

# Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2014 Cycle 7

- 1 REGION: Japan
- 2 COMMITTEE: Information and Control Committee
- 3 EVENT: SEMICON Japan 2014
- 4 DATE OF MEETING: 2014/12/05
- 5 PLACE OF MEETING: Tokyo Big Sight, Tokyo
- 6 COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Takayuki Nishimura/SCREEN Semiconductor Solutions, Mitsuhiro Matsuda/Hitachi Kokusai Electric
- 7 SEMI STAFF: Chie Yanagisawa

A&R Voter: Name/Company  
Date: 200X/MM/DD

## I. Document Number & Title

<b>Document 5778</b>	<b>Document Title: Revision to add a new Subordinate Standard “Specification for SECS-II Protocol for Predictive Carrier Logistics” to SEMI E171-0914 “Specification for Predictive Carrier Logistics (PCL)”</b>
--------------------------	--

## II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

### Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)


	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	52	÷	86	=	60.5%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	38					
<b>Total Vote</b>	<b>90</b>					
Reject	1					
Accept	19					

<b>A&amp;R</b>		<b>Not approved</b>
		<b>Reason:</b>

### III. Rejects

#### Reject 1 (Won Tae Kim / Windtree Automation Corporation)


##### Negative 1 of Reject 1

<b>Negative</b>	<b>Referenced Section</b>	<b>*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary</b>	
	<b>Reason</b>	<p><b>*Original negative comment and as well as justification should be included.</b></p> <p><b>This subordinate document refers the E171 main document. But the main document is in the status of balloting for the revision to fix the current problems. And some items of this document are directly related with those items in revision. So I don't think that this is a proper time for balloting. So I suggest postponing the balloting of this document after completing the main document revision.</b></p>	
<b>Withdrawal</b>		No withdrawal made	<b>GO TO "Related" section</b>
	<b>X</b>	<p>Withdrawal document received by staff on Dec. 4 [Feedback]</p> <p>Among the six line items in #5735, only line item #1 makes a technical change which affects to subordinate document while other line items are improvements of expressions and explanations. The line item #1 of #5735 eliminates optional PEC concept while preserving the optional carrier flow management capability.</p> <p>#5778 covers neither the optional PEC concept nor optional carrier flow management capability in order to be independent from the line item #1 of #5735. So, there is no conflict with #5735</p> <p>[Request]</p> <p>It is appreciated if you withdraw this negative.</p> <p>[Reply]</p> <p>I agree with you of the actual technical relationship between #5735 and #5778.</p> <p>But I'm not sure that the change of contents related to Line Item 4 of #5735.</p> <p>I need some helps/discussion with Matsuda-san and other members to decide the withdrawal.</p> <p>[Reply 2]</p> <p><b>I withdraw my negative after discussed at the PCL TF meeting.</b></p> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Package</p> </div>	<p><b>GO TO "Final" → (A)</b></p>
<b>Related</b>	<b>Motion and Reason</b>	"Related" is mutually agreed upon.	
		<b>*This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)</b>	
		Negative is related <b>(needs over 1/3 votes to pass)</b>	
		Negative is not related <b>(needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)</b>	

		Reason	XXXX
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Discussion		
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	XX-XX	
		[Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO "Persuasive"
		[Negative is not related] < 2/3	
		2/3=< [Negative is not related] <90%	GO TO "Final" → (B)
		90% =< [Negative is not related]	GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option"
Persuasive	Motion and Reason	Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
		Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
		Reason	XXXX
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Discussion		
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	XX-XX	
		[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO "Final" → (E)
		[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3	
		2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO "Final" → (C)
		90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option"
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used in either case of "if the committee finds a negative not related by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action" or "if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action". (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.1.4, 9.5.3.3.2)		
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "not significant"	GO TO → (D)
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "significant"	GO TO → (B) OR (C)
	Motion	The negative is "not significant".	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Vote	XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO → (D)
XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority		GO TO → (B) OR (C)	
Final	Negative is:		
	X (A)	withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
	(B)	not related (significant) (counted under i in disposition)	
	(C)	related and not persuasive (significant)	
	(D)	not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
	(E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS

		Comment generated. See comment #x
A&R	Not approved	
	Reason:	

**Negative 2 of Reject 1**

Negative	Referenced Section	<p><b>*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary</b></p> <p><b>8.5 TimeReport — This section defines the format, which is used to report a time in this Standard, by using ISO 8601:2004. TimeReport information shall be reported as specified in this section. YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ss.sTZD (e.g., 2014-04-11T15:30:20.34+08:00)</b></p>	
	Reason	<p><b>*Original negative comment and as well as justification should be included.</b></p> <p><b>The time format of attributes and data items refer “E171 8.5 TimeReport”. In 8.5 of E171 we specify the extended time format only. But E5 and E30 allow all of 12 bytes format, 16 bytes format and the extended format. I think that PCL should allow choosing one from the suggested 3 formats. Normally we do not use that extended time format. Actually this reject is directly related to E171, the main document, not this document and does not point the fault of this subordinate document. But when implemented with this document, we may face the time format problem.</b></p>	
Withdrawal		No withdrawal made	GO TO “Related” section
	X	<p>Withdrawal document received by staff on Dec.2.</p> <p>[Feedback] The time format addressed by this negative is defined in E171 PCL and out of scope of this document. If this change is really needed, it should be proposed as the new business which revise the time format in E171 PCL.</p> <p>[Request] It is appreciated if you withdraw this negative.</p> <p>[Reply] I agree with you. I withdraw this negative.</p> <p>[Reply 2] He changed his vote to an abstain vote.</p>  <p>Package</p>	GO TO “Final” → (A)
Related	Motion and Reason	“Related” is mutually agreed upon.	
		<b>*This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)</b>	
		Negative is related (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
		Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
	Reason	XXXX	

