# Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America
Global Technical Committee: EH&S

TC Chapter Cochairs: Bert Planting (ASML), Chris Evanston (Salus Engineering), Sean Larsen (Lam

Research)

Standards Staff: Kevin Nguyen

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	April 6, 2023	April 6, 2023
Location	SEMI HQ, Milpitas, CA	SEMI HQ, Milpitas, CA
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See Regulations ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

### **Document Information**

I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

Socument Number, Title, Elsts of Ellie Items							
Doc	ument Number	Document Title					
6940A		Line Item Revisions to SEMI S2-0821, Environmental, Health, and Safety Guideline for Semiconductor Manufacturing Equipment (Delayed Revisions Related to Mention of SEMI S18 and the Invocation of SEMI S30)					
<b>♀</b> □	Line Item 1	Line Item Title Add Delayed Revision Section X to SEMI S02-0821					
	Line Item 2	Line Item Title Add Delayed Revision Section Y to SEMI S02-0821					

1

#### **Line Item 1 Adjudication**

#### II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

#### **Voting Tally:**

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate		
Letter Ballot	65	÷	104	=	62.5%	≥60%	
Intercommittee Ballot	34	]					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0		Total	Total Voters with Rejects			
Voting Interest Accept(s)	50						

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

#### III. Rejects None

# IV. Other Technical Issues None

V. Comments V- (i) Voters' Comments None

## V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative None

## VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V None

### VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	50	/	50	=	100.0%	≥90%

### VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.6.2 for further information.

X	Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
	Need a Ratification Ballot: Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

#### **Line Item 2 Adjudication**

### II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

#### **Voting Tally:**

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	65	÷	104	=	62.5%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	34					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	1		Total	Vote	rs with Rejects	1
Voting Interest Accept(s)	48					

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

### III. Rejects

**Voting Interest Reject 1 (Voting Interest Name: AMAT)** 

**Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Palmero, Edwin, AMAT)** 

Negative 1

	Section/	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in, including text in the ballot if necessary.
Neg	Paragraph	In section 23.y,
Negative	Negative Text	*Original complete Negative text (e.g., issue, justification, suggestion) should be copied.
		SEMI S30 should just be a reference, not a requirement to document with an assessment report.

		I= = .						
		Edwin Palmero, Applied Mat						
		I will not be able to attend today's TF meeting on short notice. AMAT's response was cumulative from an internal discussion with product safety engineers. Several PSEs raised the comment of a negative vote, due to concerns of complicating the S2 review by mandating an S30 evaluation and report. If additional details are needed, I can compile AMAT detailed responses.						
		es21feb23: Replied the same day:						
		It would be helpful if you the overall assessment to	could provide the rationale for objecting to SEMI S2.	inclusion of assessment to SEMI S30 in				
		The decision as to whether Applied Materials' Reject vote causes the ballot to fail will be made at the meeting of the North America Chapter of the EHS Technical Committee and Applied Materials will have opportunity to present its rationale there. However, it is a traditional courtesy to provide the rationals Reject vote to the task force, so the task force can discuss it before that meeting.						
		Therefore, please provide	whatever you can for the task force to dis	cuss.				
		tf28feb23:						
TF	input (optional)	es: Have received nothing for	ırther from Applied Materials.					
		This ballot was proposed because the majority of the Energetic Materials TF felt that the guidance in SEMI S30 was both useful and important and, therefore, should be applied to the relevant SME. Incorporating SEMI S30 by reference in SEMI S2 was determined to be the better way (as opposed to copying the information into SEMI S2) to drive the use of the guidance in SEMI S30. The North America Chapter of the EHS Technical Committee agreed to send a Line Item ballot to modify SEMI S2 by incorporating S30 by reference. Approximately 98% (i.e., all except Applied Materials) of the Voting Interests that cast ballots on Document 6940A agreed with the Energetic Materials TF.						
		Incorporating SEMI S30 by reference, including reporting criteria, is a "firmer" way to guide people than is merely remarking as to SEMI S30's existence. The other Line Item on this ballot does add, to SEMI S2, a reference to SEMI S18 that is purely informative. That was done because incorporating a normative invocation of SEM1 S18 was felt, by a substantial portion of the EHS TC, to be impractical because SEMI S18 is not structured in a manner conducive to equipment assessment. SEMI S30, however, was written with consideration of equipment assessment and describes how it is to be done.						
		Recommend finding this Related, Not Persuasive: Y: 1 N: 0						
		tf07mar23: As there were three participants, repeated vote: RNP Y: 3 N: 0						
		tf04apr23: Still no rationale	received from Submitter. , repeated vote					
	Withdrawal	x No Negative withdra	wal made by Voter.	GO TO "Related" subsection				
	(check one)	Withdrawal docume MM/DD/YYYY.	nt received by Standards staff on	GO TO "Final" subsection → (A)				
		x 'Related' is mutually	agreed upon. (Needs no motion.)	GO TO "Persuasive" subsection				
	Motion and Reason	Negative is not relat	ed. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)					
	(check one)	Reason	xxxx					
Rel	Motion by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Name (Company)/Name						
Related	Discussion							
		XX Y-XX N; Motion pass	ed/failed.					
	Result of Vote (check one)	[Negative is not rela	ted.] < 2/3	GO TO "Persuasive" subsection				
	(Silver Gire)	2/3 ≤ [Negative is no	ot related.]	GO TO "Final" subsection → (B)				

