# Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America

Global Technical Committee: Silicon Wafer

TC Chapter Cochairs: Dinesh Gupta/STA, Noel Poduje/SMS

Standards Staff: Kevin Nguyen

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	07/09/2019	07/09/2019
Location	Moscone South Hall, San Francisco, CA	Moscone South Hall, San Francisco, CA
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See Regulations ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

## **Document Information**

I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

Doc 5834	ument Number	Document Title Line Item Revision to SEMI M85-1014: Guide for the Measurement of Trace Metal Contamination on Silicon Wafer Surface by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry
	Line Item 1	Line Item Title Adding some explanations to 10.1.7
ist of	Line Item 2	Line Item Title Adding some explanation to NOTE 4
f Line	Line Item 3	Line Item Title Changing some words in 12.3.1
Ф	Line Item 4	Line Item Title Changing some words in 12.3.2

1

## **Line Item 1 Adjudication**

## II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

#### **Voting Tally:**

58	÷	90	_	(1.10/	
	_	70	=	64.4%	≥60%
42					
0		Total	Voters with Rejects		0
47					
	0	0	0 Total	0 Total Voter	0 Total Voters with Rejects

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects
None

## IV. Other Technical Issues

Note: TC Chapter may choose to address a technical issue that is not part of a Negative received on a Letter Ballot (i.e., a Comment or a reason not addressed by a Vote response) by handling it as a Negative and finding it related and technically persuasive. The TC Chapter may then fail the Document or address such technical issue by using the procedure defined in *Regulations* § 9.6.1.4.3 to make a technical change to the Document. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.1.4.2.5)

#### None

## V. Comments

## V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (Russell, Surjany /Chem Trace) - Comment 1

	,	1 (114000	ii, Surjany /Chem Trace) - Comment 1						
Comment	*TF	/TC Chapter	to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.						
nent	Sug	gest to add	VPD box to 10.1.7						
	The	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.							
	*No	motion is r	equired in this step.						
		Already add	dressed by Commenter #, Comment #						
Action	No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.								
		Refer to the TF for more consideration.							
	Х								
		Editorial Ch							
		Options	Case 1: No vote in this section:						
		for editorial	To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.						
		change (check	Case 2: Voted in this section:						
		one)	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.						
		FROM: Se	ction/Paragraph xxx						
Ed	1	TO: Section/Paragraph xxx							
itorial (		Justification (If necessary)							
Editorial Changes		FROM: Section/Paragraph xxx  TO: Section/Paragraph xxx							
ľ	2								
		Justificati	on (If necessary)						
М	otion	1	To approve above editorial change(s)						
M	otion	by/2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)						

Discussion	XXXX
Vote	XX Y-XX N; Motion passed/failed.

This table is needed for each Comment accompanied a Vote

## V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative

#### None

## VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

None

## VII. Approval Conditions Check

#### VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	47	/	47	=	100.0%	≥90%

### VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.6.2 for further information.

Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):
Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.

Need a Ratification Ballot:
Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

## **Line Item 2 Adjudication**

## II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

#### **Voting Tally:**

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	58	÷	90	=	64.4%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	42					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0		Total Voters with Rejects		0	
Voting Interest Accept(s)	48					

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

## III. Rejects None

# IV. Other Technical Issues None

## V. Comments

## V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (John Valley /Nanometrics) - Comment 1

Comment	*TF	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.						
nent	Edi	Editorially change 'very carefully' to 'carefully'						
	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.							
	*No	*No motion is required in this step.						
Action		Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #						
B		No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.						
		Refer to the TF for more consideration.						
		New Business						

	Х	Editorial C	hanç	ge					
		Options for editorial		Case 1: No vote in this section:  To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.					
		change		Case 2: Voted in this section:					
		(check one)	Х	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required i "FROM" and "TO" fields.					
Editorial Changes	1	NOTE 4: In be removed. Thus, the Si  TO: Sect  NOTE 5: In be removed. Thus, the Si	M: Section/Paragraph xxx  4: In case of being a likelihood of the influence of silicon matrix on the measurement, this effect should loved. However, the additional process step also includes a risk of contamination of the respective sample. The Si matrix removal should be done very carefully to avoid any contamination.  Section/Paragraph xxx  5: In case of being a likelihood of the influence of silicon matrix on the measurement, this effect should loved. However, the additional process step also includes a risk of contamination of the respective sample. The Si matrix removal should be done very carefully to avoid any contamination.  Iffication (If necessary)						
L									
L	otior	•	_	o approve above editorial change(s)					
М	otior	n by/2 <sup>nd</sup> by	K	Kurt Haller (KLA)/Tetsuya Nakai (SUMCO)					
D	iscus	ssion	N	None					
٧	ote		9	Y-0 N; Motion passed					

