Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America

Global Technical Committee: Information and Control

TC Chapter Cochairs: Brian Rubow (Cimetrix); Jack Ghiselli (Ghiselli Consulting); James Moyne

(Applied Materials, University of Michigan)

Standards Staff: Inna Skvortsova

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	04/05/2017	04/05/2017
Location	SEMI HQ, Milpitas CA	SEMI HQ, Milpitas CA
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See Regulations ¶ 9.5 Exception for allowable reason to change.

Document Information

I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

Docun 6066A	nent Number	Document Title LINE ITEM REVISION TO SEMI E130-1104 (Reapproved 0710), SPECIFICATION FOR PROBER SPECIFIC EQUIPMENT MODEL FOR 300 mm ENVIRONMENT (PSEM300) and SEMI E130.1-1104 (Reapproved 0710), SPECIFICATION FOR SECS-II PROTOCOL FOR PROBER SPECIFIC EQUIPMENT MODEL FOR 300 mm
List of Li	Line Item 1	ENVIRONMENT (PSEM300) Line Item Title Remove from SEMI E130 the reference to the "Data Types" section in Standard E30 as this section no longer exists.
ns	Line Item 2	Line Item Title SEMI E130.1 Specification is balloted for reapproval with no changes.

Line Item 1 Adjudication

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	61	÷	101	=	60.4%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	13]				
Voting Interest Reject(s)	1		Total	Vote	rs with Rejects	1
Voting Interest Accept(s)	30					

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

Voting Interest Reject 1 (Voting Interest Name: Hitachi Ltd)
Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Matsuda, Mitsuhiro / Hitachi Ltd)

Negative 1

Neg	Referenced Section/ Paragraph		*TF/TC Chapter to fill in, including text in the ballot if necessary. Referenced Section/ Paragraph: 9.1.2 SEMI E30 Exclusions								
Negative	Negative Text	сор	*Original complete Negative text (e.g., issue, justification, suggestion) should be copied. I believe there are no E30 exclusions (conflicts) between E30 and E130. This								
TF	This is not technically persuasive. It is harmless to leave the text in the specification. It could be useful in the future to have this section.										
	Withdrawal	Х	No Negative withdrawa	GO TO "Related" subsection							
	(check one)		Withdrawal document r MM/DD/YYYY.	GO TO "Final" subsection → (A)							
	Motion and	Х	'Related' is mutually ag	GO TO "Persuasive" subsection							
	Reason		Negative is not related.	(Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)							
	(check one)		Reason	xxxx							
Related	Motion by/ 2 nd by										
ď	Discussion										
	Result of Vote (check one)		[Negative is not related	.] < 2/3	GO TO "Persuasive" subsection						

			2/3 ≤ [Negative is	not relate		GO TO "Final" subsection → (B)						
			Negative is relate	legative is related and persuasive. (Needs >1/3 votes to pass.)								
	Motion and Reason	Х	Negative is relate	Negative is related and not persuasive. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)								
	(check one)		Reason	This is not technically persuasive. It is harmless to leave the text in the specification. It could be useful the future to have this section.								
Pei	Motion by/ 2 nd by	Bria	n Rubow (Cimetr	rix) / Franl	Summers (ISGI)							
Persuasive	Discussion	Non	ie									
sive	Result of Vote (check one)	5 Y-	1 N; Motion passe									
			[Negative is relate persuasive.] > 1/3		Is a technical change recommended?		Y	GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection				
			[Negative is related persuasive.] < 2/3		(check one)	ı	N	GO TO "Final" subsection → (E)				
		X	2/3 ≤ [Negative is and not persuasive		GO TO "Final" subsection → (C)							
			90% ≤ [Negative and not persuasive		GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option" subsection							
			(A)	Withdraw	n (counted under	h in dis	spo	osition)				
			(B)	Not relate	d (counted under	i in dis	spo	osition)				
	(check if	X	(C)	Related a	nd not persuasive (signific	an	it)				
Fina	applicable)		(D)	Not signif	icant <mark>(counted unc</mark>	ler j in	di	sposition)				
a			(E)	addresse	nd persuasive and d by technical chan	ge		DOCUMENT FAILS				
			(F)	Addresse	d by technical chan	ge (co	un	ted under k disposition)				
	(check if applicable)		Comment genera	ted. See S	ection V-(ii) Comn	nent #	Χ.					

Disposition of Voting Interest Reject 1
Check only when the Document has not been failed.

1	Original	numl	per (#) of Negatives		(g)			
0	Number	of N	egatives withdrawn		(h)			
0	Number	Number of Negatives found not related (i)						
0	Number of Negatives found not significant (j)							
0			egatives addressed by technicate the significant)	al change (Negative	(k)			
			g - (h + i + j + k) = 0	Reject is Not Valid and is not included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check				
	Final	Х	g - (h + i + j + k) >0	Reject is included in the Approval Conditions C	_			
			Reject without a Negative	Not Valid				

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.4.3.3)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.4.4.2)

IV. Other Technical Issues NONE

Note: TC Chapter may choose to address a technical issue that is not part of a Negative received on a Letter Ballot (i.e., a Comment or a reason not addressed by a Vote response) by handling it as a Negative and finding it related and technically persuasive. The TC Chapter may then fail the Document or address such technical issue by using the procedure defined in *Regulations* § 9.6.4.3 to make a technical change to the Document. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.4.5)

V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (Mochizuki, Tadashi / TEL) - Comment 1

Cor	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.									
Comment	I think 'Data Types' did not exist in E30 from the beginning and 'Data Items' was correct. If so, I think it would be better to change to 'Data Items' instead of deleting it.									
	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.									
	*No	o motion is required in this step.								
≥	Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #									
Action	Х	No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.								
	Refer to the TF for more consideration.									
	New Business									
		Editorial Change								

This table is needed for each Comment.

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative NONE

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V NONE

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	30	1	31	=	96.8%	≥90%

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.7.2 for further information.

X	Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
	Need a Ratification Ballot: Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

Line Item 2 Adjudication

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	61		101	=	60.4%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	13]				
Voting Interest Reject(s)	2		Total Voters with Rejects		2	
Voting Interest Accept(s)	29					

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

Voting Interest Reject 1 (Voting Interest Name: SCREEN) Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Nishimura, Takayuki / SCREEN)