			Negative is related and persuasive. (Needs >1/3 votes to pass.)							
	Motion and Reason (check one)	x	Negative is related and not persuasive. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)							
	(eneck one)		Reason		tionale provided fo S30 in the overall			ng to inclusion of assessment to nent to SEMI S2.		
P	Motion by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by			ric Sklar / Safety Guru, LLC nd: Lauren Crane / Lam Research						
Persuasive	Discussion	Non								
ıasi		Res	ult: 14-Y 1-N Voti	ng Result:	Pass - 93.33%					
ÌVе	Result of Vote (check one)		[Negative is relate persuasive.] > 1/3		Is a technical change recommended?		Y	GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection		
			[Negative is relate persuasive.] < 2/3		(check one)		N	GO TO "Final" subsection → (E)		
		X	2/3 ≤ [Negative is and not persuasiv	GO TO "Final" subsection → (C)						
			90% ≤ [Negative i and not persuasiv		GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option" subsection					
			(A)	Withdrawn	(counted under	h in c	disp	osition)		
			(B)	Not related	d (counted under	i in d	lispo	osition)		
	(check if	X	(C)	Related ar	nd not persuasive (	(signi	ficar	nt)		
Fina	applicable)		(D)	Not signific	cant (counted und	der j i	n di	sposition)		
a			(E)	addressed	nd persuasive and by technical chan	ige		DOCUMENT FAILS		
			(F)	Addressed	l by technical chan	nge (c	oun	nted under k disposition)		
	(check if applicable)		Comment general	generated. See Section V-(ii) Comment # X.						

#### **Disposition of Voting Interest Reject 1**

Check only when the Document has not been failed.

1	Original	num	ber (#) of Negatives	(g)				
0	Number	of N	egatives withdrawn		(h)			
0	Number	of N	egatives found not related		(i)			
0	Number	of N	egatives found not significant		(j)			
0			egatives addressed by technic t significant)	al change (Negative	(k)			
			g - (h + i + j + k) = 0	Reject is Not Valid and is not included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check				
	Final		g - (h + i +j + k) >0	Reject is included in the denominator of § VI.  Approval Conditions Check				
			Reject without a Negative	Not Valid				

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (Regulations¶ 9.4.3.3)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. ( $Regulations \ \P 9.6.1.4.5.2$ )

#### V. Comments

#### V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (Oikawa, Yuta /TEL) - Comment 1

	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary. all								
Comment	It is understandable that the Task Force thought that SEMI S30 should be included in the design and development of SMEs and conformance to SEMI S30 should be assessed as part of the conformance evaluation to SEMI S2.  I would like to ask for an answer about the details of the motive for doing so this time (background, where the request came from, etc.).								
	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.								
	*No motion is required in this step.								
		Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #							
		No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.							
Action	х	Motion: Move to take no further action By: Eric Sklar / Safety Guru, LLC Second: Lauren Crane / Lam Research Result: 15-Y 0-N Voting Result: Pass - 100.00%.Voting Rule: Majority							
		Refer to the TF for more consideration.							
		New Business							
		Editorial Change							

# IV. Other Technical Issues None

#### V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments

None

## V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative None

# VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

#### VII. Approval Conditions Check

#### VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	48	/	49	=	98.0%	≥90%

#### VII. - (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.6.2 for further information.

Х	Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): Line Item 2 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
	Need a Ratification Ballot: Line Item 2 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

### Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items

### VIII. Safety Check

Note: This Safety check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items. See § 15 of the *Regulations* for further information.