# V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative None

# VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V None other than listed in above section V- (i)

## **VII. Approval Conditions Check**

## VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	48	/	48	=	100.0%	≥90%

## VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.6.2 for further information.

X	Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): Line Item 2 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
	Need a Ratification Ballot: Line Item 2 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a
	Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

## **Line Item 3 Adjudication**

## II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

#### **Voting Tally:**

58	÷	90	_	(1.10/	
	_	70	=	64.4%	≥60%
42					
0		Total	Voters with Rejects		0
47					
	0	0	0 Total	0 Total Voter	0 Total Voters with Rejects

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects
None

## IV. Other Technical Issues

#### None

#### V. Comments

## V- (i) Voters' Comments

None

## V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative

#### None

# VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

## VII. Approval Conditions Check

## VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	47	/	47	=	100.0%	≥90%

## VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.6.2 for further information.

X	Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): Line Item 3 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
	Need a Ratification Ballot: Line Item 3 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

## **Line Item 4 Adjudication**

## II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

#### **Voting Tally:**

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	58	÷	90	=	64.4%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	42					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	1		Total	Vote	rs with Rejects	1
Voting Interest Accept(s)	49					

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

## III. Rejects

Voting Interest Reject 1 (Voting Interest Name: CoLa) Voter Reject 1 (Voter: Laszlo, Fabry/CoLa)

Negative 1

Neg	alive i	
	Referenced Section/	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in, including text in the ballot if necessary.
Nega	Paragraph	
Negative	No motive Tout	Please add an item concerning matrix interferences like §3.7 of PV 49.
	Negative Text	

				to confirm PV49 and discuss at JTF	because this is technical						
		issu PV4		n as helow							
			PV49 §3.7 description as below, § 3.7 Mass and molecular interferences can introduce bias if the instrument mass								
				quent detection scheme, is not suffi							
		inte	rference. A discu	ussion of well-known matrix interfer	ences may be seen in						
		Sha	bani, et al. Mater	: Sci. Eng. B 2003, Vol. 102 pp.238–2	246.						
TFi	input ( <mark>optional</mark> )	way The sug dete which Reg	However, scope of SNARF described as below, § 12.3.1 and § 12.3.2: Here, a unique way is described for calculating detection limit and quantification limit, respectively. There are, however, alternative options to determine these two limits. We thus suggest to either use the wording "can be determined" or "One possibility to determine" or to further discuss how to take into account those alternative options, which are common industry practice.  Regarding your comment content, JTF think that Note 9 should be emphasized the importance of such discussion.								
		MOT mea It sh in S	Example for: NOTE 9: Quantification limit is an important parameter for comparison of measurement data between the facilities. It should be referred to if necessary. In addition, affect of the Si matrix (may be seen in Shabani, et al. Mater. Sci. Eng. B 2003, Vol. 102 pp.238–246) should be considered carefully.								
			RE For the								
		The	comment of Doc583 Then, JTF recommended as New Business with Note 9 revision.								
					GO TO "Related"						
	Withdrawal		, and the second	drawal made by Voter.	subsection						
	(check one)	х	Withdrawal docu July/03/2019.	ment received by Standards staff on	GO TO "Final" subsection → (A)						
		Х	(A)	Withdrawn (counted under h in dis	position)						
			(B)	Not related (counted under i in disp	oosition)						
	(check if		(C)	Related and not persuasive (significa	ant)						
Fina	applicable)		(D)	Not significant (counted under j in d	lisposition)						
<u>a</u>			(E)	Related and persuasive and not addressed by technical change	DOCUMENT FAILS						
			(F)	Addressed by technical change (cou	nted under k disposition)						
(check if applicable)  Comment generated. See Section V-(ii) Comment # X.					<u> </u>						

This table is needed for each Negative.

## Disposition of Voting Interest Reject 1

Check only when the Document has not been failed.

Ī	1	Original number (#) of Negatives	(g)
	1	Number of Negatives withdrawn	(h)
	#	Number of Negatives found not related	(i)

#	Number	of N	egatives found not significant	(i)				
#			egatives addressed by technic <mark>t significant)</mark>	(k)				
	Final		<b>g</b> - ( <b>h</b> + <b>i</b> + <b>j</b> + <b>k</b> ) = 0	Reject is Not Valid and is not included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check				
			g - (h + i +j + k) >0	Reject is included in the Approval Conditions	he denominator of § VI. Check			
	'		Reject without a Negative	Not Valid				

Note: If all of the Negatives included with a Reject Vote are withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the Reject Vote is not valid. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.4.3.3)

Note: A Negative addressed by a technical change is automatically considered to be not significant. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.1.4.5.2)

# IV. Other Technical Issues None

V. Comments
V- (i) Voters' Comments
None

# V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative None

## VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

## VII. Approval Conditions Check

## VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	49	/	49	=	100.0%	≥90%

# VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one) Note: See Regulations § 9.6.2 for further information. Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): Line Item 4 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee. Need a Ratification Ballot: Line Item 4 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

## Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items

## **VIII. Safety Check**

Note: This Safety check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items. See § 15 of the *Regulations* for further information.

	x	Th is s	is is not a s	<b>afety Document</b> , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document y sound and complete. ( <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)						
Motion	This is a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. (Regulations ¶ 8.7.2)									
		Safety Checklist ( <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. ( <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)								
	Motion by/2 <sup>nd</sup> by		by/2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Kurt Haller (KLA)/Ron Sinton (Sinton Instruments)						
	Discussion		ussion	None						
Vote 9 Y-0 N; Motion passed				9 Y-0 N; Motion passed						

## IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items\*. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

х	that r	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)								
	x The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)		GO TO SECTION X.							
		The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented		Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection				
			technology?		No	GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection				

#### IX(a) Patented Technologies subsection

IX(a1) Total numbers of Patented Technologies to be dealt with

# Fill	Technology that might be relevant to	Fill	technologies first became known to	performed at the next
numbe	ber the Standard/Safety Guideline		(n) Number of patented technologies first became known to	GO TO IX (a2)

	the TC Chapter before the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	

IX(a2) Assessment of disclosed patented technologies

Disclosed patented technology #1 (Brief description, e.g., patent title and number):				Date of Assessment (If different from the date of Letter Ballot adjudication)  MM/DD/YYYY			
Is disclosed patented technology #1 found to be "might be material" to the Standard/Safety Guideline?	YES (It is a PMPT)		Is the use of this PMPT technically			YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(a3)
			justified'	?		NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
		NO	No furth	er action is nee	ded f	or patente	ed technology #1

This table is needed for each disclosed patented technology.

IX(a3) LOA status check of PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified

LOA Status of PMPT #1								
		YES	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b)					
Has an LOA for this patented technology		NO	MC		Ask ISC for spe	ecial permission to publish.		
been received from every owner ?			MOTION		Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF		
					Wait for LOA	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b1)		
				tion	by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
			Discussion			XXXX		
				е		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)		

This table is needed for each PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified.

IX(b1) Total numbers of copyrighted items to be dealt with

# Fill	(o) Known copyrighted items that are used or reproduced to the	o > 0 There is at least one known copy righted items that might be relevant to the Standard/Safety Guideline	GO TO IX (b2)		
numb	Standard/Safety Guideline	o = 0 There is no disclosed copyrighted item	GO TO IX (c)		

#### IX(b2) Assessment of disclosed copyrighted items

Disclosed copyrighted item (Brief description of its use	e Docume	<b>nt</b> ):			
Is disclosed copyrighted	YES	Is the use/reproduction of		YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b3)
item #1 used or reproduced in the Standard/Safety Guideline?		this copyrighted item technically justified?		NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
	NO	No further action is nee	ded 1	for copyrig	hted item #1

This table is needed for each disclosed copyrighted item.

## IX(b3) Copyright release status check of copyrighted item of which inclusion assessed to be justified

Copyright release Status of copyrighted item #1										
		YES		PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)						
Has the copyright		NO	MO		Ask ISC for special permission to publish.					
release been received from its owner ?.			NOITC		Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF				
					Wait for copyright release letter	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)				
			Mo	tion	by/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)				
			Dis	cuss	sion	XXXX				
			Vote			XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)				

This table is needed for each copyrighted item of which use/reproduction assessed to be justified.

IX(c) Assessment of disclosed (identified) trademark

	la la	le every instance of	YES	GO TO IX(d)
Is there any trademark in the Standard/Safety Guideline?	YES	Is every instance of trademark use technically justified?		The Document is failed and returned to the TF
	NO	GO TO IX(d)		

IX(d) IP check completion condition check

The co-chair checks if any Patented Technologies first become known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot? i.e., m>0 in IX(a1)	YES	Sections IX(a2) and IX(a3) shall be completed and recorded for such patented technologies at next scheduled meeting of the TC Chapter. Until then, the TC Chapter shall NOT go to X (making motion to pass/fail this Document) (see Regulations ¶ 16.4.1.2) Until then this Letter Ballot Review is on hold.
	NO	GO TO X

## X. Action for This Document

a	X	Line A&R	item(s) [1], [3] and [4] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC SC for procedural review.								
M (Ch	x		em(s) [2] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC C for procedural review.								
Motion (Check all applicable items)		edito	item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without orial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification of will be issued to verify the technical changes.								
ls)		Line	tem(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.								
		Line	tem(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.								
Motion by/ 2nd by Tetsuya Nakai (SUMCO)/Ron Sinton (Sinton Instruments)											
Discussion			None								
Vo	te		10 Y-0 N								
Ein al A	F: 14 /		x Motion passed								
Final Action		n	Motion failed								

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.