

Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: [Japan](#)

Global Technical Committee: Metrics

TC Chapter Cochairs: [Mitch Sakamoto / ZAMA Consulting](#)

Standards Staff: [Chie Yanagisawa](#)

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	12/12/2019	12/12/2019
Location	Tokyo Big Sight	Tokyo Big Sight
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

I. Document Number and Title

Document Number	Document Title
6550	Revision to SEMI E113-0306 (Reapproved 0518) SPECIFICATION FOR SEMICONDUCTOR PROCESSING EQUIPMENT RF POWER DELIVERY SYSTEMS with title change to SPECIFICATION FOR RF POWER DELIVERY SYSTEMS USED IN SEMICONDUCTOR PROCESSING EQUIPMENT

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: **As-cast tally after close of voting period**

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes	Distribution	Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	42	÷ 70	= 60.0%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	44			
Voting Interest Reject(s)	2	Total Voters with Rejects		2
Voting Interest Accept(s)	35			

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

Voting Interest Reject 1 (Voting Interest Name: Fab Consulting)

Voter Reject 1 (Voter: David Bouldin / Fab Consulting)

Negative 1

Negative	Referenced Section/ Paragraph	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in, including text in the ballot if necessary.		
		7.3.4.2.1.2		
Negative	Negative Text	*Original complete Negative text (e.g., issue, justification, suggestion) should be copied.		
		Delete “as much as practical”. This terminology is too vague for a Specification. Also, earlier in the Document the measurements uncertainty estimates have already been addressed.		
	TF input (optional)	RTP “as much as practical” is unnecessary as the paragraph is explaining the function of the counter matching network.		
Withdrawal (check one)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Negative withdrawal made by Voter.	GO TO “Related” subsection	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on MM/DD/YYYY.	GO TO “Final” subsection → (A)	
Related	Motion and Reason (check one)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	‘Related’ is mutually agreed upon. (Needs no motion.)	GO TO “Persuasive” subsection
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is not related. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)	
		Reason	XXXX	
	Motion by/ 2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
	Discussion			
Result of Vote (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/>	XX Y-XX N; Motion passed/failed.		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is not related.] < 2/3	GO TO “Persuasive” subsection	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	2/3 ≤ [Negative is not related.]	GO TO “Final” subsection → (B)	
	Motion and Reason	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and persuasive. (Needs >1/3 votes to pass.)	

Persuasive	(check one)		Negative is related and not persuasive. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)			
	Reason		“as much as practical” is unnecessary as the paragraph is explaining the function of the counter matching network.			
	Motion by/ 2nd by		Supika Mashiro (Tokyo Electron) / Koichi Yamamoto (Hitachi High-Technologies)			
	Discussion		None			
	Result of Vote (check one)		2 Y-0 N; Motion passed.			
	X	[Negative is related and persuasive.] > 1/3	Is a technical change recommended? (check one)		Y	GO TO “Address by Technical Change Option” subsection
		[Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 2/3		X	N	GO TO “Final” subsection → (E)
		2/3 ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.] < 90%		GO TO “Final” subsection → (C)		
		90% ≤ [Negative is related and not persuasive.]		GO TO “Not Significant Finding Option” subsection		
Address by Technical Change Option	Technical Change Recommendations Original section/paragraph number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.					
	1	FROM: Section/Paragraph XXX				
		TO: Section/Paragraph xxx				
		Justification (if necessary)				
	2	FROM: Section/Paragraph XXX				
		TO: Section/Paragraph xxx				
		Justification (if necessary)				
	Motion		Negative is addressed by the technical change(s).			
	Motion by/2nd by		Name (Company)/Name (Company)			
	Discussion					
Result of Vote (check one)		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed/failed.				
		2/3 ≤ [Negative is addressed by the technical change(s).]	GO TO “Incorporation of the Technical Change” subsection			
		[Negative is not addressed by the technical change(s).] < 2/3	GO TO “Final” subsection → (E)			
Incorporatio n of the	Motion		To incorporate the technical change(s).			
	Motion by/2nd by		Name (Company)/Name (Company)			
	Discussion					
			XX Y-XX N; Motion passed/failed.			

	Result of Vote (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> 90% ≤ [Agree to incorporate.]	GO TO “Final” subsection → (F)
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Disagree to incorporate.] > 10%	GO TO “Final” subsection → (E)
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can be used only “if the TC Chapter finds a Negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action”. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1.4.5.2)		
	Use of “Not significant finding option” (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> It is mutually agreed upon to term the Negative “not significant”.	GO TO “Final” subsection → (D)
		<input type="checkbox"/> It is mutually agreed upon to term the Negative “significant”.	GO TO “Final” subsection → (C)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Whether or not the Negative is “not significant” is decided by a vote.	
	Motion	The Negative is “not significant”.	
Motion by/ 2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
Vote	<input type="checkbox"/>	XX Y-XX N; Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO “Final” subsection → (D)
	<input type="checkbox"/>	XX Y-XX N; Motion failed with simple majority	GO TO “Final” subsection → (C)
Final	(check if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/> (A)	Withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)
		<input type="checkbox"/> (B)	Not related (counted under i in disposition)
		<input type="checkbox"/> (C)	Related and not persuasive (significant)
		<input type="checkbox"/> (D)	Not significant (counted under j in disposition)
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (E)	Related and persuasive and not addressed by technical change
	<input type="checkbox"/> (F)	Addressed by technical change (counted under k disposition)	
(check if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/> Comment generated. See Section V-(ii) Comment # X.		

This table is needed for each Negative.

X. Action for This Document

Motion	<input type="checkbox"/>	This Document passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This Document passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This Document passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This Document failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This Document failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.
Motion by/ 2nd by	Supika Mashiro (Tokyo Electron) / Koichi Yamamoto (Hitachi High-Technologies)	
Discussion	None	
Vote	2 Y-0 N	
Final Action	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.