

Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: [North America](#)

Global Technical Committee: [Liquid Chemicals](#)

TC Chapter Cochairs: [Don Hadder \(INTEL\)](#), [Frank Flowers \(Peroxy Chemicals\)](#)

Standards Staff: [Inna Skvortsova](#)

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	07/11/2017	07/11/2017
Location	San Francisco, CA	San Francisco, CA
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See [Regulations ¶ 9.5](#) Exception for allowable reason to change.

I. Document Number and Title

Document Number 5642A	Document Title Revision to SEMI C35-0708, SPECIFICATIONS AND GUIDELINE FOR NITRIC ACID with title change to: SPECIFICATION AND GUIDE FOR NITRIC ACID
---	--

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: [As-cast tally after close of voting period](#)

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. ([Regulations ¶ 9.7.1.1](#))

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes	Distribution	Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	50	÷ 83	= 60.2%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	22			
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0	Total Voters with Rejects		0
Voting Interest Accept(s)	32			

Note: See [Regulations § 3.2.1](#) for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

No rejects received.

IV. Other Technical Issues

No other technical issues.

V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (Milstein, Lisa / Air Liquide) - Comment 1

Comment	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.	
	Say 'prepare' instead of 'make up' Why aren't all the analytes analyzed by ICPMS instead of GFAA?	
Action	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.	
	*No motion is required in this step.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the TF for more consideration.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	New Business
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Editorial Change
Options for editorial change (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Case 1: No vote in this section:
	<input type="checkbox"/>	To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Case 2: Voted in this section:
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative

NONE

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

Original section/paragraph number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.

NONE

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.2)

X	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline. (<i>Regulations ¶ 8.8.1</i>)	
	X	No potentially material patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is known.
		GO TO SECTION X.

* **Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this Letter Ballot.**

X. Action for This Document

Motion	X	This Document passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
		This Document passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
		This Document passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.
		This Document failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.
		This Document failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.
Motion by/ 2nd by		Bob McIntosh (GF Piping) / Koh Murai (Mega Fluids Systems)
Discussion		None
Vote		14 Y- 0 N
Final Action	X	Motion passed
		Motion failed

Standards staff to record the result of the A&R procedural review here:

A&R		Approved for publication
		Approved pending acceptance of the Ratification Ballot
		Not approved
	Reason:	