.1</td> <td>-12.98</td> <td>-148.38</td> </tr> <tr> <td>450</td> <td>223.95 ± 0.15</td> <td>265 ± 0.1</td> <td>-19.52</td> <td>-223.10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Table 1 Code Symbol Reference Point Location (SEMI M20 coordinate space)					Nominal Wafer Diameter (mm)	Radius (mm)	Theta (deg.)	~ x(mm)	~ y(mm)	300	148.95 ± 0.15	265 ± 0.1	-12.98	-148.38	450	223.95 ± 0.15	265 ± 0.1	-19.52
Table 1 Code Symbol Reference Point Location (SEMI M20 coordinate space)																							
Nominal Wafer Diameter (mm)	Radius (mm)	Theta (deg.)	~ x(mm)	~ y(mm)																			
300	148.95 ± 0.15	265 ± 0.1	-12.98	-148.38																			
450	223.95 ± 0.15	265 ± 0.1	-19.52	-223.10																			
Withdrawal		No withdrawal made	GO TO "Related" section																				
	X	Withdrawal document received by staff on November 25, 2014	GO TO "Final" → (A)																				
Related	Motion and Reason	"Related" is mutually agreed upon.																					
		*This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)																					
		Negative is related (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)																					
		Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)																					
	Reason	XXXX																					
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)																					
Discussion																							
Result of Vote (check ONE)	XX-XX																						
		[Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO "Persuasive"																				
		[Negative is not related] < 2/3																					
	2/3=< [Negative is not related]	GO TO "Final" → (B)																					

Persuasive	Motion and Reason		Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
			Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
		Reason	XXXX	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
	Discussion			
	Result of Vote (check ONE)		XX-XX	
		[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO "Final" → (E)	
		[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3		
		2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO "Final" → (C)	
		90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option"	
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used "if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action". (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.3.2)			
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "not significant"	GO TO → (D)	
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "significant"	GO TO → (C)	
	Motion	The negative is "not significant".		
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
	Vote		XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO → (D)
		XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority	GO TO → (C)	
Final	Negative is:			
	X	(A)	withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
		(B)	not related (counted under i in disposition)	
		(C)	related and not persuasive (significant)	
		(D)	not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
		(E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS
X	Comment generated. See IV Comments, #5			
A&R		Not approved		
	Reason:			

Disposition of Reject 1

1	Original number of Negatives	(g)
1	# of Negatives withdrawn	(h)
0	# of Negatives found not related	(i)
0	# of Negatives found not significant	(j)
Final	X g-(h+i+j)=0	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject is Not Valid and is not included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check
	g-(h+i+j)>0	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject is included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check
	Reject without a Negative	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Valid

Note: If all of the negative material included with a reject vote is withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the reject vote is not valid. (Regulations ¶ 9.4.3.3)

A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

IV. Comments

Comment 1

Comment	Referenced Section	Section 2.1 and Figure 1
	From	Dr. Rafael Vargas-Bernal (Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Irapuato)
	Comment	In subsection 2.1 "diamters" must be changed by "diameters". In Figure 1 "CL" must be separated to be clear its reading.
	Discussion	Editorial Change in "V. Summary of Editorial Changes"
Action proposed	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions.	
	*No motion is required in this step.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the committee.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the task force for more consideration.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	New Business
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	
	Editorial Change	
	Case 1: No vote in this section :	
	To be included and voted on in <u>§ 5. Summary of Editorial Changes.</u>	

		Case 2: Voted in this section :
		Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.
	1	FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
	2	FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
	Motion by/2nd	Name (Company)/Name (Company)
	Vote	XX-XX Motion passed (or failed)
A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

Comment 2

Comment	Referenced Section	2.1
	From	Mahiro Supika (Tokyo Electron)
	Comment	Divide the paragraph into 2 paragraphs, one for “silicon wafers with diameters of 300mm and 450mm that comply with SEMI M1” and another for “wafers of other materials with diameters of 300mm and larger.”
	Discussion	The concept may be extendable for wafers of other materials with diameter larger than 300mm but no specific coordinate for location of Data Matrix Code is given in the Document
Action proposed	X	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions. *No motion is required in this step.
		No further action was taken by the committee.
		Refer to the task force for more consideration.
	X	New Business
		Other
Editorial Change		

		Case 1: No vote in this section :
		To be included and voted on in § 5. Summary of Editorial Changes .
		Case 2: Voted in this section :
		Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.
1		FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
2		FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
Motion by/2nd	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
Vote	XX-XX Motion passed (or failed)	
A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

Comment 3

Comment	Referenced Section	5.3.1.1
	From	Mahiro Supika (Tokyo Electron)
	Comment	Reword “so that the reference point is located the distance as given in Table 1 from the center of the wafer, along a radius $5.0 \pm 0.1^\circ$ counterclockwise from the orientation fiducial axis” to “so that the reference point is located at the radial distance as given in Table 1 from the center of the wafer and at $5.0 \pm 0.1^\circ$ counterclockwise from the primary orientation fiducial axis
	Discussion	Editorial Change in “V. Summary of Editorial Changes”
Action proposed	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions.	
	*No motion is required in this step.	
		No further action was taken by the committee.
		Refer to the task force for more consideration.
		New Business
	Other	

Editorial Change		
		Case 1: No vote in this section :
		To be included and voted on in <u>§ 5. Summary of Editorial Changes.</u>
		Case 2: Voted in this section :
		Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.
	1	FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
	2	FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
Justification (If necessary)		
Motion by/2nd	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
Vote	XX-XX Motion passed (or failed)	
A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

Comment 4




Comment	Referenced Section	3.1		
	From	Tetsuya Nakai (SUMCO)		
	Comment	We need to add SEMI M20 in 3.1 SEMI Standards, as a referenced standard.		
	Discussion	Currently, M20 is not used in T7		
Action proposed	X	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions.		
		*No motion is required in this step.		
	X	No further action was taken by the committee.		
		Refer to the task force for more consideration.		
		New Business		
		Other		
	Editorial Change			
		1	Case 1: No vote in this section :	
			To be included and voted on in § 5. Summary of Editorial Changes.	
		1	Case 2: Voted in this section :	
			Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.	
			FROM: Section xxx	
		1	To: Section xxx	
			Justification (If necessary)	
FROM: Section xxx				
2		To: Section xxx		
	Justification (If necessary)			
Motion by/2nd		Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
Vote		XX-XX Motion passed (or failed)		
A&R		Not approved		
	Reason:			

Comment 5

Comment	Referenced Section	¶5.3.1.1 and Table 1		
	From	John Vally / SunEdison Semiconductor Limited		
	Comment	Please withdraw my negative on Ballot #5752. I agree that my proposed changes can be discussed as New Business.		
	Discussion			
Action proposed	X	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions.		
		*No motion is required in this step.		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the committee.	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the task force for more consideration.	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New Business	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other		
	Editorial Change			
		Case 1: No vote in this section :		
		To be included and voted on in § 5. Summary of Editorial Changes.		
		Case 2: Voted in this section :		
		Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.		
		1	FROM: Section xxx	
To: Section xxx				
Justification (If necessary)				
2		FROM: Section xxx		
		To: Section xxx		
	Justification (If necessary)			
Motion by/2nd		Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
Vote		XX-XX Motion passed (or failed)		
A&R		Not approved		
	Reason:			

V. Summary of Editorial Changes

Note: Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.

1	FROM: Section 2.1 2.1 This specification defines the geometric and spatial relationships and content (including the error checking and correcting code) of a rectangular two-dimensional (2-D), machine-readable, binary data matrix code symbol for back surface marking of double-side polished silicon wafers with diameters of 300 mm and 450 mm that comply with SEMI M1 and wafers of other materials with diameters of 300 mm and larger.
	TO: Section 2.1 2.1 This specification defines the geometric and spatial relationships and content (including the error checking and correcting code) of a rectangular two-dimensional (2-D), machine-readable, binary data matrix code symbol for back surface marking of double-side polished silicon wafers with diameters of 300 mm and 450 mm that comply with SEMI M1 and wafers of other materials with diameters of 300 mm and larger.
	Justification: (If necessary)
2	FROM: Section 5.1 Figure 1 and Figure 2
	TO: Section 5.1 Figure 1 and Figure 2 The symbol  in Figure 1 is changed to  in using Figure 2. Add a NOTE ‘the symbol  means “Center Line”.’ in both Figure 1 and Figure 2.
	Justification: (If necessary)
3	FROM: Section 5.3.1.1 The 8 row x 32 column rectangular 2-D matrix code symbol shall be placed so that the reference point is located the distance as given in Table 1 from the center of the wafer, along a radius 5.0 ± 0.1° counterclockwise from the orientation fiducial axis.
	TO: Section 5.3.1.1 The 8 row x 32 column rectangular 2-D matrix code symbol shall be placed so that the reference point is located at the radial distance as given in Table 1 from the center of the wafer and at 5.0 ± 0.1° counterclockwise from the orientation fiducial axis.
	Justification: (If necessary)
Motion To approve the above editorial changes	
Motion by/2nd by Mitsuhiro Matsuda (Hitachi Kokusai Electric) / Tetsuya Nakai (SUMCO)	
Discussion None	
Vote 7-0 Motion passed	
A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

VI. Approval Conditions Check

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, or not persuasive. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of the valid accept and reject votes must be accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.3)

Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)					
Approval Rate	=	21	/	21	=	100.0%			>=90%

A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

VII. Safety Check

See § 14 of the Regulations for further information

Motion:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process.
Motion by/2nd by		Mitsuhiro Matsuda (Hitachi Kokusai Electric) / Tetsuya Nakai (SUMCO)
Discussion		None
Vote		5-0 Motion passed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

VIII. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

X	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	GO TO SECTION IX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	GO TO SECTION IX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee	
MOTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ask ISC for special permission to publish
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quit activity
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.
Motion by/2 nd by		Name (Company)/Name (Company)
Discussion		XXXX
Vote		XX-XX
Final Action		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

IX. Action for this document

Motion	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.	
Motion by/2nd by		Mitsuhiro Matsuda (Hitachi Kokusai Electric) / Tetsuya Nakai (SUMCO)	
Discussion		None	
Vote		7-0	
Final Action		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved	
		Reason:	