

Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2014 Cycle 3

REGION: **North America**
 COMMITTEE: **Silicon Wafer**
 EVENT: **SEMICON West 2014**
 DATE OF MEETING: **07/08/2014**
 PLACE OF MEETING: **Marriott Hotel, San Francisco, California**
 COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: **Dinesh Gupta (STA), Noel Poduje (SMS)**
 SEMI STAFF: **Kevin Nguyen**

A&R Voter: Name/Company
 Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

Document 5662	Revision of SEMI M35-1107, Guide for Developing Specifications for Silicon Wafer Surface Features Detected by Automated Inspection
--------------------------	---

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	62	÷	92	=	67.4%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	33					
Total Vote	95					
Reject	0					
Accept	36					

A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

III. Rejects

There was no reject received for ballot 5662.

IV. Comments

Comment 1

Comment	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary	
	From	Thomas Germer, NIST	
	Comment	<p>Accept with comment Comment on the added note to 6.1.1 and the revision to 6.6: Recent versions of M53, ca. 2009, were intended to maintain a PSL based scale, even when other spherical particles were used to calibrate a SSIS. During the development of those revisions, it was found that the index of refraction of the tested silica particles did not match that of fused silica, consistent with the spheres being porous. This finding suggests that silica spheres, while being suitable for transferring the PSL based scale, may not all be alike and that each source of spheres needs to be tested to determine their effective index of refraction.</p>	
	Discussion	No change is necessary at the moment	
Action proposed	x	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions.	
		*No motion is required in this step.	
		x	No further action was taken by the committee.
			Refer to the task force for more consideration.
			New Business
		Other	
	1	Case 1: No vote in this section :	
		To be included and voted on in § 5. Summary of Editorial Changes.	
		Case 2: Voted in this section :	
	1	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.	
FROM: Section xxx			
To: Section xxx			
		Justification (If necessary)	

2	FROM: Section xxx	
	To: Section xxx	
	Justification (If necessary)	
Motion by/2nd	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
Vote	XX-XX Motion passed (or failed)	
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

Comment 2

Comment	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary
	From	Masami Ikota, Hitachi
	Comment	Accept with comment There is one typo. Page 5, 7.1.10 'Polished induced defect' should be 'Polish induced defect' as Page 3, 6.5.
	Discussion	Editorial correction
Action proposed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions. *No motion is required in this step.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the committee.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the task force for more consideration.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	New Business
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other
	Editorial Change	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Case 1: No vote in this section : To be included and voted on in <u>§ 5. Summary of Editorial Changes.</u>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Case 2: Voted in this section : Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.
	1	FROM: Section 7.1.10 'Polished induced defect'
		To: Section 7.1.10 'Polish induced defect'

		Justification (If necessary)
	2	FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
Motion by/2nd	Noel Poduje (SMS)/ Kurt Haller (KLA-Tencor)	
Vote	13-0 Motion passed	
A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

V. Summary of Editorial Changes

See editorial changes proposed on comment 2 above.

VI. Approval Conditions Check

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, or not persuasive. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of the valid accept and reject votes must be accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.3)

Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid				
Approval Rate	=	<input type="text" value="37"/>	/	<input type="text" value="37"/>	=	<input type="text" value="100.0%"/>	>=	90%

A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

VII. Safety Check

See § 14 of the Regulations for further information

Motion:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process.
Motion by/2nd by		Kurt Haller (KLA-Tencor)/ Fritz Passek (Siltronic)
Discussion		None
Vote		15-0 Motion passed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

VIII. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

x	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	GO TO SECTION IX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	GO TO SECTION IX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee	
MOTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ask ISC for special permission to publish
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quit activity
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.
Motion by/2nd by		Name (Company)/Name (Company)
Discussion		XXXX
Vote		XX-XX
Final Action		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

IX. Action for this document

Motion	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.
Motion by/2nd by	Kurt Haller (KLA-Tencor)/ Noel Poduje (SMS)	
Discussion	None	
Vote	13-0	
Final Action	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	