				<b>Ifety Document</b> , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document sound and complete. ( <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)									
Motion	This is a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. (Regulations ¶ 8.7.2)												
		Х		ecklist ( $Regulations$ $\P$ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document the balloting process. ( $Regulations$ $\P$ 15.1.2)									
ı	Moti	on l	by/2 <sup>nd</sup> by	By: Eric Sklar / Safety Guru, LLC Second: Lauren Crane / Lam Research									
	D	iscı	ussion	None									
		V	ote	Result: 16-Y 0-N Voting Result: Pass - 100.00%									

### IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items\*. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

x	that r	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)								
	x	The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)	GO TO SECTION X.							
		The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented		Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection				
			technology?		No	GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection				

#### IX(a) Patented Technologies subsection

IX(a1) Total numbers of Patented Technologies to be dealt with

	<u> </u>			comiciogico to bo dodit mini	
# Fill		(I) Known Patented Technology that might be relevant to	# Fill	technologies first became known to the TC Chapter on or after the day	Postpone assessment of such patented technologies to be performed at the next scheduled TC Chapter meeting.
	the Standard/Safety Guideline	Fill	(n) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter before the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	GO TO IX (a2)	

#### IX(a2) Assessment of disclosed patented technologies

Disclosed patented techno (Brief description, e.g., pate	Date of Assessment (If different from the date of Letter Ballot adjudication)  MM/DD/YYYY						
Is disclosed patented		YES (It is a PMPT)		se of this		YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(a3)
technology #1 found to be "might be material" to the Standard/Safety Guideline?			justified?			NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
		NO	No furth	er action is needed for patented technology #1		d technology #1	

This table is needed for each disclosed patented technology.

LOA Status of PMPT #1										
		YES		PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b)						
Has an LOA for this patented technology been received from every owner?		NO	MC		Ask ISC for sp	ecial permission to publish.				
			MOTION		Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF				
					Wait for LOA	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b1)				
					by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)				
		Dis	cuss	sion	XXXX					
				e		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)				

This table is needed for each PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified.

IX(b1) Total numbers of copyrighted items to be dealt with

#	(o) Known copyrighted items that are used or reproduced to the	o > 0 There is at least one known copy righted items that might be relevant to the Standard/Safety Guideline	GO TO IX (b2)	
	reproduced to the Standard/Safety Guideline	o = 0 There is no disclosed copyrighted item	GO TO IX (c)	

IX(b2) Assessment of disclosed copyrighted items

Disclosed copyrighted item #1 (Brief description of its use in the Document):								
Is disclosed copyrighted		YES	Is the use/reproduction of		YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b3)		
item #1 used or reproduced in the Standard/Safety Guideline?			this copyrighted item technically justified?		NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF		
		NO	No further action is needed for copyrighted item #1					

This table is needed for each disclosed copyrighted item.

## IX(b3) Copyright release status check of copyrighted item of which inclusion assessed to be justified

Copyright release Status of copyrighted item #1							
			PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)				
			if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)				

Has the copyright release been received from its owner ?.		NO	MO:		Ask ISC for special po	ermission to publish.		
			NOITC		Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF		
					Wait for copyright release letter	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)		
				tion	by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
		Discussion			XXXX			
				е		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)		

This table is needed for each copyrighted item of which use/reproduction assessed to be justified.

IX(c) Assessment of disclosed (identified) trademark

		le even instance of		YES	GO TO IX(d)	
Is there any trademark in the Standard/Safety Guideline?	YES	Is every instance of trademark use technically justified?		NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF	
	NO	GO TO IX(d)				

IX(d) IP check completion condition check

The co-chair checks if any Patented Technologies first become known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot? i.e., m>0 in IX(a1)	YES	Sections IX(a2) and IX(a3) shall be completed and recorded for such patented technologies at next scheduled meeting of the TC Chapter. Until then, the TC Chapter shall NOT go to X (making motion to pass/fail this Document) (see Regulations ¶ 16.4.1.2) Until then this Letter Ballot Review is on hold.
	NO	GO TO X

#### X. Action for This Document

Motion (Check all applicable items)	X	Line item(s) [1] and [2] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&F SC for procedural review.		
		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.		
		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.		
		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.		
		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.		
Motion by/ 2nd by		d by	By: Lauren Crane / Lam Research Second: Lucian Girlea / Nikon Precision Inc.	
Discussion			None	
Vote			16-Y 0-N Voting Result: Pass - 100.00%	
Final Action			x Motion passed	
rinai Action		'''	Motion failed	

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.