#### Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America Global Technical Committee: Liquid Chemicals TC Chapter Cochairs: Don Hadder (Intel), Laura Ledenbach (PeroxyChem), Steven Rogers (KMG Chemicals), Koh Murai (MegaFluid Systems) Standards Staff: Laura Nguyen

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	03/31/2020	08/20/2020
Location	SEMI HQ, Milpitas, CA/USA	SEMI Japan Office, Tokyo, Japan
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)	Force majeure caused by the corona vi	irus.

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

#### **Document Information**

#### I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

Doc	ument Number	Document Title					
6576		Line Item Revision to SEMI F63-0918, Guide for					
		Ultrapure Water Used in Semiconductor Processing					
List of	Line Item 1	Line Item Title SEMI F63 - Provide clarification to limitations and Table 2					
Line Items	Line Item 2	<b>Line Item Title</b> SEMI F63 - Update Table 1, including corresponding footnotes and text, to align with 2019 IRDS while also providing achievable limits with available metrology					

## Line Item 1 Adjudication

# II. Tally

Voting Tally:

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	<b>Returned Vote</b>		Distributio		<b>Return Rate</b>	
Letter Ballot	68	÷	105	=	64.8%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	29					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	1		Total Vot	ers	with Rejects	1
Voting Interest Accept(s)	45					

Note: See *Regulations* § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

### III. Rejects Voting Interest Reject 1 (Voting Interest Name: Guru) Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Eric Sklar/Safety Guru)

Neg	ative 1							
	Referenced Section/ Paragraph	<ul> <li>*TF/TC Chapter to fill in, including text in the ballot if necessary.</li> <li># SG1-1 </li> <li>¶ 3.1 </li> <li>*Original complete Negative text (e.g., issue, justification, suggestion) should be copied. Negative: Do not add the proposed text. Reason/Justification: The proposed text asserts that the document "provides" water quality. The document provides guidance, not water or its quality. I can't tell what was intended. For example, was the intent to assert that following this guide would provide such quality, or that the guide describes what that quality should be.</li></ul>						
Negative	Negative Text							
TF	input <mark>(optional)</mark>							
	Withdrawal (check one)		No Negative withdrawa	GO TO "Related" subsection				
			Withdrawal document r MM/DD/YYYY.	GO TO "Final" subsection $\rightarrow$ (A)				
	Motion and	x	X 'Related' is mutually agreed upon. (Needs no motion.)		GO TO "Persuasive" subsection			
	Reason		Negative is not related.	(Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)				
	(check one)		Reason	xxxx				
Reli	Motion by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Nan	ne (Company)/Name (C	Company)				
Related	Discussion							
		XX .	Y-XX N; Motion passed/	failed.				
	Result of Vote (check one)		[Negative is not related	.] < 2/3	GO TO "Persuasive" subsection			
			2/3 ≤ [Negative is not re	elated.]	GO TO "Final" subsection → (B)			

	Motion and Reason (check one)	x	Negative is relate	d and persu	uasive. <mark>(Needs &gt;1/</mark>	' <mark>3 vo</mark> i	tes t	o pass.)			
			Negative is related and not persuasive. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)								
	(,		Reason								
P	Motion by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Tak	uya Nagafuchi (Nił	ya Nagafuchi (Nihon Entegris) / Kaoru Kondo (RION)							
Persuasive	Discussion	Non	one								
Jas		<mark>3Y-(</mark>	<b>N</b> ; Motion passe	d.							
ive	Result of Vote (check one)	X	[Negative is related and persuasive.] > 1/3		ls a technical change recommended?		Y	GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection			
			[Negative is relate persuasive.] < 2/3		(check one)	Х	Ν	GO TO "Final" subsection → (E)			
			2/3 ≤ [Negative is and not persuasiv		GO TO "Final" s	ubse	ectio	n → (C)			
			90% ≤ [Negative i and not persuasiv		GO TO "Not Sigi	nifica	ant F	Finding Option" subsection			
			(A)	Withdrawn	(counted under l	h in c	disp	osition)			
			(B)	Not related	d (counted under	i in d	lispo	osition)			
	(check if		(C)	Related ar	nd not persuasive (	signi	ficar	nt)			
Final	applicable)		(D)	Not signific	cant <mark>(counted und</mark>	ler j i	n di	sposition)			
al		х	(E)	Related and persuasive and not addressed by technical change				DOCUMENT FAILS			
			(F)	Addressec	l by technical chan	ge <mark>(</mark> c	oun	ted under k disposition)			
	(check if applicable)		Comment genera	ted. <mark>See Se</mark>	ection V-(ii) Comn	nent	<b># X</b> .				

