

Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: **North America**

Global Technical Committee: **Information and Control**

TC Chapter Cochairs: **Brian Rubow (Cimetrix) Jack Ghiselli (Ghiselli Consulting), James Moyne (Applied Materials, University of Michigan)**

Standards Staff: **Inna Skvortsova**

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	07/10/2019	07/10/2019
Location	Moscone, San Francisco CA	Moscone, San Francisco CA
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See *Regulations* ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

Document Information

I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

Document Number 6236	Document Title LINE ITEM REVISION TO SEMI E172-0118E SPECIFICATION FOR SECS EQUIPMENT DATA DICTIONARY (SEDD)	
List of Line Items	Line Item 1	Line Item Title Correct editorial mistakes found in the E172 complementary file

Line Item 1 Adjudication

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: **As-cast tally after close of voting period**

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes	Distribution	Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	86	÷ 143	= 60.1%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	34			
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0	Total Voters with Rejects		0
Voting Interest Accept(s)	48			

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects
None

IV. Other Technical Issues
None

V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (Albert Fuchigami, PEER Group) - Comment 1

Comment	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.	
	<p><i>Proposal 1.1.2 is to change the documented name of the referenced E173 schema file to match what is referenced in the xs:import statement (i.e. to the 0415 version). However, the E172-MMY-SEDD-Schema.xsd schema file in the ballot specifies the old 1015 date, and doesn't match what is in the ballot proposal. Believe this could be addressed with an editorial change as it does not match what is in the ballot proposal.</i></p>	
Action	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.	
	*No motion is required in this step.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the TF for more consideration.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	New Business
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Editorial Change	
	Options for editorial change (check one)	<p>Case 1: No vote in this section:</p> <p>To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.</p>
		<p>Case 2: Voted in this section:</p> <p>Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.</p>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Editorial Changes	1	<p>FROM: Section/Paragraph Complementary File “E172-MMY-SEDD-Schema.xsd” lines #17-18 This XML schema file references a specific version of the SECS Message Notation schema file, E173-1015-SECSIIMessageNotation-Schema.xsd. This referenced schema must be located.</p>
		<p>TO: Section/Paragraph Complementary File “E172-MMY-SEDD-Schema.xsd” lines #17-18 This XML schema file references a specific version of the SECS Message Notation schema file, E173-100415-SECSIIMessageNotation-Schema.xsd. This referenced schema must be located.</p>
		<p>Justification (If necessary)</p> <p>Agree. Schema file attached to the ballot failed to include a change proposed in the ballot.</p> <p>The ballot itself proposes this change clearly in proposal section 1.1.2. This is a comment in the complementary file; not a technical change.</p>
Motion		To approve above editorial change(s)
Motion by/2nd by		Chris Maloney (Intel) / Brian Rubow (Cimetrix)
Discussion		None
Vote		15 Y-0 N; Motion passed.

This table is needed for each Comment accompanied a Vote
V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative
None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

None

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)					
Approval Rate	=	48	/	48	=	100.0%		≥90%	

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See *Regulations § 9.6.2* for further information.

Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):

Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.

Need a Ratification Ballot:

Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items

VIII. Safety Check

Note: This Safety check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline including all the approved Line Items. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information.

Motion	X	This is not a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)
		This is a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2)
		Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)
Motion by/2nd by		Chris Maloney (INTEL) / James Moyne (AMAT/UMICH)
Discussion		None
Vote		14 Y-0 N: Motion passed

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline including all the approved Line Items*. See Regulations § 16 for further information.

X	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)			
X	The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)	GO TO SECTION X.		
	The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented technology?	Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO IX (a) “Patented Technology” subsection
			No	GO TO IX (b) “Copyright items” subsection

X. Action for This Document

Motion (Check all applicable)	X	Line item(s) [1] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.
Motion by/ 2nd by		Chris Maloney (INTEL) / James Moyne (AMAT/UMICH)
Discussion		None
Vote		14 Y-0 N

Final Action	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.