

**Responses and Review Form for SEMI Draft Document #4683G
Line Item Revision to SEMI S2-0715, Environmental, Health, and
Safety Guideline for Semiconductor Manufacturing Equipment.
Delayed Revisions Related to Chemical Exposure**

**Line Item 1 – Delayed Revisions Related to Chemical Exposure
Criteria**

Tallies at Close of Voting

Voting Return Data		Acceptance Rate Data	
Voting Interest Returns	56	Voting Interest Accept Votes (VIAccept)	37
Total Voting Interests	93	Interest Reject Votes (IReject)	2
Voting Interest Return %	60.22%	Approval % [VIAccept / (VIAccept + IReject)]	94.87%
Other Returns (Intercommittee, etc.)	21	# of Interest Rejects that Need to be not found Valid for Final Approval % >= 90%	0
Total Votes	97		
Total Votes with Comments	1		
Total Reject Votes	2		

Rejects/Negatives

Summary: 12 Total Items Submitted

Company: Submitter	ID	Negs	Disp	Company: Submitter	ID	Negs	Disp
KLA-Tencor: Lauren Crane	KT	7					
Safety Guru: Eric Sklar	SG	5					

Details:

NOTICE: SEMI Staff must receive copies of ALL withdrawals of negative votes.

Table 1 Negatives from < KLA-Tencor: Lauren Crane >

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant					
#	Ref.	Negative including Justification	TF Finding and Reason	Motion and Reason in Committee:	Final
KT-1	23.5.1.1.1. Note XX	<p><u>Perceived Problem:</u> Negative The note has the tone of a requirement.</p> <p><u>Proposed Solution:</u> Delete the note.</p>	<p>(Select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason:</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: __)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%) <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason in Committee:</u>	Final
KT-2	23.5.1.2	<p><u>Perceived Problem:</u> Negative This para only requires the use of S6 if a surrogate is used. This does not make sense. S6 is only relevant if ventilation is provided to control exposure. There is no particular need to reference S6 if a surrogate is used.</p> <p><u>Proposed Solution:</u> Delete this sentence referencing to S6.</p>	<p>(Select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason: Lauren will provide an email for withdraw.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: __)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%) <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason</u> in Committee:	Final
KT-3	23.5.3 Exception	<p>Perceived Problem: Negative "no significant" is an unclear quantity. How do I know if I have exceeded it or not?</p> <p>Proposed Solution: Provide a more specific and measurable threshold for using the exception.</p>	<p>(Select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason: TF Vote 2 for 2 against</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: __)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason: By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%) <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason in Committee:</u>	Final
KT-4	23.5.3	<p>Perceived Problem: Negative 23.5.4 and 5 requires consideration of an applicable OEL. This section does not.</p> <p>Proposed Solution: If there is an intended difference explain it more clearly. If there is no intended difference, state the same idea the same way.</p>	<p>(Select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason: TF Find persuasive For 5 Against 2</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: __)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason: By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%) <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason</u> in Committee:	Final
KT-5	23.5.6	<p><u>Perceived Problem:</u> Negative This industry sometimes requires the use of intentionally flammable chemistries such as in exhaust burn boxes. This section would not allow them to function as it does not allow flammable mixtures near ignition sources during normal operation.</p> <p><u>23.5.6 Emissions of flammable or combustible chemistries during normal equipment operations, maintenance activities and reasonably foreseeable, worst-case system failure conditions should be controlled. Conformance to this section can be shown by demonstrating that under those conditions SOC concentrations will be less than 25% of the lower flammable limit (LFL) on the exterior of the equipment and at the worst case representative potential ignition sources internal to the equipment, as identified as part of a fire risk assessment.</u></p> <p><u>Proposed Solution:</u></p>	<p>(Select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive Reason: Insert a new note that clarifies the use of combustion pou modules.</p> <p>For 5 Against 0 Exception: Control of emissions does not prohibit the use of flammable effluent treatment equipment (e.g., combustion module) that utilizes an ignition source as part of the intended equipment design and normal operations. << need to consider if other possible examples</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: __) Move to find this negative: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails) Reason: By/2nd: Visty/Crane Disc: Vote: 6-0. Motion passed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%) <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason</u> in Committee:	Final
KT-6	23.5.6	<p>Perceived Problem: Negative Where as in earlier sections it seems logical that a concentration level lower than 1% or 25% could be demonstrative of control to the "lowest practical level", for this clause it does not make sense that 25% is demonstrative of "control".</p> <p>Proposed Solution: Reconcile what this clause is trying to control or prevent.</p>	<p>(Select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason: For 4 Against 0</p> <p>See Edited paragraph</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: __)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason: By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%) <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason</u> in Committee:	Final
KT-7	23.5.6 NOTE XX 1 st	<p>Perceived Problem: Negative I don't think the reference document define controlling vapors. IFC does not seem to be the correct acronym for 'international fire'. IEC has no electrical regulations.</p> <p>Proposed Solution: Modify wording to be more accurate. Cite at least one IEC standard which contains the claimed limitation.</p>	<p>(Select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason: Input: 1) Added "code" to IFC acronym 2) Delete IEC reference</p> <p>Handle as editorial</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: __)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason: By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%) <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

