

Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: **North America**

Global Technical Committee: **Information & Control**

TC Chapter Cochairs: **Brian Rubow (Cimetrix), Jack Ghiselli (Ghiselli Consulting), James Moyne (AMAT / University of Michigan)**

Standards Staff: **Michelle Sun**

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	11/8/2023	11/8/2023
Location	SEMI HQ/Milpitas	SEMI HQ/Milpitas
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

Document Information

I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

Document Number 7120	Document Title Line Item Revision to SEMI E157-0611, Specification for Module Process Tracking
☐ Line Item 1	Line Item Title Well-Known Names for E157

Line Item 1 Adjudication

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: **As-cast tally after close of voting period**

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes	Distribution	Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	84	÷ 140	= 60.0%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	36			
Voting Interest Reject(s)	1	Total Voters with Rejects		1
Voting Interest Accept(s)	44			

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

Voting Interest Reject 1 (Voting Interest Name: Kokusai Electric)

Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Matsuda Mitsuhiro / Kokusai Electric)

Negative 1

Negative	Referenced Section/ Paragraph	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in, including text in the ballot if necessary. Appendix 1		
	Negative Text	*Original complete Negative text (e.g., issue, justification, suggestion) should be copied. APPENDIX 1 title shall be 'RETIRED VARIABLE' and change contents of APPENDIX from 'retired well-known names' to 'retired variables',		
TF input (optional)				
Withdrawal (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Negative withdrawal made by Voter.		GO TO "Related" subsection
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal document received by Standards staff on 11/7/2023.		GO TO "Final" subsection → (A)
Final	(check if applicable)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(A)	Withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	(B)	Not related (counted under i in disposition)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	(C)	Related and not persuasive (significant)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	(D)	Not significant (counted under j in disposition)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	(E)	Related and persuasive and not addressed by technical change
	<input type="checkbox"/>	(F)	Addressed by technical change (counted under k disposition)	
(check if applicable)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Comment generated. See Section V-(ii) Comment # 1.		

This table is needed for each Negative.

Disposition of Voting Interest Reject 1

Check only when the Document has not been failed.

1	Original number (#) of Negatives	(g)
1	Number of Negatives withdrawn	(h)
0	Number of Negatives found not related	(i)

0	Number of Negatives found not significant		(j)
0	Number of Negatives addressed by technical change (Negative becomes not significant)		(k)
Final	x	$g - (h + i + j + k) = 0$	Reject is Not Valid and is not included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check
		$g - (h + i + j + k) > 0$	Reject is included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check
		Reject without a Negative	Not Valid

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (Regulations ¶ 9.4.3.3)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1.4.5.2)

IV. Other Technical Issues

None

V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (Hyungsu Kim / Doople) - Comment 1

Comment	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.	
	Well-Known Name is a name that is too abstract to be used in standards. Other representative names are recommended. (e.g. Standardized Name or Representational Name, Substant Name)	
Action	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.	
	*No motion is required in this step.	
		Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #
	x	No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.
		Refer to the TF for more consideration.
		New Business
	Editorial Change	

This table is needed for each Comment accompanied a Vote

Commenter 1 (Hyungsu Kim / Doople) - Comment 2

Comment	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.	
	The Well-Know Name proposed in this Ballot seems to be intended to use the Name used in Freeze.2 E164 as it is, but I hope it will be more advanced in Freeze.3. In other words, even if it cannot be expressed up to the variable class of the parameter, I hope it can at least distinguish between the parameter and the event. (e.g E40:ProcessJob:ObjID -> E40:Variable:ProcessJob:ObjID, E40:PRJobSetup -> E40:Event:PRJobSetup)	
A	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.	

*No motion is required in this step.	
	Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #
x	No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.
	Refer to the TF for more consideration.
	New Business
	Editorial Change

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative

Comment (Created by Handling Negative) NC – 1

Comment	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in		
	Negative submitted by Matsuda Mitsuhiro / Kokusai Electric		
	APPENDIX 1 title shall be 'RETIRED VARIABLE' and change contents of APPENDIX from 'retired well-known names' to 'retired variables',		
Action	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.		
	*No motion is required in this step.		
		Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #	
		No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.	
		Refer to the TF for more consideration.	
		New business	
	x	Editorial change	
	Options for editorial change (check one)		Case 1: No vote in this section:
			To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.
	x		Case 2: Voted in this section:
			Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.
Editorial Changes	1	FROM: Section/Paragraph Appendix 1 Text: RETIRED WELL KNOWN NAMES	
		TO: Section/Paragraph Appendix 1 Text: RETIRED WELL KNOWN NAMES <u>FOR RETIRED VARIABLES, COLLECTION EVENTS and ALARMS</u>	
		Justification (If necessary) The additional text in the title is more descriptive.	

