Record of Letter Ballot Review by TC Chapter for Procedural Review

Region/Locale: North America

Global Technical Committee: 3D Packaging & Integration

TC Chapter Cochairs: Bill Kerr (Evergreen Enhancement), Chris Moore (Covalent Metrology), Sesh

Ramaswami (Applied Materials) Standards Staff: Laura Nguyen

	Scheduled in Background Statement	Actual
Date	07/11/2019	07/11/2019
Location	Moscone Center, San Francisco, CA	Moscone Center, San Francisco, CA
Reason for		
Change of		
Date and/or		
Location		
(if changed)		

Note: See Regulations ¶ 9.5 Exceptions for allowable reason to change.

I. Document Number and Title

Document Number	Document Title
6175B	New Standard: Guide on Measurements of
	Openings and Vias in Glass

II. Tally

Standards staff to fill in.

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

Note: A minimum of 60% of the Voting Interests that have TC Members within the global technical committee that issued the Letter Ballot must return Votes. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.1)

Voting Tally (with example values):

Voting Interest:	Returned Votes		Distribution		Return Rate	
Letter Ballot	72] ÷	111	=	64.9%	≥60%
Intercommittee Ballot	14]				
Voting Interest Reject(s)	0		Total	Vote	rs with Rejects	0
Voting Interest Accept(s)	42					

Note: See *Regulations* § 3.2.1 for definition of Voting Interest.

III. Rejects None

IV. Other Technical Issues

Note: TC Chapter may choose to address a technical issue that is not part of a Negative received on a Letter Ballot (i.e., a Comment or a reason not addressed by a Vote response) by handling it as a Negative and finding it related and technically persuasive. The TC Chapter may then fail the Document or address such technical issue by using the procedure defined in *Regulations* § 9.6.1.4.3 to make a technical change to the Document. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.1.4.2.5)

V. Comments

V- (i) Voters' Comments

Commenter 1 (Li-Heng Lee/ITRI) - Comment 1

Cor	*TF	/TC Chapte	r to	fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.			
Comment		 Recommend to merge Figure 3 and 4 to give a unified and comprenhensive description of the profile of a via. What is the taper angle if, e.g. A side = B side > waist? '6.6.2.1 Figure 4,' should be '6.6.2.1 Figure 5,' 					
	The (1) figure (2)	e Task Force the figures a ures is not re Figure 3 inc	e rej are t econ clude	greed to do one of the following actions. ects Comments 1 & 2 and accepts Comment 3. wo different configurations with two different requirements so merging the two nmended es taper angle and Figure 4 does not, but does reference the waist typo and will be done editorially.			
Action	*No	motion is	requ	uired in this step.			
9		Already ac	ldres	ssed by Commenter #, Comment #			
		No further	actio	on was taken by the TC Chapter.			
		Refer to th	e TF	for more consideration.			
		New Business					
	X	Editorial C	Editorial Change				
		Options		Case 1: No vote in this section:			
		for editorial		To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.			
		change (check		Case 2: Voted in this section:			
		one)	X	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.			
Editorial	4	FROM: Section/Paragraph 6.6.2.1 6.6.2.1 In Figure 4, two coordinate systems are illustrated: one based on the staging area (MCS) and one on the pan (PCS).					
vrial		TO: Section/Paragraph 6.6.2.1 6.6.2.1 In Figure 54, two coordinate systems are illustrated: one based on the staging area (MCS) and one on the panel (PCS).					
М	otior	1	T	o approve above editorial change(s)			
М	otior	n by/2 nd by	I	ona Schmidt (Corning) / Len Perroots (Micro Sense KLA)			

Discussion	None.
Vote	10 Y-0 N; Motion passed.

Commenter 2 (Rafael Vargas-Bernal/ITSdI) - Comment 1

iiiie	inte	r z (Rafae	Vargas-Bernal/HSdl) - Comment 1					
Comment	*TF	/TC Chapte	r to fill in section/paragraph #, if necessary.					
ment		 In subsection 6.4.2, 'Figure 2' must be changed by 'Figure 3'. In subsection 6.5.1, 'Figure 3' must be changed by 'Figure 4'. 						
	The TC Chapter agreed to do one of the following actions. The Task Force agrees with comment. This is a typo and can be fixed editorially.							
	*No motion is required in this step.							
Action	Already addressed by Commenter #, Comment #							
В		No further	action was taken by the TC Chapter.					
		Refer to th	e TF for more consideration.					
		New Busin	iess					
	X	Editorial C	hange					
		Options	Case 1: No vote in this section:					
		for editorial	To be included and voted on as a group in § VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V.					
		change (check	Case 2: Voted in this section:					
		one)	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.					
	1	FROM: Section/Paragraph 6.4.2 6.4.2 Or, if there is a straight tapered shape as shown Figure 2, the taper angle θ can be calculated using						
Editor	•	TO: Section/Paragraph 6.4.2 6.4.2 Or, if there is a straight tapered shape as shown Figure 32 , the taper angle θ can be calculated using						
Editorial Changes	,	FROM: Section/Paragraph 6.5.1 6.5.1 For waist measurements, the TGV is illuminated from the side opposite of the wafer or panel to the microscope. Focus is set to the approximate center of the wafer or panel's thickness. The intent is to measure the narrowest cross-section of the TGV which is referred to as its waist (see Figure 3).						
S	2	TO: Section/Paragraph 6.5.1 6.5.1 For waist measurements, the TGV is illuminated from the side opposite of the wafer or panel to the microscopy Focus is set to the approximate center of the wafer or panel's thickness. The intent is to measure the narrowest cross section of the TGV which is referred to as its waist (see Figure 43).						
M	otion	1	To approve above editorial change(s)					
М	otion	by/2 nd by	Ilona Schmidt (Corning) / Bevan Wu (BW & Associates)					
Di	scus	ssion	None.					
V	ote		10 Y-0 N; Motion passed.					

V-(ii) Comments Created by Handling Negative None

VI. Editorial Changes Other than Those Voted on in § V None other than listed in previous section.

VII. Approval Conditions Check VII. - (i). Approval Rate

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All Negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, found not persuasive, or addressed by a technical change. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of valid Voting Interest Accept and Voting Interest Reject Votes must be Accept. (*Regulations* ¶ 9.6.2.1.3)

Note: If both approval conditions are not satisfied, the Document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid Rejects)			
Approval Rate	=	42	/	42	=	100.0%	≥90%

VII. – (ii) Approval Level (check one)

Note: See Regulations § 9.6.2 for further information.

X	Globally Approved (No Ratification Ballot needed): The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the global technical committee.
	Need a Ratification Ballot: The Letter Ballot meets the Letter Ballot approval conditions for the TC Chapter and a Ratification Ballot will be issued to validate technical changes.

VIII. Safety Check

Note: See Regulations § 15 for further information.

	X	Th is	This is not a Safety Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is still technically sound and complete. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 8.7.1)						
Motion				ty Document , when all safety-related information is removed, the Document is not and complete. ($Regulations$ ¶ 8.7.2)					
Safety Checklist (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.3) is complete and has been included with the throughout the balloting process. (<i>Regulations</i> ¶ 15.1.2)									
	Moti	lotion by/2 nd by		Len Perroots (Micro Sense KLA) / Ilona Schmidt (Corning)					
	Discussion			None.					
		Vote		9 Y-0 N; Motion passed.					

IX. Intellectual Property (IP) Check

Note: This Letter Ballot may cover all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. Regardless of the coverage, this IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline*. See *Regulations* § 16 for further information.

>	(The TC Chapter meeting chair asked those participating, if they were aware of any patented technology that might be relevant (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.3.1.1) to the Standard or Safety Guideline; or, any copyrighted items or trademarks that are used/reproduced (see <i>Regulations</i> ¶ 16.4.1.2) in the Standard or Safety Guideline. (Also see, <i>Regulations</i> § 8.8)						
		X	The question is NOT answered in affirmative (No potentially material patented technology or use/reproduction of copyrighted items/trademarks is known.)	GO TO SECTION X.				
			The question is answered in affirmative	Is any of the known IPs a patented		Yes, at least one of them is a patented technology	GO TO IX (a) "Patented Technology" subsection	
				technology?		No	GO TO IX (b) "Copyright items" subsection	

X. Action for This Document

x	This Document passed TC Chapter review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the ISC A&R SC for procedural review.						
Motion by/ 2 nd by		Bevan Wu (BW & Associates) / Steve Martell (Nordson SONOSCAN)					
Discussion Vote		Does all of the accept comments need to be dealt with? No, accept comments do not require justification like rejects do.					
		9 Y-0 N					
Final	Action	X Motion passed					
i iliai i	Action	Motion failed					

Note: If the use of PMPT or copyrighted item is justified by the TC Chapter, LOA or release form must be received before publication can proceed.