

# Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: [Japan](#)

Global Technical Committee: [Traceability](#)

TC Chapter Cochairs: [Yoichi Iga \(JEITA\)](#), [Hirokazu Tsunobuchi \(Consultant\)](#)

Standards Staff: [Mami Nakajo](#)

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	<a href="#">12/13/2023</a>	<a href="#">12/13/2023</a>
Location	<a href="#">Tokyo Big Sight</a>	<a href="#">Tokyo Big Sight</a>
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See [Regulations ¶ 9.5](#) Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

## I. Document Number and Title

Document Number	Document Title
<a href="#">7137</a>	<a href="#">Reapproval of SEMI T15-0818, Specification for Jig ID</a>

## II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: [As-cast tally after close of voting period](#)

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. ([Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.1.1](#))

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes	Distribution	Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	<a href="#">44</a>	÷ <a href="#">69</a>	= <a href="#">63.8%</a>	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	<a href="#">31</a>			
Voting Interest Reject(s)	<a href="#">0</a>	Total Voters with Rejects		<a href="#">0</a>
Voting Interest Accept(s)	<a href="#">25</a>			

Note: See [Regulations § 3.2.1](#) for definition of Voting Interest.

### III. Rejects None

#### Disposition of Voting Interest Reject 1

Check only when the Document has not been failed.

#	Original number (#) of Negatives	(g)
#	Number of Negatives withdrawn	(h)
#	Number of Negatives found not related	(i)
#	Number of Negatives found not significant	(j)
#	Number of Negatives addressed by technical change <b>(Negative becomes not significant)</b>	(k)
Final	$g - (h + i + j + k) = 0$	Reject is Not Valid and is not included in the denominator of § VI. <i>Approval Conditions Check</i>
	$g - (h + i + j + k) > 0$	Reject is included in the denominator of § VI. <i>Approval Conditions Check</i>
	Reject without a Negative	Not Valid

*This table is needed for each Voting Interest Reject.*

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (*Regulations ¶ 9.4.3.3*)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. (*Regulations ¶ 9.6.1.4.5.2*)

### IV. Other Technical Issues None

### V. Comments None

### VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V None

### VII. Approval Conditions Check

#### VII. - (i). Approval Rate

**APPROVAL CONDITION 1:** All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.1.2*)

**APPROVAL CONDITION 2:** At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.1.3*)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	25	/	25	=	100.0%	≥90%

## VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See *Regulations § 9.6.2* for further information.

### Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.

### Need a Ratification Ballot:

The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

## VIII. Safety Check

Note: See *Regulations § 15* for further information.

Motion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>This is not a Safety Document</b> , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. ( <i>Regulations ¶ 8.7.1</i> )
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>This is a Safety Document</b> , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. ( <i>Regulations ¶ 8.7.2</i> )
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Checklist ( <i>Regulations ¶ 15.3</i> ) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. ( <i>Regulations ¶ 15.1.2</i> )
<b>Motion by/2<sup>nd</sup> by</b>		Hirokazu Tsunobuchi (Consultant)/ Tadamasu Tominaga(MURATA MACHINERY, LTD.)
<b>Discussion</b>		None
<b>Vote</b>		9 Y-0 N; Motion passed

## IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

**Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline\*. See Regulations § 16 for further information.**

X	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)				
X	The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)	<b>GO TO SECTION X.</b>			
	The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented technology?	Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	<b>GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection</b>	
			No	<b>GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection</b>	

### IX(a) Patented Technologies subsection

#### IX(a1) Total numbers of Patented Technologies to be dealt with

<b># Fill number</b>	(l) Known Patented Technology that might be relevant to the Standard/Safety Guideline	<b># Fill number</b>	(m) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	<b>Postpone assessment of such patented technologies to be performed at the next scheduled TC Chapter meeting.</b>
		<b># Fill number</b>	(n) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter before the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	<b>GO TO IX (a2)</b>