Motion	To approve above editorial change(s)
Motion by/2nd by	By: Brian Rubow / Cimetrix Incorporated Second: Chris Maloney / Intel Corporation
Discussion	None
Vote	10 Y-0 N; Motion passed.

This table is needed for each Comment created by handling Negative.

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

None

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.1.2*)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.1.3*)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)					
Approval Rate	=	44	/	44	=	100.0%		≥90%	

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See *Regulations § 9.6.2* for further information.

Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):

Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.

Need a Ratification Ballot:

Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items

VIII. Safety Check

Note: This Safety check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline including all the approved Line Items. See § 15 of the *Regulations* for further information.

Motion	x	This is not a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)		
		This is a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2)		
			Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)	
Motion by/2 nd by		By: Brian Rubow / Cimetrix Incorporated Second: Chris Maloney / Intel Corporation		
Discussion		None		
Vote		11 Y-0 N; Motion passed		

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline including all the approved Line Items*. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

x	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)							
	x	The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)	GO TO SECTION X.					
		The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented technology?	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="background-color: #e0ffff;">Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology</td> <td style="background-color: #ffccff; text-align: center;">GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #e0ffff;">No</td> <td style="background-color: #ffccff; text-align: center;">GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection</td> </tr> </table>	Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection	No	GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection
Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection							
No	GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection							

IX(a) Patented Technologies subsection

IX(a1) Total numbers of Patented Technologies to be dealt with

# Fill number	(l) Known Patented Technology that might be relevant to	# Fill number	(m) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	Postpone assessment of such patented technologies to be performed at the next scheduled TC Chapter meeting.
------------------	---	------------------	--	--

	the Standard/Safety Guideline	# Fill number	(n) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter before the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	GO TO IX (a2)
--	-------------------------------	------------------	---	---------------

IX(a2) Assessment of disclosed patented technologies

Disclosed patented technology #1 (Brief description, e.g., patent title and number):		Date of Assessment (If different from the date of Letter Ballot adjudication) MM/DD/YYYY		
Is disclosed patented technology #1 found to be "might be material" to the Standard/Safety Guideline?	YES (It is a PMPT)	Is the use of this PMPT technically justified?	YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(a3)
			NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
	NO	No further action is needed for patented technology #1		

This table is needed for each disclosed patented technology.

IX(a3) LOA status check of PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified

LOA Status of PMPT #1				
Has an LOA for this patented technology been received from every owner ?	YES	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b)		
	NO	MOTION	Ask ISC for special permission to publish.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
			Quit activity.	
			Wait for LOA	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b1)
		Motion by/ 2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
		Discussion	XXXX	
		Vote	XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)	

This table is needed for each PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified.

IX(b1) Total numbers of copyrighted items to be dealt with

# Fill number	(o) Known copyrighted items that are used or reproduced to the Standard/Safety Guideline	o > 0 There is at least one known copy righted items that might be relevant to the Standard/Safety Guideline	GO TO IX (b2)
		o = 0 There is no disclosed copyrighted item	GO TO IX (c)

IX(b2) Assessment of disclosed copyrighted items

Disclosed copyrighted item #1 <i>(Brief description of its use in the Document):</i>					
Is disclosed copyrighted item #1 used or reproduced in the Standard/Safety Guideline?		YES	Is the use/reproduction of this copyrighted item technically justified?	YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b3)
				NO	
		NO	No further action is needed for copyrighted item #1		

This table is needed for each disclosed copyrighted item.

IX(b3) Copyright release status check of copyrighted item of which inclusion assessed to be justified

Copyright release Status of copyrighted item #1					
Has the copyright release been received from its owner ?.		NO	MOTION	YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)
					Ask ISC for special permission to publish.
					Quit activity. The Document is failed and returned to the TF
					Wait for copyright release letter PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)
			Motion by/ 2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
			Discussion	XXXX	
			Vote	XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)	

This table is needed for each copyrighted item of which use/reproduction assessed to be justified.

IX(c) Assessment of disclosed (identified) trademark

Is there any trademark in the Standard/Safety Guideline?		YES	Is every instance of trademark use technically justified?	YES	GO TO IX(d)
				NO	
		NO	GO TO IX(d)		

IX(d) IP check completion condition check

The co-chair checks if any Patented Technologies first become known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot? i.e., m>0 in IX(a1)		YES	Sections IX(a2) and IX(a3) shall be completed and recorded for such patented technologies at next scheduled meeting of the TC Chapter. Until then, the TC Chapter shall NOT go to X (making motion to pass/fail this Document) (see Regulations ¶ 16.4.1.2) Until then this Letter Ballot Review is on hold.
		NO	GO TO X

X. Action for This Document

Motion (Check all applicable items)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [1] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.
Motion by/ 2nd by	By: Brian Rubow / Cimatrix Incorporated Second: Chris Maloney / Intel Corporation	
Discussion	None	
Vote	10 Y-0 N	
Final Action	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.