Procedural Review Voting Sheet Editorial Change(s) to a published Standard or Safety Guideline (Independently from a Letter Ballot)

REGION/LOCALE: North America

GLOBAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: Traceability

EVENT: Fall 2019 Standards Meetings

DATE OF MEETING: 11/07/2019

PLACE OF MEETING: SEMI HQ, Milpitas CA

TC CHAPTER CO-CHAIRS: Dave Huntley (PDF Solutions) / Yaw Obeng (NIST)

SEMI STANDARDS STAFF: Inna Skvortsova

A&R Voter: Name/Company

Date: MM/DD/YYYY

I. Document Title

Document Title

SEMI T23-0119

SPECIFICATION FOR SINGLE DEVICE TRACEABILITY FOR THE SUPPLY CHAIN

II. Type 1 Editorial Change None.

III. Type 2 Editorial Change

Editorial changes that meet the requirements of the Regulations (see *Regulations* ¶¶ 8.9.4 & 8.9.5) are approved by a simple majority vote in a regularly scheduled meeting of the TC Chapter. [See PM 2.11.4]

Original section/paragraph number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.

	FROM: Section/Paragraph 4.2
	ISO Standard
1	TO: Section/Paragraph 4.2
	ISO® Standard

	1											
	Justificat	ion: (If necessary)										
	Trademarks, the first convenient usage of the trademark should have the ® symbol. Motion To approve the above editorial change(s).											
	FROM: Section/Paragraph 4.3											
	IEEE Standard											
	TO: Secti	on/Paragraph 4.3										
2	IEEE® Standard											
	Justification: (If necessary)											
	To comply with SEMI Style Manual Section 1-24 Company or Organization Names ar Trademarks, the first convenient usage of the trademark should have the ® symbol.											
ľ	Viotion	To approve the above editorial change(s).										
	otion by/ 2 nd by	Albert Fuchigami (PEER Group) / Nishimura Takayuki (Screen)										
Dis	scussion	None										
	Vote	4 Y-0 N; If Y > 50% Motion passes, GO TO IV										

IV. Safety Check

Note: See Regulations § 15 for further information.

	X	Th is s	nis is not a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)											
Motion				s is a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2)										
] =		Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)												
ı	Motion by/2 nd by		by/2 nd by	Albert Fuchigami (PEER Group) / Nishimura Takayuki (Screen)										
	Discussion			None										
	Vote			4 Y -0 N; Motion passed										

V. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This Document may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline*. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

X The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see *Regulations* ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any

	copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)											
	The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)	GO TO SECTI	ON	VI.								
Х	The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented		Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO V (a) "Patented Technology" subsection							
		technology?	<u>X</u>	No	GO TO V (b) "Copyright items" subsection							

V (a) Patented Technologies subsection

V (a1) Total numbers of Patented Technologies to be dealt with

# Fill	(I) Known Patented Technology that might be relevant to the Standard/Safety Guideline	Fill	(m) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	performed at the next
numbe		# Fill	(n) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter before the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	GO TO V (a2)

V (a2) Assessment of disclosed patented technologies

Disclosed patented techno (Brief description, e.g., pate	Date of Assessment (If different from the date of Letter Ballot adjudication)					
Is disclosed patented technology #1 found to be	YES (It is a PMPT)		se of this echnically	YES		PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO V (a3)
"might be material" to the Standard/Safety Guideline?		justified	?		NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
	NO	No furth	er action is nee	ded f	or patente	d technology #1

This table is needed for each disclosed patented technology.

V (a3) LOA status check of PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified

LOA Status of PMPT #1											
		YES		PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO V (b)							
Has an LOA for this patented technology		NO	ЭM		Ask ISC for spe	ecial permission to publish.					
been received from every owner?			MOTION		Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF					
					Wait for LOA	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO V (b1)					
			Mo	tion	by/ 2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)					
			Dis	cuss	sion	XXXX					
				e		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)					

This table is needed for each PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified.

V (b1) Total numbers of copyrighted items to be dealt with

0	(o) Known copyrighted items that are used or reproduced to the		o > 0 There is at least one known copy righted items that might be relevant to the Standard/Safety Guideline	GO TO V (b2)
mumbar	reproduced to the Standard/Safety Guideline	X	o = 0 There is no disclosed copyrighted item	GO TO V (c)

V (b2) Assessment of disclosed copyrighted items

Disclosed copyrighted item #1 (Brief description of its use in the Document):								
Is disclosed copyrighted item #1 used or reproduced in the Standard/Safety Guideline?		YES	Is the use/reproduction of this copyrighted item technically justified?		YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO V (b3)		

					The Document is failed and returned to the TF
	NO	No further action is need	ded f	or copyrigh	nted item #1

This table is needed for each disclosed copyrighted item.

V(b3) Copyright release status check of copyrighted item of which inclusion assessed to be justified

Copyright release Status of copyrighted item #1										
		YES		PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO V (c)						
Has the copyright		NO	М		Ask ISC for special pe	ermission to publish.				
release been received from its owner?.			MOTION		Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF				
					Wait for copyright release letter	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO V (c)				
			Mo	tion	by/ 2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)				
				cuss	sion	XXXX				
				e		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)				

This table is needed for each copyrighted item of which use/reproduction assessed to be justified.

V (c) Assessment of disclosed (identified) trademark

	X		le every instance of	X	YES	GO TO V (d)	
Is there any trademark in the Standard/Safety Guideline?		YES	Is every instance of trademark use technically justified?		NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF	
		NO	GO TO V (d)				

V (d) IP check completion condition check

The co-chair checks if any Patented Technologies first become known to the TC Chapter on or after the day		YES	Sections V(a2) and V(a3) shall be completed and recorded for such patented technologies at next scheduled meeting of the TC Chapter. Until then, the TC Chapter shall NOT go to VI (making motion to
---	--	-----	--

of the issuance of this Letter Ballot?			pass/fail this Document) (see Regulations ¶ 16.4.1.2)
i.e., m>0 in V(a1)			Until then this Letter Ballot Review is on hold.
	X	NO	GO TO VI

VI. Action for this Document

uo	This Document passed TC Chapter review and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for proced review.							
Motion		This Docur	nis Document failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.					
		This Docur	ment failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.					
Мс	Notion by/ 2nd by Albert Fuchigami (PEER Group) / Nishimura Takayuki (Screen)							
	Discussion None							
	Vote 4 Y -0 N; Motion passed							
Final Action		I Action	X Motion passed					
			Motion failed					