Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America
Global Technical Committee: EHS

TC Chapter Cochairs: Bert Planting (ASML), Chris Evanston (Salus Engineering), Sean Larsen (Lam

Research)

Standards Staff: Kevin Nguyen

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	4/7/2016	4/7/2016 and <mark>7/14/2016</mark>
Location	SEMI HQ, San Jose, CA	SEMI HQ, San Jose, CA and San Francisco Marriott, CA
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exception for allowable reason to change.

Document Information

I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

Doc 5970	ument Number	Document Title Line Item Revisions to SEMI S14, Safety Guidelines for Fire Risk Assessment and Mitigation for Semiconductor Manufacturing Equipment
Lis	Line Item 1	Line Item Title Changes to Terminology to Align with SEMI S10.

Line Item 1 Adjudication

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.1)

Voting Tally:

Returned Vote		Distributio		Return Rate	
55	÷	87	=	63.2%	≥60%
15					
0		Total Vot	ers	with Rejects	0
44					
	55 15 0	0	55 ÷ 87 15 0 Total Vote	55 ÷ 87 = 15 0 Total Voters	55 ÷ 87 = 63.2% 15 0 Total Voters with Rejects

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

None

IV. Other Technical Issues

None

V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (Larry Hartsough (UA Associates))- Comment 1

	The Mar	stain with comment e Title of SEMI S14 needs to be corrected. See latest (Feb 2016) version of the Procedure nual, Appendix 4, Table A4 1 20. S14 was not listed in prior version. Otherwise, this ballot would be failed.								
	TF Response: The entry to which the submitter refers asserts that "Guidelines" must be changed to "Guideline" because the former is "Not a Subtype" and refers to Regulations ¶ 4.2.5. That paragraph defines "Safety Guideline" as "A Type of Document that is advisory in nature and meets all of the requirements for approval for a Standard (see §§9, 10, 11, and 16) as well as the additional requirements of §15.". It therefore appears that "Not a Subtype" is not a valid "Reason Nonconforming", as Safety Guideline is a Type, and only Standards have Subtypes. Furthermore, ¶A4-1.3 of the Procedure Manual, which prescribes the "correction" of titles, explicitly permits title changes to "provide grammatical correctness" to be part of the LI "correcting" a title. Therefore, if the EHS Committee agrees that there is more than one "guideline" in S14, the EHS Committee could issue a Letter Ballot Line Item to change the title to "Safety Guidelines" on the grounds that it is grammatically incorrect to have a singular name for a plural entity. However, that is the present title, so it would be a ballot to change nothing. If, however, the EHS Comm believes there is only one "guideline" in S14, then it should issue a Line Item, in the next S14 Letter Ballot, to change the title to "Safety Guideline". Alternatively, the EHS Comm can, as part of this ballot review, make an editorial change to the title from "Guidelines" to "Guideline".									
		-		greed to do one of the following actions.						
	^NC			uired in this step.						
A C:				ssed by Commenter #, Comment #						
Action				on was taken by the TC Chapter.						
		Refer to the	ne TF	for more consideration.						
		New Busi	ness							
	Х	Editorial C	Chan	ge						
		Options		Case 1: No vote in this section:						
		for editorial		To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.						
		change	Case 2: Voted in this section:							
		(check one) X Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.								
Ed		FROM: R	Remo	ve "s" from Guidelines in the Title of S14						
itor		SEMI S	14.	Safety Guidelines for Fire Risk Assessment and Mitigation for						
) J	1			or Manufacturing Equipment						
ned;		TO:								
Editorial Changes				Safety Guidelines for Fire Risk Assessment and Mitigation for or Manufacturing Equipment						
		Schlicon	auci	or manaracturing Equipment						