Final disposition of this reject:

Valid (includes at least one significant negative)

Not Valid (all negatives withdrawn, found not related, or found not significant)

Table 2 Negatives from < Safety Guru: Eric Sklar >

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant					
#	Ref.	Negative including Justification	TF Finding and Reason	Motion and Reason in Committee:	Final
SG1-1	DX-2.2 NOTE after 23.5.2.2	<p><i>Negative:</i> Replace this NOTE with one recommending selection of collection and analysis methods based on their capability to make the desired finding.</p> <p><i>Reason/Justification:</i> A larger error factor doesn't render a method incapable of doing what one needs to do. Similarly, a smaller error factor doesn't render a method capable. For example, a method with a 100% error (factor of 2) and an indicated value of 4 ppb does support a finding that the actual value is less than 10 ppb. Similarly, a method with a 10% error and an indicated value of 9.5 ppb does not support such a finding.</p>	<p>(Select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not related</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>The note indicates analytical methods with large error should be avoided. It does not say the method can not be used.</p> <p>23.5 requires an IH responsible for collecting sample data needs to document the details of the method they used and why.</p> <p>NOTE has been deleted.</p>	<p>Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: _____)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason in Committee:</u>	Final
SG1-2	DX-2.3 23.5.3	<p>Negative: Delete "1% of".</p> <p><i>Reason/Justification:</i> There is no apparent technical basis for reducing the generally-accepted standard by two orders of magnitude, nor is there any apparent technical basis for equating "lowest practical level" and 1% of OEL.</p>	<p>(Select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not related</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>NP Because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) > 20years of application 2) OELs can decrease (e.g., HF / HCl / AsH3 / Acetone / etc.) 3) Ambient fab levels can increase based on # of tools installed (e.g., VOCs, O3 / etc.) 4) Criteria are focused on human exposure concern 	<p>__ Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: _____)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason in Committee:</u>	Final
SG1-3	DX-2.3 23.5.4	<p>Negative: Delete “25% of”.</p> <p>Reason/Justification: There is no apparent technical basis for reducing the generally-accepted standard by a factor of four, nor is there any apparent technical basis for equating “lowest practical level” and 25% of OEL..</p>	<p>(Select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not related</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason:</p>	<p>__ Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: _____)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason</u> in Committee:	Final
SG1-4	DX-2.3 23.5.5	Negative: Delete "25% of". Reason/Justification: There is no apparent technical basis for reducing the generally-accepted standard by a factor of four, nor is there any apparent technical basis for equating "lowest practical level" and 25% of OEL..	(Select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive Reason:	<input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: _____) Move to find this negative: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business Assigned to: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails) Reason: By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed Significance finding/method: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%) <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason in Committee:</u>	Final
	DX-23.5.6	<p>Negative: Add a period at the end of the paragraph.</p> <p>Reason/Justification: Correct an apparent typographical error.</p>	<p>(Select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not related</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive</p> <p>Reason:</p>	<p>__ Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: _____)</p> <p>Move to find this negative: (select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails)</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Significance finding/method: (select 1)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	

W = Withdrawn, NR = Not Related, NP = Not Persuasive, RP = Related and Persuasive, NS = Not Significant, S = Significant

#	Ref.	Negative <u>including Justification</u>	TF Finding <u>and Reason</u>	Motion <u>and Reason</u> in Committee:	Final
SG1-5	DX-2.3 NOTE 151	<p><i>Comment:</i> The NOTE shown as to be deleted is not the NOTE in the published SEMI S2. (The reference to SEMI F15 was changed to a reference to SEMI S6 several years ago, as F15's content was absorbed into S6 on a rewrite. The copy of NOTE 142 on page 16 of the ballot includes the reference to S6.) This raises the question of whether there is text that is shown in this ballot as substantive or normative portions of the published S2 text that are not correct. If there are, that calls the validity of the ballot into question.</p>	<p>(Select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (assumes related) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive Reason:</p>	<p>__ Withdrawn by Subm. (Date: _____) Move to find this negative: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not related (requires reason, follow) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee new business <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned to: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Not persuasive (requires reason) <input type="checkbox"/> Related & persuasive (ballot fails) Reason: <i>By/2nd:</i> <i>Disc:</i> <i>Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</i> Significance finding/method: (select 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Not significant by motion <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by % of NP vote (>10%) <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> Significant by motion <i>By/2nd:</i> <i>Disc:</i> <i>Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</i></p>	

Final disposition of this reject:
 Valid (includes at least one significant negative)
 Not Valid (all negatives withdrawn, found not related, or found not significant)

Comments

Summary: 4 Total Items Submitted

<i>Company: Submitter</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>#</i>	<i>Company: Submitter</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>#</i>
KLA-Tencor: Lauren Crane	KT	4			

Details:

NOTICE: Items from “Reject” votes that are clearly marked by the voter as comments can be reviewed here.

