Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America

Global Technical Committee: Information and Control

TC Chapter Cochairs: Brian Rubow (Cimetrix); Jack Ghiselli (Ghiselli Consulting); James Moyne

(Applied Materials, University of Michigan)

Standards Staff: Inna Skvortsova

| | Scheduled in Background Statement | Actual |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Date | Wednesday, November 8, 2017 | Wednesday, November 8, 2017 |
| Location | SEMI HQ | SEMI HQ |
| Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed) | | |

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exception for allowable reason to change.

I. Document Number and Title

| | Document Title |
|------|---|
| 6237 | Reapproval of SEMI E116.1-0707, SPECIFICATION |
| | FOR SECS-II PROTOCOL FOR EQUIPMENT |
| | PERFORMANCE TRACKING (EPT) |

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

| Voting Interest: | Returned Votes | | Distribution | | Return Rate | |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----|--------------|------|-----------------|------|
| Letter Ballot | 72 |] ÷ | 119 | = | 60.5% | ≥60% |
| Intercommittee Ballot | 23 | | | | | |
| Voting Interest Reject(s) | 0 | | Total | Vote | rs with Rejects | 0 |
| Voting Interest Accept(s) | 51 | | | | | |

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects - NONE

IV. Other Technical Issues- NONE

V. Comments- NONE

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V- NONE

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (Regulations ¶ 9.7.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

| | | Accepts | | (Accepts + Valid Rejects) | | | |
|---------------|---|---------|---|---------------------------|---|--------|------|
| Approval Rate | = | 51 | / | 51 | = | 100.0% | ≥90% |
| | | | | | | | |

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.7.2 for further information.

X

Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.



Need a Ratification Ballot:

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

VIII. Safety Check

Note: See Regulations § 15 for further information.

| | X | Th is s | This is not a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. ($Regulations$ ¶ 8.7.1) | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Motion | | | This is a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not rechnically sound and complete. (Regulations ¶ 8.7.2) | | | | | | |
| | Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Dotthroughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2) | | | | | | | | |
| Motion by/2 nd by Chris Maloney (Intel) / Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) | | | | Chris Maloney (Intel) / Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) | | | | | |
| | Discussion | | | NONE | | | | | |
| | Vote | | | 7 Y-0 N; Motion passed | | | | | |

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

| X | The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.8.1) | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------|--|
| | X No potentially material patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is known. | | GO TO SECTION X. | |

^{*} Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this Letter Ballot.

X. Action for This Document

| Motion | x | | This Document passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Motion by/ 2 nd by | | • | Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) / Frank Summers (Industrial-Strength Graphics) | | | | | |
| Discussion | | ussion | NONE | | | | | |
| Vote | | ote | 7 Y-0 N | | | | | |
| F | Final Action | | X Motion passed | | | | | |
| | | | Motion failed | | | | | |

Standards staff to record the result of the A&R procedural review here:

| | | Approved for publication | |
|------|----|--|--|
| A&R | | Approved pending acceptance of the Ratification Ballot | |
| Aork | | Not approved | |
| | Re | Reason: | |