	Justificatio	Justification (If necessary)							
Motion	n	To approve above editorial change							
Motion	n by/2 nd by	Eric Sklar (Safety Guru)/Ed Karl (Applied Materials)							
Discus	ssion	Supika Mashiro: Making change to the title is not considered editorial. Sean Larsen: Proposed change is requested by Procedure Manual for title conformance. There is no technical change.							
Vote		11 Y-1 N; Motion passed							

Readjudicated at SEMICON West meeting of 2016

aju	aiou	tou ut of		Con West meeting of 2010						
	Abstain with comment The Title of SEMI S14 needs to be corrected. See latest (Feb 2016) version of the Procedure Manual, Appendix 4, Table A4 1 20. S14 was not listed in prior version. Otherwise, this ballot would have failed. TF response: The title will be corrected via line item ballot in the future.									
		обранов: 1		are thin be contested the line form beinet in the fatere.						
	The	e TC Chapt	er a	greed to do one of the following actions.						
	*No	o motion is required in this step.								
A		Already ad	Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #							
Action		No further	No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.							
		Refer to th	ne TF	for more consideration.						
	Х	New Busin	New Business							
		Editorial Change								
		Options Case 1: No vote in this section:								
		for editorial change (check one)		To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.						

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

See section V-(i) above.

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.7.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	44	/	44	=	100.0%	≥90%

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See *Regulations* § 9.7.2 for further information.

X	Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
	Need a Ratification Ballot: Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items

VIII. Safety Check

Note: This Safety check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items. See § 15 of the *Regulations* for further information.

			This is not a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)								
Motion	x	Th tec	This is a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not echnically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2)								
		х	Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)								
	Motion by/2 nd by			Eric Sklar (Safety Guru)/Bert Planting (ASML)							
	D	iscı	ussion	None							
Vote 8 Y-0 N; Motion passed											

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This IP check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items. See § 16 of the *Regulations* for further information.

х		TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any potentially rerial patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 1)										
	Х		otentially n righted iter		patented technology or reproduction of nown.	GO TO SECTION X.						
		copy copy	righted iter right releas	ns is kr se lette	al patented technology or reproduction of is known, but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or letter for such items has been obtained or 'C Chapter.							
		use	of such ma	terials	al patented technology or reproduction of copyrighted items is kr rials is technically justified by the TC Chapter, but an LOA or cop some of the item(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the							
	~		Ask ISC f	SISC for special permission to publish.								
	Motion		Quit activ	ity.	ty.							
	'n		d items.									
	Mot	ion b	y/2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)								
		Discus	ssion	XXXX								
		Vo	te	XX Y-XX N								
		inal ^	ction		Motion passed							
	Г	iiiai A	Cuon		Motion failed							

^{*} Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this Letter Ballot.

X. Action for This Document

a	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded A&R SC for procedural review.								
M (Ch	Line item(s) [1] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.								
A&R SC for procedural review. Line item(s) [1] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R SC for procedural review. Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes. Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rewords.									
								Line	item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.
Motion by	y/ 2n	d by	Eric Sklar (Safety Guru)/Bert Planting (ASML)						
Discu	ssior	ì	None						
Vo	te		7 Y - 0 N						
Einal A	Final Action		x Motion passed						
rinai A			Motion failed						

Readjudicated at SEMICON West meeting of 2016

ap	x		tem(s) [1] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for dural review.			
M (Ch			tem(s) [1] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC SC for procedural review.			
Motion (Check all applicable items)		editor	tem(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without tall changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification will be issued to verify the technical changes.			
ls)		Line i	tem(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.			
		Line i	item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.			
Motion by	Motion by/ 2nd by		Eric Sklar (Safety Guru)/Ed Karl (Applied Materials)			
Discus	Discussion		None			
Vote			7 Y - 0 N			
Final Action			x Motion passed			
rinai A	acuo	11	Motion failed			

Standards staff to record the result of the A&R procedural review here:

A&R		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] are Approved for publication
		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] are Approved pending acceptance of the Ratification Ballot
		Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] are Not approved
	Reason:	