<i>#</i>	<i>Ref.</i>	<i>Comment</i>	<i>TF Response</i>	<i>Committee Action:</i>
KT-1	23.5.1 .2 Third bullet	<p><u>Perceived Problem:</u> Editorial The sentence is much longer than necessary.</p> <p><u>Proposed Solution:</u> Replace “Selection of an appropriate surrogate should consider” with “Whether”</p>		<p>(Select one) <input type="checkbox"/> No further action <input type="checkbox"/> Refer to TF for further review <input type="checkbox"/> New Business Editorial Change: #__ in ECs below <input type="checkbox"/> Other:</p> <p>(Select one) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee agrees (no motion nec.) <input type="checkbox"/> Motion to act as indicated above:</p> <p><i>By/2nd:</i> <i>Disc:</i> Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>
KT-2	23.5.1 .2 Third bullet	<p><u>Perceived Problem:</u> Editorial Incorrect word choice</p> <p><u>Proposed Solution:</u> Change “insure” to “ensure”</p>		<p>(Select one) <input type="checkbox"/> No further action <input type="checkbox"/> Refer to TF for further review <input type="checkbox"/> New Business Editorial Change: #__ in ECs below <input type="checkbox"/> Other:</p> <p>(Select one) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee agrees (no motion nec.) <input type="checkbox"/> Motion to act as indicated above:</p> <p><i>By/2nd:</i> <i>Disc:</i> Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>
KT-3	23.5.1 .3	<p><u>Perceived Problem:</u> Editorial Redundant period</p> <p><u>Proposed Solution:</u> Delete it</p>		<p>(Select one) <input type="checkbox"/> No further action <input type="checkbox"/> Refer to TF for further review <input type="checkbox"/> New Business Editorial Change: #__ in ECs below <input type="checkbox"/> Other:</p> <p>(Select one) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee agrees (no motion nec.) <input type="checkbox"/> Motion to act as indicated above:</p> <p><i>By/2nd:</i> <i>Disc:</i> Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>

#	Ref.	Comment	TF Response	Committee Action:
KT-4	23.5.1 .3	<p><u>Perceived Problem:</u> Editorial Grammo</p> <p><u>Proposed Solution:</u> Change to "... an explanation of why..."</p>		<p>(Select one) <input type="checkbox"/> No further action <input type="checkbox"/> Refer to TF for further review <input type="checkbox"/> New Business Editorial Change: #__ in ECs below <input type="checkbox"/> Other:</p> <p>(Select one) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee agrees (no motion nec.) <input type="checkbox"/> Motion to act as indicated above:</p> <p>By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>

Summary of Editorial Changes

NOTICE: TF leaders have the option of addressing editorial changes prior to addressing negatives, if they believe that their editorial changes will render some or all of the submitted negatives non-persuasive.

NOTICE: It is only necessary to approve each editorial change separately if someone objects to one or more of the suggested changes.

#	Ref.	Before	After	Object? (Y/N)	Motion to Approve: (if necessary)
					By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed
					By/2nd: Disc: Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed

Move to approve **all** editorial changes as shown above:

By/2nd:

Disc:

Vote: #-#-#. **Motion passed failed**

Forwarding Motions

<i>Documents Passing Review</i>	<i>Documents Failing Review</i>
<p>Safety Check Move to find that this document: <input type="checkbox"/> Is NOT a safety document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete. <input type="checkbox"/> IS a safety document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete. <input type="checkbox"/> The Safety Checklist (Regulations 13.3) for this document is complete and has accompanied the document through the balloting process.</p> <p><i>By/2nd:</i> <i>Disc:</i> Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Intellectual Property Check The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any patented or copyrighted material in the Standard or Guideline. (Note: Such material might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.) <input type="checkbox"/> No patented or copyrighted material is known to exist in the Standard or Guideline. (no motion needed) <input type="checkbox"/> Patented or copyrighted material is known to exist in the Standard or Guideline but release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee. (no motion needed) <input type="checkbox"/> Patented or copyrighted material is known to exist in the Standard or Guideline but release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee. The committee moves to: <input type="checkbox"/> Ask the ISC for special permission to publish the standard without release <input type="checkbox"/> Quit the activity <input type="checkbox"/> Wait for the release of the patented or copyrighted material.</p> <p><i>By/2nd:</i> <i>Disc:</i> Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p> <p>Final Action Move to: <input type="checkbox"/> Pass this document as balloted and forward to the A&R for procedural review. <input type="checkbox"/> Pass this document with editorial changes and forward to the A&R for procedural review.</p> <p><i>By/2nd:</i> <i>Disc:</i> Vote: #-#-#. Motion passed failed</p>	<p>Followup Activity Authorization Move to: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return ballot to the originating task force for rework <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> and authorize a follow-up ballot <input type="checkbox"/> Transfer ballot to the (name) task force for rework <input type="checkbox"/> and authorize a follow-up ballot <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinue work on ballot.</p> <p><i>By/2nd:</i> John Visty (Salus Engineering)/Bert Planting(ASML) <i>Disc:</i> Vote: 7-0. Motion passed</p>