

Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: **North America**

Global Technical Committee: **Liquid Chemicals**

TC Chapter Cochairs: **Don Hadder (Intel), Steven Rogers (KMG Chemicals), Laura Ledenbach (PeroxyChem/Evonik), Koh Murai (MegaFluid Systems)**

Standards Staff: **Laura Nguyen**

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	TBD	02/18/2021
Location	TBD	OVTCCM
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)	COVID-19	

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

I. Document Number and Title

Document Number	Document Title
6646	New Standard: Guide for Reporting Density and Porosity of the Chemical Mechanical Planarization (CMP) Pads used in Semiconductor Manufacturing

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: **As-cast tally after close of voting period**

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	59	÷	95	=	62.1%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	41					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0		Total Voters with Rejects		0	
Voting Interest Accept(s)	38					

Note: See *Regulations* § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

None

IV. Other Technical Issues

None

Note: TC Chapter may choose to address a technical issue that is not part of a Negative received on a Letter Ballot (i.e., a Comment or a reason not addressed by a Vote response) by handling it as a Negative and finding it related and technically persuasive. The TC Chapter may then fail the Document or address such technical issue by using the procedure defined in *Regulations* § 9.6.1.4.3 to make a technical change to the Document. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.1.4.2.5)

V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (Rafael Vargas-Bernal/ITSdl) - Comment 1

Comment	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.	
	In subsection 6.5, '.' must be deleted in 'factor.' In subsection 12.5, add '.' at the end. In subsection 13.1, in ASTM D 2892 add ')' at the end.	
	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.	
Action	*No motion is required in this step.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the TF for more consideration.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	New Business
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Editorial Change
	Options for editorial change (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Case 2: Voted in this section: Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.
FROM: Section/Paragraph 6.5, 12.5, 13.1		
6.5 Effect of pad orientations with regard to grooves on pad density was not widely researched but can be a factor. affecting reported pad density values ¹ . 12.1 Other metrologies, such as nano indentation and/or DMA ⁸ , or nano DMA can be used to assess indirectly pad porosity 13.1 <i>ASTM Standards</i> ¹⁰ ASTM D 4892 — Standard Test Method for Density of Solid Pitch (Helium Pycnometer Method		
Editorial Changes	1	

<p>TO: Section/Paragraph 6.5, 12.5, 13.1</p> <p>6.6 Effect of pad orientations with regard to grooves on pad density was not widely researched but can be a factor affecting reported pad density values¹.</p> <p>12.1 Other metrologies, such as nano indentation and/or DMA⁸, or nano DMA can be used to assess indirectly pad porosity.</p> <p>13.2 <i>ASTM Standards</i>¹⁰</p> <p>ASTM D 4892 — Standard Test Method for Density of Solid Pitch (Helium Pycnometer Method)</p>	
<p>Justification (If necessary) Fix typographical error.</p>	
Motion	To approve above editorial change(s)
Motion by/2nd by	Jim Pedersen (EMI) / Alex Tregub (Intel)
Discussion	None
Vote	14 Y-0 N; Motion passed.

Commenter 2 (Bob McIntosh/GF Piping) - Comment 1

Comment	<p>*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.</p>
	<p>Please confirm that the related documents are all correct and relate directly to the measurement methods described in the standard.</p>
Action	<p>The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.</p>
	<p>*No motion is required in this step.</p>
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #</p>
	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.</p>
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Refer to the TF for more consideration.</p>
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> New Business</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Editorial Change</p>	

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative
None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V
None

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.1.2*)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.1.3*)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)					
Approval Rate	=	38	/	38	=	100.0%		≥90%	

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See *Regulations § 9.6.2* for further information.

- Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):**
The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
- Need a Ratification Ballot:**
The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

VIII. Safety Check

Note: See *Regulations § 15* for further information.

Motion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is not a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations ¶ 8.7.1</i>)
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations ¶ 8.7.2</i>)
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations ¶ 15.3</i>) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations ¶ 15.1.2</i>)
Motion by/2 nd by		Alex Tregub (Intel) / Matt Fritz (3M)
Discussion		None
Vote		14 Y-0 N; Motion passed.

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline*. See Regulations § 16 for further information.

X	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)			
X	The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)	GO TO SECTION X.		
	The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented technology?	Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection
			No	GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection

X. Action for This Document

Motion		This Document passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
	X	This Document passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
		This Document passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.
		This Document failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.
		This Document failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.
Motion by/ 2nd by		Koh Murai (Mega Fluid Systems) / Alex Tregub (Intel)
Discussion		None
Vote		14 Y- 0 N
Final Action		X Motion passed

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.