Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: Japan

Global Technical Committee: Silicon Wafer

TC Chapter Cochairs: Naoyuki Kawai/University of Tokyo, Tetsuya Nakai/SUMCO

Standards Staff: Junko Collins

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	12/15/2016	12/15/2016
Location	Conference Tower, Tokyo Big Sight, Tokyo	Conference Tower, Tokyo Big Sight, Tokyo
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See Regulations ¶ 9.5 Exception for allowable reason to change.

I. Document Number and Title

Document Title
Reapproval of SEMI MF978-1106 (Reapproved
1111)Test Method for Characterizing Semiconductor
Deep Levels by Transient Capacitance Techniques

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	57	÷	95	=	60.0%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	24					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0		Total	Vote	rs with Rejects	0
Voting Interest Accept(s)	32					

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects: None

Check only when the Document has not been failed.

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.4.3.3)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.4.4.2)

IV. Other Technical Issues: None

Note: TC Chapter may choose to address a technical issue that is not part of a Negative received on a Letter Ballot (i.e., a Comment or a reason not addressed by a Vote response) by handling it as a Negative and finding it related and technically persuasive. The TC Chapter may then fail the Document or address such technical issue by using the procedure defined in *Regulations* § 9.6.4.3 to make a technical change to the Document. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.4.5)

V. Comments

V-(i) Voters' Comments: None

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative: None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V: None

Original section/paragraph number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. -(i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	32	/	32	=	100.0%	≥90%

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.7.2 for further information.

Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.

Need a Ratification Ballot:

X

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

VIII. Safety Check
Note: See Regulations § 15 for further information.

	x	Th is	This is not a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)								
Motion	ety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is sound and complete. ($Regulations$ ¶ 8.7.2)										
	ecklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)										
I	Motion by/2 nd by		by/2 nd by	R. Takeda (GWJ)/T. Otsuki (SEH)							
	Discussion			None							
	Vote			10 Y-0 N; Motion passed							

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See Regulations § 16 for further information.

The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.8.1)											
Х		otentially n righted iter		GO TO SECTION X.							
Potentially material patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is known, but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release letter for such items has been obtained or presented to the TC Chapter.											
	Potentially material patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is known use of such materials is technically justified by the TC Chapter, but an LOA or copyright release letter for some of the item(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the TC Ch										
N		Ask ISC f	or spec	or special permission to publish.							
Motion		Quit activ	ity.	у.							
n		Wait for L	OA for	OA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.							
Mot	ion by	y/2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)								
D	iscus	ssion	XXXX								
	Vot	te	XX Y-XX N								
F	inal A	ction		Motion passed							
Final Action				Motion failed							

^{*} Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this Letter Ballot.

X. Action for This Document

M	x	This Document passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A SC for procedural review.									
			Document passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R SC for procedural review.								
Motion		This Document passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.									
		This Docu	ment failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.								
		This Document failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.									
1	Motion by/ 2 nd by		R. Takeda (GWJ)/T. Otsuki (SEH)								
	Discussion Vote Final Action		None								
			9 Y-0 N								
F			X Motion passed								
1 '			Motion failed								

Standards staff to record the result of the A&R procedural review here:

		Approved for publication
A&R		Approved pending acceptance of the Ratification Ballot
Adr		Not approved
	Re	eason: