Record of Line-item Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America

Global Technical Committee: Information & Control

TC Chapter Cochairs: Brian Rubow/Cimetrix, Albert Fuchigami/PEER Group, Jack Ghiselli/Ghiselli

Consulting, James Moyne/AMAT/University of Michigan

Standards Staff: Michelle Sun

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	3/27/2024	3/27/2024
Location	SEMI HQ/Milpitas	SEMI HQ/Milpitas
Reason for Change of Date and/or Location (if changed)		

Note: See Regulations ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

Document Information

I. Document Number, Title, Lists of Line Items

Document Number		Document Title				
7176		Line Item Revision to SEMI E134-0923				
		Specification for Data Collection Management and SEMI E134.2-0923 Specification for Protocol Buffers of Data Collection Management				
List	Line Item 1	Line Item Title Address issues in the primary and subordinate standards and .proto files.				
of Line	Line Item 2	Line Item Title Remove configuration switch to treat NewData message delivery error as SEMI E132 Communication Errors.				

Line Item 1 Adjudication

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	80	÷	133	=	60.2%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	43					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0		Total Voters with Rejects		0	
Voting Interest Accept(s)	47					

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

None

IV. Other Technical Issues

None

V. Comments

Commenter 1 (Tadashi Mochizuki/TEL) - Comment 1

```
*TF/TC Chapter to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.
I am sorry, this comment is not related with this ballot, however related StartOn/StopOn about
TraceRequest.
According to Figure 19 of SEMI E134-0923, StartOn/StopOn related to TraceRequest is an array
of Trigger, Trigger is either EventTrigger or ExceptionTrigger.
On the other hand, in .proto file definition, StartOn/StopOn is an array of TriggerSelector, and
TriggerSelector has both EventTrigger array and ExceptionTrigger array.
That is, StartOn/StopOn should be an array of Trigger, but in .proto, it is an array of "EventTrigger
array and ExceptionTrigger array", which seems to be an error definition.
If the above understanding is correct, I would like to make the following proposal.
<Current definition>
message TriggerSelectorType
       repeated EventTriggerType EventTrigger = 1;
       repeated ExceptionTriggerType ExceptionTrigger = 2;
<Proposal definition>
message TriggerSelectorType
    oneof Trigger
        EventTriggerType EventTrigger = 1;
        ExceptionTriggerType ExceptionTrigger = 2;
    }
The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions.
```

2

	*No	motion is re	equired in this step.								
		Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #									
		No further action was taken by the TC Chapter.									
	Х	Refer to the	TF for more consideration.								
		New Busine	ess								
		Editorial Ch	ange								
		Options	Case 1: No vote in this section:								
		for editorial	To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.								
		change (check	Case 2: Voted in this section:								
		one)	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.								
		FROM: Section/Paragraph xxx									
Ed	1	TO: Section/Paragraph xxx									
itorial (Justification (If necessary)									
Editorial Changes		FROM: Section/Paragraph xxx									
S	2	TO: Section/Paragraph xxx									
		Justification	on (If necessary)								
M	Motion		To approve above editorial change(s)								
M	Motion by/2 nd by		Name (Company)/Name (Company)								
Di	iscus	ssion	XXXX								
V	ote		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed/failed.								

This table is needed for each Comment accompanied a Vote

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	47	1	47	=	100.0%	≥90%

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.6.2 for further information.

x

Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):

Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.



Need a Ratification Ballot:

Line Item 1 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

Line Item 2 Adjudication

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	80	÷	133	=	60.2%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	43					
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0		Total Voters with Rejects		with Rejects	0
Voting Interest Accept(s)	48					
roting interest recept(s)						

Note: See Regulations § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects

None

IV. Other Technical Issues

None

V. Comments

None

V- (i) Voters' Comments

None

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V

VII. Approval Conditions Check

VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	48	1	48] =	100.0%	≥90%

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.6.2 for further information.

Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed):
Line Item 2 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.

Need a Ratification Ballot:
Line Item 2 meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a

Checks for Entire Document Including All Approved Line Items

Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

VIII. Safety Check

Note: This Safety check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items. See § 15 of the *Regulations* for further information.

	x	Th is s	This is not a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)									
Motion		This is a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.2)										
				ecklist ($Regulations$ ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the Document the balloting process. ($Regulations$ ¶ 15.1.2)								
ı	Motion by/2 nd by		oy/2 nd by	By: Albert Fuchigami / PEER Group Inc. Second: James Moyne / Applied Materials, Inc.								
	Discussion		ıssion	None								
	Vote			10 Y-0 N; Motion passed								

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check <u>applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline</u> including all the approved Line Items*. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

x	The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)								
		The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)	GO TO SECTION X.						
	х	The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented		Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection			
			technology?		No	GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection			

IX(a) Patented Technologies subsection

IX(a1) Total numbers of Patented Technologies to be dealt with

1 Fill	(I) Known Patented Technology that might be relevant to	Fill	technologies first became known to the TC Chapter on or after the day	Postpone assessment of such patented technologies to be performed at the next scheduled TC Chapter meeting.
numbe	the Standard/Safety Guideline	Fill	(n) Number of patented technologies first became known to the TC Chapter before the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot	GO TO IX (a2)

IX(a2) Assessment of disclosed patented technologies

Disclosed patented technology #1	Date of Assessment (If different from the date of
Asyst Patent Application	Letter Ballot adjudication)

Mutli-protocol multi-client equipment server				12/1/2007			
Automation Job Management							
Automated tool management	<u>in a r</u>	nulti-protoco	ol_				
environment							
Apparatus and method for we management	b-bas	sed tool_					
NON-ASSERTION AGREEMENT (LOA) between SEMI and Asyst Technologies has been signed with for US Patents #11/340101, #11/107508, #09/899833, and 09/496009, in 2008.							
	x	YES		X	YES	PROCEED to assess	
		(It is a					NEXT one, or
Is disclosed patented		PMPT)	Is the us PMPT te	e of this echnically			if this is the last one, GO TO IX(a3)
technology #1 found to be "might be material" to the Standard/Safety Guideline?		justifie		· ·		NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
		NO	No furthe	er action is needed for patented technology #1			d technology #1

This table is needed for each disclosed patented technology.

IX(a3) LOA status check of PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified

LOA Status of PMPT #1								
	X	YES	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b)					
Has an LOA for this patented technology been received from every owner?		NO	NOITOM		Ask ISC for spe	Ask ISC for special permission to publish.		
					Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF		
					Wait for LOA	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b1)		
			Motion by/ 2 nd by			Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
			Discussion			XXXX		
			Vote			XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)		

This table is needed for each PMPT of which inclusion assessed to be justified.

IX(b1) Total numbers of copyrighted items to be dealt with

0 Fill	(o) Known copyrighted items that are used or reproduced to the Standard/Safety Guideline		o > 0 There is at least one known copy righted items that might be relevant to the Standard/Safety Guideline	GO TO IX (b2)
numbe		x	o = 0 There is no disclosed copyrighted item	GO TO IX (c)

IX(b2) Assessment of disclosed copyrighted items

Disclosed copyrighted item (Brief description of its use		e Docume	nt):		
Is disclosed copyrighted item #1 used or reproduced in the Standard/Safety Guideline?		YES	Is the use/reproduction of this copyrighted item technically justified?	YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(b3)
				NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
		NO	No further action is nee	hted item #1	

This table is needed for each disclosed copyrighted item.

IX(b3) Copyright release status check of copyrighted item of which inclusion assessed to be justified

otillod									
Copyright release Status of copyrighted item #1									
		YES	PROCEED to assess NEXT one, or						
			if tr	if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)					
Has the copyright release been received from its owner ?.		NO	MOTION		Ask ISC for special permission to publish.				
					Quit activity.	The Document is failed and returned to the TF			
					Wait for copyright release letter	PROCEED to check NEXT one, or if this is the last one, GO TO IX(c)			
			Motion by/ 2 nd by			Name (Company)/Name (Company)			
			Discussion			XXXX			
			Vot	е		XX Y-XX N; Motion passed (or failed)			

This table is needed for each copyrighted item of which use/reproduction assessed to be justified.

IX(c) Assessment of disclosed (identified) trademark

Is there any trademark in the Standard/Safety Guideline?	X		Is every instance of trademark use technically justified?	X	YES	GO TO IX(d)
		YES			NO	The Document is failed and returned to the TF
		NO	GO TO IX(d)			

IX(d) IP check completion condition check

The co-chair checks if any Patented Technologies first become known to the TC Chapter on or after the day of the issuance of this Letter Ballot? i.e., m>0 in IX(a1)		YES	Sections IX(a2) and IX(a3) shall be completed and recorded for such patented technologies at next scheduled meeting of the TC Chapter. Until then, the TC Chapter shall NOT go to X (making motion to pass/fail this Document) (see Regulations ¶ 16.4.1.2) Until then this Letter Ballot Review is on hold.
	x	NO	GO TO X

X. Action for This Document

a	X		em(s) [1], [2] passed TC Chapter review as balloted and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC cedural review.							
M (Ch			em(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded ISC A&R SC for procedural review.							
Motion (Check all applicable items)		editor	item(s) [X], [X] and [X] passed TC Chapter review with technical changes and with or without rial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review. A Ratification t will be issued to verify the technical changes.							
is)		Line i	tem(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and will be returned to the TF for rework.							
		Line i	tem(s) [X], [X] and [X] failed TC Chapter review and work will be discontinued.							
Motion by/ 2nd by By: Albert Fuchigami / PEER Group Inc. Second: Daniel Judd / Arlington Laboratory										
Discu	scussion None									
Vo	Vote 11 Y-0 N									
Final A	otio	n	x Motion passed							
Final Action			Motion failed							

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.