Procedural Review Voting Sheet

2014 Cycle 4

REGION: North America

COMMITTEE: 3DS-IC

EVENT: SEMICON West 2014

DATE OF MEETING: July 8, 2014

PLACE OF MEETING: San Francisco Marriott Marquis Hotel in San Francisco, California

COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Rich Allen/NIST, Chris Moore/BayTech-Resor, Sesh Ramaswami/Applied Materials, Urmi Ray/Qualcomm

SEMI STAFF: Paul Trio

A&R Voter: Name/Company

Date: 201x/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Document 5447A** | **New Standard: Terminology for Through Glass Via and Blind Via in Glass Geometrical Metrology** |

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

**Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period**

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)



|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **A&R** |  | **Not approved** |
| **Reason:** | |

**III. Rejects**

There were no reject votes received for ballot 5447A.

IV. Comments

There were no comments received for ballot 5447A.

V. Summary of Editorial Changes

There were no editorial changes for ballot 5447A.

VI. Approval Conditions Check

**APPROVAL CONDITION 1:** All negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, or not persuasive. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2)

**APPROVAL CONDITION 2:** At least 90% of the sum of the valid accept and reject votes must be accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.3)

**Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.**



|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **A&R** |  | **Not approved** |
| **Reason:** | |

VII. Safety Check

See § 14 of the Regulations for further information

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Motion:** | **x** | This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete. | | | | |
|  | This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete. | | | | |
|  |  | Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process. | | | |
| **Motion by/2nd by** | | | | | | Chris Moore (BayTech-Resor) / Bevan Wu (BW & Associates) |
| **Discussion** | | | | | | None |
| **Vote** | | | | | | 10-0 Motion passed |
| **A&R** | | | |  | **Not approved** | |
| **Reason:** | | |

VIII. Intellectual Property Check

**Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| x | The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items\* in the Standard or Guideline. | | | | | | |
|  | x | No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known | | | | | **GO TO SECTION IX** |
|  | Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee. | | | | | **GO TO SECTION IX** |
|  | Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee | | | | | |
| **MOTION** |  | | Ask ISC for special permission to publish | | | |
|  | | Quit activity | | | |
|  | | Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items. | | | |
| **Motion by/2nd by** | | | | Name (Company)/Name (Company) | | |
| **Discussion** | | | | XXXX | | |
| **Vote** | | | | XX-XX | | |
| **Final Action** | | | |  | Motion Passed | |
|  | Motion Failed | |
| **A&R** | |  | **Not approved** | | | | |
| **Reason:** | | | | | |

\* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

IX. Action for this document

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Motion** | **x** | This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review. | | | | |
|  | This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review. | | | | |
|  | This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework. | | | | |
|  | This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued. | | | | |
| **Motion by/2nd by** | | | | | Steve Martell (Sonoscan) / Bevan Wu (BW & Associates) | |
| **Discussion** | | | | | None | |
| **Vote** | | | | | 9-0 | |
| **Final Action** | | | | | x | Motion passed |
|  | Motion failed |
| **A&R** | | |  | **Approved** | | |
|  | **Not approved** | | |
| **Reason:** | | | |