

## Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: **North America**

Global Technical Committee: **Information and Control**

TC Chapter Cochairs: **Brian Rubow (Cimetrix); Jack Ghiselli (Ghiselli Consulting); James Moyne (Applied Materials, University of Michigan)**

Standards Staff: **Inna Skvortsova**

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	04/05/2017	04/05/2017
Location	SEMI HQ, Milpitas CA	SEMI HQ, Milpitas CA
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exception for allowable reason to change.

### Document Information

#### I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

<b>Document Number</b> 6064A	<b>Document Title</b> LINE ITEM REVISION TO SEMI E121-0305 (Reapproved 1111) <i>GUIDE FOR STYLE AND USAGE OF XML FOR SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING APPLICATIONS</i>
List of Line Line Item 1	<b>Line Item Title</b> To correct the usage of terms “shall” and “must” to a statement appropriate for a Guide and also to update any invalid references within the document.

### Line Item 1 Adjudication

#### II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: **As-cast tally after close of voting period**

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.1)

**Voting Tally (with example values):**

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes	Distribution	Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	61	÷ 101	= 60.4%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	13			
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0	Total Voters with Rejects		0
Voting Interest Accept(s)	34			

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

**III. Rejects**  
**NONE**

**IV. Other Technical Issues**  
**NONE**

Note: TC Chapter may choose to address a technical issue that is not part of a Negative received on a Letter Ballot (i.e., a Comment or a reason not addressed by a Vote response) by handling it as a Negative and finding it related and technically persuasive. The TC Chapter may then fail the Document or address such technical issue by using the procedure defined in Regulations § 9.6.4.3 to make a technical change to the Document. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.4.5)

**V. Comments**  
**V- (i) Voters' Comments**

**NONE**  
**V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative**  
**NONE**

**VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V**  
**NONE**

**VII. Approval Conditions Check**  
**VII. - (i). Approval Rate**

**APPROVAL CONDITION 1:** All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (Regulations ¶ 9.7.1.2)

**APPROVAL CONDITION 2:** At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.7.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)					
Approval Rate	=	34	/	34	=	100.0%		≥90%	

## VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See *Regulations* § 9.7.2 for further information.

- Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):**  
Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
- Need a Ratification Ballot:**  
Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

## Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items

## VIII. Safety Check

Note: This Safety check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline including all the approved Line Items. See § 15 of the *Regulations* for further information.

Motion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is not a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. ( <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. ( <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2)
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Checklist ( <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. ( <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)
Motion by/2 <sup>nd</sup> by		Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) / Matsuda, Mitsuhiro (Hitachi Ltd)
Discussion		None
Vote		6 Y-0 N; Motion passed

## IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline including all the approved Line Items. See § 16 of the *Regulations* for further information.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline. ( <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.8.1)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No potentially material patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is known.	GO TO SECTION X.

\* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this Letter Ballot.

## X. Action for This Document

<b>Motion</b> (Check all applicable items)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [1] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.
<b>Motion by/ 2nd by</b>	Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) / Albert Fuchigami (PEER Group)	
<b>Discussion</b>	NONE	
<b>Vote</b>	6 Y-0N	
<b>Final Action</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed

Standards staff to record the result of the A&R procedural review here:

<b>A&amp;R</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] are Approved for publication
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] are Approved pending acceptance of the Ratification Ballot
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] are Not approved
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reason: