Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: Japan Global Technical Committee: Silicon Wafer TC Chapter Cochairs: T. Nakai /SUMCO, N.Kawai /Meiji University

Standards Staff: J. Collins

| | Scheduled in Background Statement | Actual |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Date | 12/14/2017 | 12/14/2017 |
| Location | Tokyo Big Sight, Tokyo Japan | Tokyo Big Sight, Tokyo Japan |
| Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed) | | |

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exception for allowable reason to change.

I. Document Number and Title

| Document Number | Document Title |
|------------------------|--|
| 6273 | REAPPROVAL OF SEMI MF1619-1107 (Reapproved |
| | 0912) |
| | TEST METHOD FOR MEASUREMENT OF |
| | INTERSTITIAL OXYGEN CONTENT OF SILICON |
| | WAFERS BY INFRARED ABSORPTION |
| | SPECTROSCOPY WITH p-POLARIZED RADIATION |
| | INCIDENT AT THE BREWSTER ANGLE |

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

| Returned Votes | | Distribution | | Return Rate | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| 57 | ÷ | 95 | = | 60.0% | ≥60% |
| 25 | 1 | | | | |
| 0 | | Total | Vote | rs with Rejects | 0 |
| 31 | 1 | | | | |
| | 57 25 0 | 57 ÷ 25 0 | 57 ÷ 95 25 0 Total | 57 ÷ 95 = 25 0 Total Vote | 57 ÷ 95 = 60.0% 25 0 Total Voters with Rejects 0 |

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

A&R Ballot Report Template Revision 1.11

III. Rejects: No Rejects

IV. Other Technical Issues: None

Note: TC Chapter may choose to address a technical issue that is not part of a Negative received on a Letter Ballot (i.e., a Comment or a reason not addressed by a Vote response) by handling it as a Negative and finding it related and technically persuasive. The TC Chapter may then fail the Document or address such technical issue by using the procedure defined in *Regulations* § 9.6.4.3 to make a technical change to the Document. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.4.5)

V. Comments: No comment

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V: None

Original section/paragraph number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

| | | Accepts | | (Accepts + Valid Rejects) | | | |
|---------------|---|---------|---|------------------------------|---|--------|------|
| Approval Rate | = | 31 | / | 31 | = | 100.0% | ≥90% |
| | | | | | | | |

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.7.2 for further information.



Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.

Need a Ratification Ballot:

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

VIII. Safety Check

Note: See Regulations § 15 for further information.

| | x This is not a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1) This is a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2) Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2) | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|-----|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Motion | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Noti | ion | by/2 nd by | Ryuji Takeda (GlobalWafers Japan)/ Tsuyoshi Otsuki (SEH) | | | | |
| Discussion | | | ussion | None | | | | |
| Vote | | | | 11 Y-0 N; Motion passed or failed | | | | |

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

| x | | e TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any potentially aterial patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 3.1) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Х | | otentially m righted iten | | GO TO SECTION X. | | | | | | |
| | Potentially material patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is known, but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release letter for such items has been obtained or presented to the TC Chapter. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | use o | of such ma | terials i | ented technology or reproduction of copyright s technically justified by the TC Chapter, but a of the item(s) has NOT been obtained or pres | an LOA or copyright | | | | | |
| | N | | Ask ISC f | or spec | or special permission to publish. | | | | | | |
| | Motion | | Quit activ | ity. | ty. | | | | | | |
| | 'n | | Wait for L | .OA for | patented technology or release of copyrighted | d items. | | | | | |
| | Mot | ion by | y/2 nd by | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | Discus | ssion | XXXX | | | | | | | |
| | | Vot | e | XX Y-XX N | | | | | | | |
| | E | inal A | ction | | Motion passed | | | | | | |
| | Final Action | | | | Motion failed | | | | | | |

* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this Letter Ballot.

X. Action for This Document

| Mot | x | This Document passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. |
|--------|---|--|
| lotion | | This Document passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. |

| | editorial ch | ment passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without hanges and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A n Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes. | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | This Document failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework. | | | | | | | | |
| | This Docu | ment failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued. | | | | | | | |
| Motion by/ 2 nd by | | Ryuji Takeda (GlobalWfares Japan)/ Tsuyoshi Otsuki (SEH) | | | | | | | |
| Discussion | | None | | | | | | | |
| Vote | | 11 Y-0 N | | | | | | | |
| Fin | al Action | X Motion passed | | | | | | | |
| • ••• | | Motion failed | | | | | | | |

Standards staff to record the result of the A&R procedural review here: