# Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2014 Cycle 7

**REGION: Japan** 

**COMMITTEE: Japan Silicon Wafer TC Chapter** 

**EVENT: Japan Standards Winter 2014 Meetings in conjunction with SEMICON Japan 2014** 

**DATE OF MEETING: 2014/12/3** 

PLACE OF MEETING: Tokyo Bigsight, Tokyo

COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Naoyuki Kawai/ The University of Tokyo, Tetsuya Nakai, SUMCO

**SEMI STAFF: Naoko Tejima** 

**A&R Voter: Name/Company** 

Date: 200X/MM/DD

#### I. Document Number & Title

Document 5771	Document Title Revision to SEMI M80-0514, Mechanical Specification for Front-Opening Shipping Box used to Transport and Ship 450 mm Wafers with Title Change to Specification for Front-Opening
	Shipping Box used to Transport and Ship 450mm Wafer

#### II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

#### Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return	Distribution Return Rate
Yellow	61	÷ 99 = 61.6% >=60%
Lilac & Others	38	
Total Vote	99	]
Reject	1	]
Accept	29	

A&R		Not approved
A&R	Re	eason:

III. Rejects
Reject 1 (Supika Mashiro, Tokyo Electron)
Negative 1 of Reject 1

Ĭ	Referenced Section	18.1								
Negative	Reason	(Negative) Change the requirements that restrict End Effector movement area to a NOTE. Or redefine such requirement as the designated or reserved volume for the EE movement. (Technical)  (Reason) End Effector is not part of the FOSB. It is not appropriate to specify something that is completely outside of the box named as "FOSB" as the Requirements in this Standard, considering the Title and Purpose. FOSB suppliers are not in any position to satisfy those requirements specifie for End Effector								
		X	No withdr	awal made		GO TO "Related" section				
	Withdrawal		Withdrawa	al document received by staff	on Feb. 20	GO TO "Final" → (A)				
				is mutually agreed upon.						
	Motion and		*This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)							
	Reason	X	5 ,							
			Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)  Reason							
Re	Motion by/2nd by	Na		any) / Name (Company)						
Related	Discussion	None								
٩	Result of Vote (check ONE)	XX	(-XX							
			[Negative is related] > 1/3			'suasive"				
			[Negative is not related] < 2/3			suasive				
	(CHECK GIVE)		2/3=< [Ne	egative is not related]	GO TO "Final	" → (B)				
		X	Negative	is related and persuasive (ne	eds over 1/3 vo	otes to pass)				
	Motion and Reason		Negative	is related and not persuasive	(needs 2/3 or n	nore votes to pass)				
	Reason		Reason							
Pe	Motion by/2nd by	Ts	uyoshi Nag							
rsua	Discussion	No								
Persuasive	Result of Vote (check ONE)	5-0 abstain 3								
Ф		X	1 1			GO TO "Final" → (E)				
			[Negative	is related and not persuasive	e] < 2/3	(- <i>i</i>				
			2/3=<[Ne	gative is related and not perso	uasive] <90%	GO TO "Final" → (C)				

					90%	=< [Negative is related and	d not persuasive	•]	GO TO Significat Option"	"Not nt Finding		
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used "if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action". (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.3.2)											
ificant F					It is r	It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "not significant"						
inding					It is r	s mutually agreed upon to term the negative "significant"						
Motion The negative is "not significant".  Motion by/2nd by Name (Company) / Name (Company)					The	negative is "not significant".						
	Mata			XX-X	XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority GO TO → (D)			D → (D)				
	Vote				XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority GO TO → (C)							
					gative	e is:						
		(A) withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)										
		(B) not related (counted under i in disposition)										
	Final		(C) related and not persuasive (significant)									
				(D)	not significant (counted ur	nder <b>j</b> in disposit	ion)					
			X	(E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT	FAILS					
					Comment generated. See comment #x							
	\&R		Not ap	pro	proved							
-	NO.IX	Re	ason:	ason:								

#### **IV. Comments**

None.

# V. Summary of Editorial Changes

None.

# **VI. Approval Conditions Check**

None.

# **VII. Safety Check**

None.

# **VIII. Intellectual Property Check**

None.

# IX. Action for this document

		This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.								
Motion		This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.								
	X	This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.								
		Th	is do	cun	nent failed committee review and work will be discontinued.					
Motion by/2nd by Tsuyoshi Nagashima (Miraial) / Tetsuya Nakai (SUMCO)										
	Discussion None									
	Vote				11-0 Motion passed					
	Final Action				X Motion passed					
	Filial Action		•	Motion failed						
				Ap	proved					
	A&F	3		No	t approved					
			Reason:							