Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America

Global Technical Committee: Information & Control

TC Chapter Cochairs: Brian Rubow/Cimetrix, Albert Fuchigami/PEER Group, Jack Ghiselli/Ghiselli

Consulting, James Moyne/AMAT/University of Michigan

Standards Staff: Michelle Sun

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	3/27/2024	3/27/2024
Location	SEMI HQ/Milpitas	SEMI HQ/Milpitas
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See Regulations ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

Document Information

I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

Doc 6836	ument Number A	Document Title Line Item Revision to SEMI E87-0921, Specification for Carrier Management (CMS) and SEMI E87.1-0921, Specification for SECS-II Protocol for Carrier Management (CMS) and SEMI E90-0921, Specification for Substrate Tracking and E90.1-0312 (Reapproved 0921), Specification for SECS-II Protocol Substrate Tracking
List of	Line Item 1	Line Item Title Add name-value pair attributes to SEMI E90 substrate and SEMI E87 carrier objects for additional information.

Line Item 1 Adjudication

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Note: See *Regulations* § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate				
Letter Ballot	80	÷	133	=	60.2%	≥60%		>=90%	
Intercommittee Ballot	43								
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0		Total Vo	ters	with Rejects	0			
Voting Interest Accept(s)	58								

III. Rejects None

IV. Other Technical Issues

None

V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments Commenter 1 (Brian Rubow/Cimetrix) - Comment 1

		1 (Brian Rubow/Cimetrix) - Comment 1										
*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.												
Ç	Context: Proposed Change to Table 6 in E87, newly proposed attribute AdditionalSubstrateInfoMap											
Comment	Comment: The new attribute is described as a "list of n structures". The example structure is a "List of Structure". I fear that an implementer might mistake the example as the structure for the AdditionalSubstrateInfoMap, which is a list of the example structure. I am bit surprised we are not showing an example of AdditionalSubstrateInfoMap's structure, rather than showing AdditionalInfo again.											
	The	e TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.										
	*No	motion is required in this step.										
Action	Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #											
x No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.												
Refer to the TF for more consideration.												
		New Business										

		Editorial Change							
		Options	Case 1: No vote in this section:						
		for editorial	To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.						
		change (check	Case 2: Voted in this section:						
		one)	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.						
		FROM: So	ection/Paragraph xxx						
Εd	1	TO: Section/Paragraph xxx							
Editorial Changes		Justification (If necessary)							
:hange		FROM: Section/Paragraph xxx							
S	2	TO: Section/Paragraph xxx							
	Justification (If necessary)								
Motion To approve above editorial change(s)			To approve above editorial change(s)						
Motion by/2 nd by Name (Company)/Name (Company)			Name (Company)/Name (Company)						
Di	iscus	sion	XXXX						
V	ote		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed/failed.						

This table is needed for each Comment accompanied a Vote

Commenter 1 (Brian Rubow/Cimetrix) - Comment 2

		i i (Bhan Rubow/Cimetrix) - Comment 2											
	*TF	*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.											
		ntext: Proposed Change to Table 6 in E87, newly proposed attribute ditionalSubstrateInfoMap											
Comment	abo both way It al	The description is technically accurate, but might be confusing due to the fact that we are talking about two structures, the "list of n structures" where each structure is also a "List of Structure", both called 'structure'. I had to read/study this for a while to be sure I understood. There are a few ways to improve this, such as using a subscript on the word structure to distinguish each reference. It also might be worth noting that in addition to "When a slot has no substrate, the structure is an empty list". This is also true if no AdditionalInfo attributes are assigned.											
	The	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.											
Þ	*No motion is required in this step.												
¥													
Action		Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #											

		Refer to th	Refer to the TF for more consideration.							
		New Busin	ess							
		Editorial Change								
	Options for editorial change (check one) Case 1: No vote in this section: To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes of than Those Voted on in § V. Case 2: Voted in this section: Original section number and at least one full sentence are require "FROM" and "TO" fields.									
		FROM: Section/Paragraph xxx								
Edi	1	TO: Section/Paragraph xxx								
Editorial Changes		Justification (If necessary)								
:hange		FROM: Section/Paragraph xxx								
S	2	TO: Section/Paragraph xxx								
		Justification (If necessary)								
М	To approve above editorial change(s)									
М	otion	ion by/2 nd by Name (Company)/Name (Company)								
Di	iscus	ssion	XXXX							
V	ote		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed/failed.							

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	58	/	58	=	100.0%	≥90%

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See *Regulations* § 9.6.2 for further information.

Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):
Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.

Need a Ratification Ballot:
Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items

VIII. Safety Check

Note: This Safety check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items. See § 15 of the *Regulations* for further information.

	x	Th is	This is not a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)								
Motion		This is a Safety Document, when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2)									
			Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)								
	Motion by/2 nd by			By: Brian Rubow / Cimetrix Incorporated Second: James Moyne / Applied Materials, Inc.							
	Discussion			None							
Vote 14 Y-0 N; Motion passed				14 Y-0 N; Motion passed							

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items*. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

х	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)						
	х	The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)	GO TO SECTION X.				
		The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented		Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection	
			technology?		No	GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection	

IX(a) Patented Technologies subsection

IX(a1) Total numbers of Patented Technologies to be dealt with

Fill	(I) Known Patented Technology that might be relevant to	# Fill	(m) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	performed at the next
------	---	-----------	--	-----------------------

the Standard/Safety Guideline	# Fill	(n) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter before the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	GO TO IX (a2)
----------------------------------	-----------	---	---------------

IX(a2) Assessment of disclosed patented technologies

Disclosed patented technology #1 (Brief description, e.g., patent title and number):					e of Assessment (If different from the date of ter Ballot adjudication) //DD/YYYY			
Is disclosed patented technology #1 found to be "might be material" to the Standard/Safety Guideline?		YES (It is a PMPT)		the use of this APT technically		YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(a3)	
		justified?		NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF			
		NO	No furth	er action is nee	eded for patented technology #1			

This table is needed for each disclosed patented technology.

IX(a3) LOA status check of PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified

LOA Status of PMPT #1								
Has an LOA for this patented technology been received from every owner?		YES	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b)					
		NO	MOTION		Ask ISC for spe	pecial permission to publish.		
					Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF		
					Wait for LOA	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b1)		
			,			Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
						XXXX		
			Vote			XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)		

This table is needed for each PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified.

IX(b1) Total numbers of copyrighted items to be dealt with

 (10 1) 101			
# =:!!	(o) Known copyrighted items that are used or reproduced to the	o > 0 There is at least one known copy righted items that might be relevant to the Standard/Safety Guideline	GO TO IX (b2)
number	Standard/Safety Guideline	o = 0 There is no disclosed copyrighted item	GO TO IX (c)

IX(b2) Assessment of disclosed copyrighted items

Disclosed copyrighted item (Brief description of its use	e Docume	nt):			
Is disclosed copyrighted	YES	Is the use/reproduction of		YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b3)
item #1 used or reproduced in the Standard/Safety Guideline?		this copyrighted item technically justified?		NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
	NO	No further action is nee	ded f	or copyrig	hted item #1

This table is needed for each disclosed copyrighted item.

IX(b3) Copyright release status check of copyrighted item of which inclusion assessed to be justified

Stilled								
Copyright release Status of copyrighted item #1								
		YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)					
Has the copyright release been received from its owner ?.		NO	MOTION		Ask ISC for special permission to publish.			
					Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF		
					Wait for copyright release letter	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)		
			Мо	tion	by/ 2 nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
			Discussion			XXXX		
			Vot	е		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)		

This table is needed for each copyrighted item of which use/reproduction assessed to be justified.

IX(c) Assessment of disclosed (identified) trademark

		In accompliant and of		YES	GO TO IX(d)
Is there any trademark in the Standard/Safety Guideline?	YES	Is every instance of trademark use technically justified?			The Document is failed and returned to the TF
	NO	GO TO IX(d)			

IX(d) IP check completion condition check

The co-chair checks if any Patented Technologies first become known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot? i.e., m>0 in IX(a1)	YES	Sections IX(a2) and IX(a3) shall be completed and recorded for such patented technologies at next scheduled meeting of the TC Chapter. Until then, the TC Chapter shall NOT go to X (making motion to pass/fail this Document) (see Regulations ¶ 16.4.1.2) Until then this Letter Ballot Review is on hold.
	NO	GO TO X

X. Action for This Document

<u> </u>	X		em(s) [1] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for ural review.								
Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be for to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.											
Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forward to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratificate Ballot will be issued to verify the technical changes. Line item(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rewords and will be returned to the TF for rewords.											
is)		Line it	em(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.								
		Line it	em(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.								
Motion by/ 2nd by By: Brian Rubow / Cimetrix Incorporated Second: Chris Maloney / Intel Corporation											
Discussion None											
Vo	te		3 Y-0 N								
Final A	atio		x Motion passed								
Final Action			Motion failed								

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.