#### IX(a2) Assessment of disclosed patented technologies

<b>Disclosed patented technology #1</b> ( <i>Brief description, e.g., patent title and number</i> ):		<b>Date of Assessment (if different from the date of Letter Ballot adjudication)</b> MM/DD/YYYY			
Is disclosed patented technology #1 found to be "might be material" to the Standard/Safety Guideline?	YES (It is a PMPT)	Is the use of this PMPT technically justified?	YES	<b>PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(a3)</b>	
			NO	<b>The Document is failed and returned to the TF</b>	
	NO	No further action is needed for patented technology #1			

*This table is needed for each disclosed patented technology.*

**IX(a3) LOA status check of PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified**

LOA Status of PMPT #1					
Has an LOA for this patented technology been received from every owner ?		YES	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b)		
		NO	MOTION		Ask ISC for special permission to publish.
					Quit activity. The Document is failed and returned to the TF
					Wait for LOA PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b1)
			Motion by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
			Discussion	XXXX	
			Vote	XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)	

*This table is needed for each PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified.*

**IX(b1) Total numbers of copyrighted items to be dealt with**

# Fill number	(o) Known copyrighted items that are used or reproduced to the Standard/Safety Guideline	o > 0	There is at least one known copy righted items that might be relevant to the Standard/Safety Guideline	GO TO IX (b2)
		o = 0	There is no disclosed copyrighted item	GO TO IX (c)

**IX(b2) Assessment of disclosed copyrighted items**

Disclosed copyrighted item #1 (Brief description of its use in the Document):					
Is disclosed copyrighted item #1 used or reproduced in the Standard/Safety Guideline?		YES	Is the use/reproduction of this copyrighted item technically justified?	YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b3)
				NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
		NO	No further action is needed for copyrighted item #1		

*This table is needed for each disclosed copyrighted item.*

**IX(b3) Copyright release status check of copyrighted item of which inclusion assessed to be justified**

Copyright release Status of copyrighted item #1					
Has the copyright release been received from its owner ?.		YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)		
		NO	≥		Ask ISC for special permission to publish.

				Quit activity.	<b>The Document is failed and returned to the TF</b>
				Wait for copyright release letter	<b>PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)</b>
				<b>Motion by/ 2<sup>nd</sup> by</b>	Name (Company)/Name (Company)
				<b>Discussion</b>	XXXX
				<b>Vote</b>	XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)

*This table is needed for each copyrighted item of which use/reproduction assessed to be justified.*

### IX(c) Assessment of disclosed (identified) trademark

Is there any trademark in the Standard/Safety Guideline?		YES	Is every instance of trademark use technically justified?	YES	<b>GO TO IX(d)</b>
		NO		NO	<b>The Document is failed and returned to the TF</b>
		NO	<b>GO TO IX(d)</b>		

### IX(d) IP check completion condition check

The co-chair checks if any Patented Technologies first become known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot? i.e., m>0 in IX(a1)		YES	<b>Sections IX(a2) and IX(a3) shall be completed and recorded for such patented technologies at next scheduled meeting of the TC Chapter. Until then, the TC Chapter shall NOT go to X (making motion to pass/fail this Document) (see Regulations ¶ 16.4.1.2) Until then this Letter Ballot Review is on hold.</b>
		NO	<b>GO TO X</b>

## X. Action for This Document

<b>Motion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This Document passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This Document passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This Document passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This Document failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This Document failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.
<b>Motion by/ 2<sup>nd</sup> by</b>	Hirokazu Tsunobuchi (Consultant)/ Tadamasa Tominaga(MURATA MACHINERY, LTD.)	
<b>Discussion</b>	Tominaga-san pointed out the biased term "jig" is included in its document title and main body. Tsunobuchi-san replied this issue will be considered whether to include it in the next five-year review. Iga-san confirmed that in accordance with Reg, Traceability TC will also continue to review not only jig but also other biased terms in other documents related in Traceability.	
<b>Vote</b>	8 Y-0 N	
<b>Final Action</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed

**Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.**