IV. Other Technical Issues None

V. Comments None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V None VII. Approval Conditions Check N/A – Document Fails

# Line Item 2 Adjudication

# II. Tally

#### Voting Tally:

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

#### Voting Tally (with example values):

<b>Returned Vote</b>		Distributio		<b>Return Rate</b>	
68	÷	105	=	64.8%	≥60%
29					
2		Total Vot	ers	with Rejects	2
45					
	68 29 2	29	68       ÷       105         29	68       ÷       105       =         29       2       Total Voters v	68       ÷       105       =       64.8%         29             2       Total Voters with Rejects

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

#### III. Rejects Voting Interest Reject 1 (Voting Interest Name: Guru) Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Eric Sklar/Safety Guru)

#### **Negative 1**

-								
	Section/			ncluding text in the ballot if nec	essary.			
z	Paragraph		32-7 le Note 2					
Negative		сор	ied.	ve text (e.g., issue, justification	, suggestion) should be			
e	Negative Text	Negative: Do not add "Emerging particle manufacturing." Reason/Justification: Evaluating a measurement method cannot measure particles, accurately or otherwise.						
TF	nput <mark>(optional)</mark>							
	Withdrawal (check one)		No Negative withdrawal made by Voter.		GO TO "Related" subsection			
			Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on MM/DD/YYYY.		GO TO "Final" subsection → (A)			
	Motion and	x	'Related' is mutually agreed upon. (Needs no motion.)		GO TO "Persuasive" subsection			
	Reason		Negative is not related.	(Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)				
Related	지 (check one) ea		Reason	xxxx				
ed	Motion by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)						
	Discussion							

		XX '	Y-XX N; Motion pa	assed/failed							
	Result of Vote (check one)		[Negative is not r	elated.] < 2/		GO TO "Persuasive" subsection					
			2/3 ≤ [Negative is	not related		GO TO "Final" subsection → (B)					
		x	Negative is related and persuasive. (Needs >1/3 votes to pass.)								
	Motion and Reason (check one)		Negative is relate	Negative is related and not persuasive. (Needs ≥2/3 votes to pass.)							
	· ·		Reason								
Po	Motion by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Takuya Nagafuchi (Nihon Entegris) / Kaoru Kondo (RION)									
ersu	Discussion	None									
Persuasive	Result of Vote (check one)	3 <b>Y</b> -0 <b>N</b> ; Motion passed									
ive		x	[Negative is relate persuasive.] > 1/3		ls a technical change recommended?		Y	GO TO "Address by Technical Change Option" subsection			
			[Negative is relate persuasive.] < 2/3		(check one)	Х	Ν	GO TO "Final" subsection → (E)			
			2/3 ≤ [Negative is and not persuasiv	ve.] < 90%	GO TO "Final" subsection → (C)						
			90% ≤ [Negative and not persuasiv		GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option" subse						
			(A)	Withdrawn	(counted under l	h in (	disp	osition)			
			<b>(B)</b>	Not related	d (counted under	i in c	dispo	osition)			
	(check if		(C)	Related ar	nd not persuasive (	signi	ficar	nt)			
Final	applicable)		(D)	Not signific	cant <mark>(counted und</mark>	ler j	in di	sposition)			
a		х	<b>(E)</b>	addressed	nd persuasive and by technical chan	ge		DOCUMENT FAILS			
			(F)	Addressed	l by technical chan	ge <mark>(</mark>	cour	ted under k disposition)			
	(check if applicable)		Comment genera	ated. <mark>See Se</mark>	ection V-(ii) Comm	nent	<b># X</b> .				

Voting Interest Reject 2 (Voting Interest Name: JSR Micro) Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Marc Henry/JSR Micro) N/A – Document Fails

IV. Other Technical Issues None

V. Comments None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

### None VII. Approval Conditions Check N/A – Document Fails

**Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items** 

#### VIII. Safety Check N/A – Document Fails

### IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check N/A – Document Fails

## X. Action for This Document

ap			tem(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC SC for procedural review.				
M (Ch plica			item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded e ISC A&R SC for procedural review.				
<ul> <li>A&amp;R SC for procedural review.</li> <li>Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forward to the ISC A&amp;R SC for procedural review.</li> <li>Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&amp;R SC for procedural review. A Ratifica Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.</li> <li>X Line item(s) [1], and [2] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.</li> </ul>							
ls)	X Line item(s) [1], and [2] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.						
		Line	tem(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.				
Motion by	y/ 2n	d by	Takuya Nagafuchi (Nihon Entegris) / Kaoru Kondo (RION)				
Discussion		1 I	None				
Vote			3 Y-0 N				
Final	Final Action		X Motion passed				
r inai A	Actio	n n	Motion failed				

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.