Negative 1

Referenced Section/ Paragraph Referenced Section/ Paragraph Regative Text Repair Text Re	1109	ative i									
Paragraph SEMI E130.1				<u> </u>	in, includi	ng text in the ball	lot if nec	essary.			
Reaproval is not scope of line item ballot	Neg		SEMI E130.1								
TF input (optional) Withdrawal (check one) Withdrawal (check one) Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on Subsection Negative is not related. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.) Reason XXXXX Wogative is related and persuasive. (Needs >1/3 votes to pass.) Wegative is related and not persuasive. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.) The procedure manual neither prohibits a line item from having a re-approval, nor mandates use of an exclusive re-approval ballot. The intent of this ballot is clear. The original 6066 SNARF was for re-approval. Voters all agree that the specification should be re-approved. Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on Subsection The procedure manual neither prohibits a line item from having a re-approval, nor mandates use of an exclusive re-approval Voters all agree that the specification should be re-approved. Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on Subsection Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on Subsection Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on Subsection Y GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection Y GO TO "Final" subsection → (Check one) Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on Subsection Y GO TO "Final" subsection Y GO TO "Final" subsection Y GO TO "Final" subsection OTHERS AND THE Subsection Y GO TO "Final" subsection Y	ative	Negative Text	copi	ied.			ification	, suggestion) should be			
Withdrawal (check one) Withdrawal (check one) Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on MM/DD/YYYY. Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on MM/DD/YYYY. Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on MM/DD/YYYY. X Related' is mutually agreed upon. (Needs no motion.) Negative is not related. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.) Reason XXXX Motion by/ 2nd by Negative is related and persuasive. (Needs >1/3 votes to pass.) Reason The procedure manual neither prohibits a line item from having a re-approval, nor mandates use of an exclusive re-approval ballot. The intent of this ballot is clear. The original 6066 SNARF was for re-approval. Voters all agree that the specification should be re-approved. Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) /Albert Fuchigami (PEER Group) None S Y-1 N; Motion passed [Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3 Z 3 ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive] < 9	TFi	nput (optional)		<u> </u>	•		al of SEI	MI E130 and E130.1			
Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on SO TO "Final" subsection MM/DD/YYYY.			Х	No Negative withdr	rawal mad	e by Voter.					
Motion and Reason (check one) Motion by/ 2nd by					ent receive	ed by Standards st	aff on	GO TO "Final" subsection			
Reason The procedure manual neither prohibits a line item from having a re-approval, nor mandates use of an exclusive re-approval ballot. The intent of this ballot is clear. The original 6066 SNARF was for re-approval. Voters all agree that the specification should be re-approved. S Y-1 N; Motion passed Reason Reas		Motion and	X	'Related' is mutuall	ly agreed ι	ıpon. (Needs no n	notion.)				
Motion by/2nd by Name (Company)/Name (Company)	고			Negative is not rela	ated. (Nee	ds ≥2/3 votes to p	ass.)				
Name (Company)/Name (Company) Name (Company)/Name (Compan	elated	(check one)		Reason	XXXX	(
Motion and Reason (check one) Reason Reason Motion by/ 2nd by Discussion Result of Vote (check one) Result			Name (Company)/Name (Company)								
Reason (check one) Reason The procedure manual neither prohibits a line item from having a re-approval, nor mandates use of an exclusive re-approval ballot. The intent of this ballot is clear. The original 6066 SNARF was for re-approval. Voters all agree that the specification should be re-approved. Motion by/ 2nd by Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) /Albert Fuchigami (PEER Group) Discussion None 5 Y-1 N; Motion passed [Negative is related and persuasive.] > 1/3 [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 2/3 X 2/3 ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 90% GO TO "Final" subsection → (C) 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 90% GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option" subsection (check if		Reason		Negative is related	and persu	asive. (Needs >1/	3 votes t	to pass.)			
Reason Reason The procedure manual neither prohibits a line item from having a re-approval, nor mandates use of an exclusive re-approval ballot. The intent of this ballot is clear. The original 6066 SNARF was for re-approval. Voters all agree that the specification should be re-approved. Motion by/2nd by			X	X Negative is related and not persuasive. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)							
Motion by/ 2 nd by Discussion None 5 Y-1 N; Motion passed [Negative is related and persuasive.] > 1/3 [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 2/3 X 2/3 ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 90% Withdrawn (counted under h in disposition) Motion by/ 2nd by Page 17 A GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection Y GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection Y GO TO "Final" subsection Subsection Check one) O TO "Final" subsection → (C) O TO "Not Significant Finding Option" subsection Withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)				Reason	a re-approval, nor mandates use of an exclusive re-approval. The intent of this ballot is clear. The original 6066 SNARF was for re-approval.						
Result of Vote (check one) [Negative is related and persuasive.] > 1/3 Is a technical change recommended? (check one) N GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection N GO TO "Final" subsection N GO	Pers		Bria	n Rubow (Cimetrix)	/Albert Fu	chigami (PEER Gr	oup)				
Result of Vote (check one) [Negative is related and persuasive.] > 1/3 Is a technical change recommended? (check one) N GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection N GO TO "Final" subsection N GO	sua	Discussion	Non	е							
Result of Vote (check one) [Negative is related and persuasive.] > 1/3 Is a technical change recommended? (check one) N GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection N GO TO "Final" subsection N GO	sive		5 Y-	1 N; Motion passed	d						
persuasive.] < 2/3 X 2/3 ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] GO TO "Final" subsection → (C) GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option" subsection (Check if (A) Withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)				persuasive.] > 1/3		change recommended?		Technical Change Option" subsection			
and not persuasive.] < 90% 90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option" subsection (check if (A) Withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)		•			rolatod						
90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] (check if (A) Withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)			X			GO TO "Final" s	ubsectio	$n \rightarrow (C)$			
				90% ≤ [Negative is	related	GO TO "Not Sign	nificant F	Finding Option" subsection			
applicable) (B) Not related (counted under i in disposition)	a ∓			(A)	Withdrawn	(counted under I	h in disp	osition)			
	_ 5	applicable)		(B)	Not related	(counted under	i in dispo	osition)			

	X	(C)	Related and not persuasive (significant)					
(D) Not significant (counted under j in disposition)								
(E) Related and persuasive and not addressed by technical change								
		(F)	Addressed by technical change (counted under k disposit					
(check if applicable)		Comment genera	omment generated. See Section V-(ii) Comment # X.					

This table is needed for each Negative.

Disposition of Voting Interest Reject 1

Check only when the Document has not been failed.

1	Original	Original number (#) of Negatives (g)						
0	Number of Negatives withdrawn (h)							
0	Number of Negatives found not related (i)							
0	Number of Negatives found not significant				(j)			
0	Number of Negatives addressed by technical change (Negative becomes not significant)				(k)			
			g - (h + i + j + k) = 0	Reject is Not Valid and denominator of § VI. A	is not included in the pproval Conditions Check			
	Final	X	g - (h + i +j + k) >0	Reject is included in the Approval Conditions Condition	he denominator of § VI. Check			
	Reject without a Negative Not Valid							

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.4.3.3)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.4.4.2)

Voting Interest Reject 2 (Voting Interest Name: Hitachi Ltd) Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Matsuda, Mitsuhiro / Hitachi Ltd)

Negative 1

Neg	Referenced Section/ Paragraph	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in, including text in the ballot if necessary. SEMI E130.1				
Negative	N	*Original complete Negative text (e.g., issue, justification, suggestion) should be copied.				
	Negative Text	Reapproval of a Standard need reapproval ballot. It violates Procedure Manual 3.3.2.3.				
TF	input (optional)	The original 6066 SNARF was for Reapproval of SEMI E130 and E130.1				
	Withdrawal (check one)	X No Negative withdrawal made by Voter.	GO TO "Related" subsection			

				ment receive	ed by Standards st	aff on		GO TO "Final" subsection		
		Х	MM/DD/YYYY. 'Related' is mutua	ally agreed ι	upon. (Needs no n	notior	ı.)	→ (A) GO TO "Persuasive" subsection		
Z.	Motion and Reason		Negative is not related. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)							
Related	(check one)		Reason	XXXX	<					
	Motion by/ 2 nd by	Nan	ne (Company)/Na	me (Compa	any)					
			Negative is relate	d and persu	uasive. (Needs >1/	3 vote	es t	o pass.)		
	Motion and	X	Negative is relate	d and not p	ersuasive. (Needs	≥2/3	vot	es to pass.)		
	Reason (check one)		Reason	a re- ballot The c	The procedure manual neither prohibits a line item from having a re-approval, nor mandates use of an exclusive re-approval ballot. The intent of this ballot is clear. The original 6066 SNARF was for re-approval. Voters all agree that the specification should be re-approved.					
Persuasive	Motion by/ 2 nd by	Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) / Frank Summers (ISGI)								
suas	Discussion None									
sive		5 Y-1 N; Motion passed								
,	Result of Vote	[Negative is related persuasive.] > 1/3			Is a technical change recommended?		Y	GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection		
	(check one)		[Negative is related persuasive.] < 2/3		(check one)		N	GO TO "Final" subsection → (E)		
		X	2/3 ≤ [Negative is and not persuasive	related	GO TO "Final" s	GO TO "Final" subsection → (C)				
			90% ≤ [Negative and not persuasive		GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option" subsection					
			(A)	Withdrawn	(counted under	h in di	isp	osition)		
			(B)	Not related	d (counted under	i in di	spo	osition)		
	(check if	X	(C)	Related ar	nd not persuasive (signifi	car	nt)		
Final	applicable)		(D)	Not signific	cant (counted und	unted under j in disposition)				
a			(E)			d persuasive and not by technical change				
			(F)	Addressed	by technical chan	ge (co	oun	ted under k disposition)		
	(check if applicable)		Comment genera	ted. See Se	ection V-(ii) Comn	nent #	Χ.			

Disposition of Voting Interest Reject 2

Check only when the Document has not been failed.

	1	Original number (#) of Negatives	(g)	
0 Number of Negatives withdrawn (h)				
0 Number of Negatives found not related (i)				

	0	Number	Number of Negatives found not significant (j)						
	0	Number of Negatives addressed by technical change (Negative becomes not significant) (k)							
	Final		g - (h + i + j + k) = 0 X g - (h + i + j + k) > 0		Reject is Not Valid and is not included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check				
					Reject is included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check				
				Reject without a Negative	Not Valid				

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.4.3.3)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.4.4.2)

IV. Other Technical Issues None

V. Comments V- (i) Voters' Comments None

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V None

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	29	/	31	=	93.5%	≥90%

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See *Regulations* § 9.7.2 for further information.

X	Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): Line Item 2 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
	Need a Ratification Ballot: Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items

VIII. Safety Check

Note: This Safety check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items. See § 15 of the *Regulations* for further information.

	X	Th is	his is not a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)						
Motion			This is a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not echnically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2)						
			Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)						
Motion by/2 nd by Brian Rubow			by/2 nd by	Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) / Matsuda, Mitsuhiro (Hitachi Ltd)					
	Discussion			None					
		٧	ote	6 Y-0 N; Motion passed					

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This IP check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items. See § 16 of the *Regulations* for further information.

X	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.8.1)			
	X No potentially material patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is known.		GO TO SECTION X.	

^{*} Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this Letter Ballot.

X. Action for This Document

Motion (Check all	x	Line A&F	item(s) [1], [2] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC SC for procedural review.				
Motion by	Motion by/ 2nd by		Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) / Albert Fuchigami (PEER Group)				
Discus	ssion	l	NONE				
Vo	Vote			7-0 N			
Final A	Final Action		X	Motion passed			
2 11141				Motion failed			

Standards staff to record the result of the A&R procedural review here:

		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] are Approved for publication
A&R		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] are Approved pending acceptance of the Ratification Ballot
7 LOVI C		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] are Not approved
	Re	eason: