Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America

Global Technical Committee: Information and Control TC Chapter Cochairs: Brian Rubow / Cimetrix, Jack Ghiselli

Standards Staff: Inna Skvortsova

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	11/09/2016	11/09/2016
Location	SEMI HQ, San Jose, CA	SEMI HQ, San Jose, CA
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See Regulations ¶ 9.5 Exception for allowable reason to change.

I. Document Number and Title

Document Number	Document Title
6065	Reapproval for SEMI E151-1211, Guide for
	Understanding Data Quality

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	64	÷	105	=	61.0%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	17					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	1		Total	Vote	rs with Rejects	1
Voting Interest Accept(s)	33					

Note: See *Regulations* § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

Voting Interest Reject 1 (Voting Interest Name: MitchSakamoto) Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Sakamoto, Mitsune, Self)

Neg	ative	1
-----	-------	---

1109	ative i						-	
Nec	Referenced Section/ Paragraph	*TF	/TC Chapter to fill in, ir	ncludi	ng text in the ballo	ot if n	necessary.	
Negative	Negative Text	сор	iginal complete Negati ied. 51 should be obsol	ion, suggestion) should be				
TFi	nput (optional)	ref	e specification is be erenced in the latest t "obsolete"	_	_		ne company and is ee www.itrs2.net) so it is	
	Withdrawal		No Negative withdrawa	ıl mad	e by Voter.		GO TO "Related" subsection	
	(check one)		Withdrawal document r	eceive	ed by Standards sta	aff on	GO TO "Final" subsection → (A)	
		X	'Related' is mutually ag	reed u	ipon. (Needs no m	otion	GO TO "Persuasive" subsection	
	Motion and Reason		Negative is not related.	(Need	ds ≥2/3 votes to pa	ass.)		
	(check one)		Reason	XXXX				
Rela	Motion by/ 2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)						
Related	Discussion							
		XX Y -XX N ; Motion passed/failed.						
	Result of Vote (check one)		[Negative is not related	.] < 2/3	3		GO TO "Persuasive" subsection	
	(eneck che)		2/3 ≤ [Negative is not re	elated.]		GO TO "Final" subsection → (B)	
			Negative is related and	persu	asive. (Needs >1/3	3 vote	es to pass.)	
	Motion and Reason (check one)	X	Negative is related and	not pe	ersuasive. (Needs ?	≥2/3 י	votes to pass.)	
Per	(0.10011 0110)		Reason				at least one company and is (2015 version, see www.itrs2.net)	
Persuasive	Motion by/ 2 nd by	Jam	nes Moyne (AMAT/Unive	ersity c	f Michigan)/Brian R	Rubov	w (Cimterix)	
Ve	Discussion	Non	ne					
		7 Y-	-0 N ; Motion passed					
	Result of Vote (check one)		[Negative is related and persuasive.] > 1/3	d	Is a technical change recommended? (check one)		Y GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection	

1 1			PN I a seed to the seed of a seed of				-	00 T0 "Fix-III		
			[Negative is related and n persuasive.] < 2/3	iot			N	GO TO "Final" subsection → (E)		
			2/3 ≤ [Negative is related							
			and not persuasive.] < 90	%	GO TO "Final" s	ubse	ctio	n → (C)		
		X	90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.]	d	GO TO "Not Sig	nifica	nt F	Finding Option" subsection		
								uasive by a vote equal to or		
	greater than 90%	6 of	the persons voting on the	e ac	tion". (<i>Regulatio</i>	ons ¶	9.6.	4.4.2)		
Not			l , , , , ,			" .		00 T0 "F" III I I		
t Sig	Use of "Not	X	It is mutually agreed upor significant".	n to t	term the Negative	"not		GO TO "Final" subsection → (D)		
) Inif	significant		It is mutually agreed upor	n to t	term the Negative			GO TO "Final" subsection		
Significant Finding	finding option"		"significant".				→ (C)			
nt	(check one)		Whether or not the Negat	ive i	s "not significant"	is dec	ride	d by a vote		
ΙFin			Whether or not the Negative is "not significant" is decided by a vote.							
dir	Motion	The Negative is "not significant".								
	Motion by/ 2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)								
Option	Vota		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed with simple majority				GO TO "Final" subsection → (D)			
	Vote		XX Y-XX N; Motion failed	Motion failed with simple majority			GO TO "Final" subsection → (C)			
			(A) Withdra	awn	(counted under	h in d	lisp	osition)		
			(B) Not rela	ated	(counted under	i in d	ispo	osition)		
	(check if		(C) Related	d and	d not persuasive ((signif	ficar	nt)		
Fina	applicable)	X	(D) Not sig	Not significant (counted under j in disposition)			sposition)			
ıal			addres	sed	d persuasive and by technical chan	ge		DOCUMENT FAILS		
			(F) Addres	sed	by technical chan	ige (c	oun	ted under k disposition)		
(check if applicable) Comment generated. See Section V-(ii) Comment # X.										

Disposition of Voting Interest Reject 1

Check only when the Document has not been failed.

1	Original	num	ber (#) of Negatives	(g)				
0	Number	of N	egatives withdrawn		(h)			
0	0 Number of Negatives found not related (i)							
1	Number	of N	egatives found not significant	(j)				
0	Number of Negatives addressed by technical change (Negative becomes not significant)				(k)			
		X	g - (h + i + j + k) = 0	Reject is Not Valid and denominator of § VI. A	l is not included in the pproval Conditions Check			
	Final		g - (h + i +j + k) >0	Reject is included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check				
Reject without a Negative Not Valid								

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.4.3.3)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.4.4.2)

IV. Other Technical Issues

Note: TC Chapter may choose to address a technical issue that is not part of a Negative received on a Letter Ballot (i.e., a Comment or a reason not addressed by a Vote response) by handling it as a Negative and finding it related and technically persuasive. The TC Chapter may then fail the Document or address such technical issue by using the procedure defined in *Regulations* § 9.6.4.3 to make a technical change to the Document. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.4.5)

NONE

V. Comments

NONE

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

Original section/paragraph number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.

NONE

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	33	/	33	=	100.0%	≥90%

VII. - (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.7.2 for further information.

X	Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
	Need a Ratification Ballot: The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

VIII. Safety Check

Note: See Regulations § 15 for further information.

	X	TI	nis is not a	Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)				
M		1						
lotion	This is a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2)							
			Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)					
	Motion by/2 nd by			Brain Rubow (Cimetrix) / Matsuda Mitsuhiro (Hitachi Ltd)				
Discussion			ussion	None				
		٧	ote	8 Y-0 N; Motion passed				

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.8.1)					
X No potentially material patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is known.		GO TO SECTION X.			

^{*} Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this Letter Ballot

X. Action for This Document

	X	This Document passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
Motion		This Document passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
ion		This Document passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.
		This Document failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.

This Document failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.		
Motion by/ 2 nd by	Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) / Chris Maloney (INTEL)	
Discussion	None	
Vote	8 Y-0 N	
Final Action	X Motion passed	
	Motion failed	

Standards staff to record the result of the A&R procedural review here:

	Approved for publication	
	Approved pending acceptance of the Ratification Ballot	
	Not approved	
Re	Reason:	
	Re	