

Procedural Review Voting Sheet

2014 Cycle 2

REGION: Japan

COMMITTEE: Japan Packaging Committee

EVENT: Japan Standards Spring Meetings 2014

DATE OF MEETING: 2014/5/8

PLACE OF MEETING: SEMI Japan Office, Tokyo

COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Kazunori Kato/ AiT, Yutaka Koma/ Consultant, Masahiro Tsuruya/
iNEMI

SEMI STAFF: Naoko Tejima

A&R Voter: Name/Company

Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

Document 5691	Document Title New Standard: Test Method for Measurement of Chip (Die) Strength by Mean of Cantilever Bending
--------------------------------	--

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	34	÷	54	=	63.0%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	27					
<hr/>						
Total Vote	61					
Reject	0					
Accept	35					

A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

III. Rejects

None

IV. Comments

Comment 1

Comment	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary	
	From	Richard Allen, NIST	
	Comment	I am interested in knowing if there are plans to run an inter laboratory experiment to validate the standard. Thanks	
	Discussion	None	
Action proposed	X	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions.	
		*No motion is required in this step.	
		X	No further action was taken by the committee.
			Refer to the task force for more consideration.
			New Business
		Other	
	Editorial Change		
1	Case 1: No vote in this section :		
	To be included and voted on in <u>4. Summary of Editorial Changes</u> .		
	Case 2: Voted in this section :		
	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.		
	FROM: Section xxx		
	To: Section xxx		
	Justification (If necessary)		
	FROM: Section xxx		
	To: Section xxx		
	Justification (If necessary)		
	2	FROM: Section xxx	
To: Section xxx			
Justification (If necessary)			
Motion by/2nd		Name (Company)/ Name (Company)	
Vote		XX-XX Motion passed	
A&R		Not approved	
		Reason:	

None

Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.

[illegible]

A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

See § 14 of the Regulations for further information

Motion:	X	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
		This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
		Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process.
Motion by/2nd by		Haruo Shimamoto (AIST) / Kazunori Kato-san (AiT)
Discussion		None
Vote		13-0 Motion passed
A&R		Not approved
	Reason:	

VIII. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

X	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.		
	X	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	GO TO SECTION IX
		Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	GO TO SECTION IX
		Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee	
	MOTION		Ask ISC for special permission to publish
			Quit activity
			Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.
	Motion by/2nd by		Name (Company)/ Name (Company)
	Discussion		XXXX
	Vote		XX-XX
	Final Action		Motion Passed
Motion Failed			
A&R		Not approved	
		Reason:	

* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

IX. Action for this document

Motion	X	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
		This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
		This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.	
		This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.	
Motion by/2nd by		Haruo Shimamoto (AIST) / Kazunori Kato-san (AiT)	
Discussion		None	
Vote		14-0 Motion passed	
Final Action		X	Motion passed
			Motion failed
A&R		Approved	
		Not approved	
		Reason:	