	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
	Discussion			
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	XX-XX		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO "Persuasive"
<input type="checkbox"/>		[Negative is not related] < 2/3		
<input type="checkbox"/>		2/3=< [Negative is not related] <90%	GO TO "Final" → (B)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	90% =< [Negative is not related]	GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option"		
Persuasive	Motion and Reason	<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
		Reason	XXXX	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
	Discussion			
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	XX-XX		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO "Final" → (E)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO "Final" → (C)
	<input type="checkbox"/>	90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option"	
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used in either case of "if the committee finds a negative not related by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action" or "if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action". (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.1.4, 9.5.3.3.2)			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "not significant"	GO TO → (D)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "significant"	GO TO → (B) OR (C)	
	Motion	The negative is "not significant".		
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
	Vote	<input type="checkbox"/>	XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO → (D)
<input type="checkbox"/>		XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority	GO TO → (B) OR (C)	
Final	Negative is:			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(A)	withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	(B)	not related (significant) (counted under i in disposition)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	(C)	related and not persuasive (significant)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	(D)	not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	(E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Comment generated. See comment #x		

A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

**Disposition of Reject 1**

2	Original number of Negatives	(g)
2	# of Negatives withdrawn	(h)
0	# of Negatives found not related	(i)
0	# of Negatives found not significant	(j)
Final	X g-(h+i+j)=0	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject <b>is Not Valid and is not</b> included in the denominator of <u>V. Approval Conditions Check</u>
	g-(h+i+j)>0	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject <b>is</b> included in the denominator of <u>V. Approval Conditions Check</u>
	Reject without a Negative	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not Valid</b>

Note: If all of the negative material included with a reject vote is withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the reject vote is not valid. (Regulations ¶ 9.4.3.3)

A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

**IV. Comments**

**Comment 1**

Comment	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary
	From	Won Tae Kim / Windtree Automation Corporation
	Comment	Some typo issues: In Table 3 and Table 4: PRCOBJ -> CLJ
	Discussion	Typos will be amended as editorial changes.
Action proposed	X	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions. *No motion is required in this step.
		No further action was taken by the committee.
		Refer to the task force for more consideration.
		New Business
		Other
	Editorial Change	
	X	Case 1: No vote in this section :

		To be included and voted on in <u>4. Summary of Editorial Changes</u> .
		Case 2: Voted in this section :
		Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.
1		FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
2		FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
Motion by/2nd	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
Vote	XX-XX Motion passed (or failed)	
A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

## V. Summary of Editorial Changes

Note: Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.

1		FROM: Section 7 Table 3 <i>PRCOBJ Service Message Name</i>
		TO: Section 7 Table 3 <i>CLJ Service Message Name</i>
		Justification: (If necessary) <i>PRCOBJ Service Message</i> appears only once in this document while <i>CLJ Service Message</i> is used several times. As a nature of this table the table title and this column should say same thing.
2		FROM: Section 8 Table 4 <i>PRCOBJ Service Parameter</i>
		TO: Section 8 Table 4 <i>CLJ Service Parameter</i>
		Justification: (If necessary) <i>PRCOBJ Service Parameter</i> appears only once in this document while <i>CLJ Service Parameter</i> is used several times. As a nature of this table the table title and this column should say same thing.
Motion	To approve the above editorial changes	
Motion by/2nd by	Yuko Toyoshima (Hitachi High-Technologies) / Yoshihisa Takasaki (SCREEN Semiconductor Solutions)	

Discussion	None
Vote	14-0 Motion passed
A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

## VI. Approval Conditions Check

**APPROVAL CONDITION 1:** All negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, or not persuasive. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2)

**APPROVAL CONDITION 2:** At least 90% of the sum of the valid accept and reject votes must be accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.3)

**Note:** if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)				
Approval Rate	=	19	/	19	=	100.0%		>=90%

A&R	Not approved
	Reason:



## VII. Safety Check

See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

Motion:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process.
Motion by/2nd by		Yuko Toyoshima (Hitachi High-Technologies) / Yoshihisa Takasaki (SCREEN Semiconductor Solutions)
Discussion		None
Vote		13-0 Motion passed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

## VIII. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

X	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	GO TO SECTION IX	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	GO TO SECTION IX	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee		
	MOTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ask ISC for special permission to publish	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Quit activity	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.	
	Motion by/2 <sup>nd</sup> by		Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Discussion		XXXX	
	Vote		XX-XX	
	Final Action		<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion Passed
<input type="checkbox"/>			Motion Failed	
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved		
	Reason:			

\* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

## IX. Action for this document

<b>Motion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.
<b>Motion by/2nd by</b>	Yuko Toyoshima (Hitachi High-Technologies) / Yoshihisa Takasaki (SCREEN Semiconductor Solutions)	
<b>Discussion</b>	None	
<b>Vote</b>	13-0	
<b>Final Action</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed
<b>A&amp;R</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	<b>Reason:</b>	